

Department of Energy

Washington, DC 20585 JAN 2 0 1997

L. D. Foust, Technical Project Officer for Yucca Mountain Site Characterization Project
 TRW Environmental Safety Systems, Inc. Bank of America Center, Suite P-110
 101 Convention Center Drive
 Las Vegas, NV 89109

EVALUATION OF RESPONSE TO DEFICIENCY REPORT (DR) YM-97-D-012 RESULTING FROM OFFICE OF QUALITY ASSURANCE (OQA) AUDIT K/PB-ARC-97-06 OF KIEWIT/PARSONS BRINCKERHOFF

The OQA staff has evaluated the response to DR YM-97-D-012. The response has been determined to be satisfactory. Verification of completion of the corrective action will be performed after the effective date provided. Any extension to this date must be requested in writing, with appropriate justification, prior to the date. Please send a copy of extension requests to Deborah Sult, OQA/QATSS, P.O. Box 98608, Mail Stop 455, Las Vegas, Nevada 89193-8608.

If you have any questions, please contact either Mario R. Diaz at (702) 794-1489 or Franklin B. Smith at (702) 794-1329.

Rw Cel

Donald G. Horton, Director Office of Quality Assurance

OQA:MRD-0718

Enclosure: DR YM-97-D-012

cc w/encl:

T. A. Wood, DOE/HQ (RW-55) FORS

J. O. Thoma, NRC, Washington, DC

S. W. Zimmerman, NWPO, Carson City, NV

B. R. Justice, M&O, Las Vegas, NV

R. A. Morgan, M&O, Las Vegas, NV

R. E. Armstrong, M&O, Las Vegas, NV

Records Processing Center = "5"

cc w/o encl:

W. L. Belke, NRC, Las Vegas, NV

F. B. Smith, OQA/QATSS, Las Vegas, NV

D. G. Sult, OQA/QATSS, Las Vegas, NV

R. W. Clark, DOE/OQA, Las Vegas, NV

9701280165 970120 PDR WASTE WM-11 BDG

PDR

280039

Kecip: NMSS/HLUR

wm-!

102.7

Printed with sov ink on recycled paper

THIS IS A RED STAMP

OFFICE OF CIVILIAN

8	Performance Report Deficiency Report				
	NO. <u>YM-97-D-012</u>				
	PAGE _1_ OF _2_				
	00.1				

	NO. YM-97-D-012 PAGE _1_ OF _2_ QA; L				
	PERFORMANCE	/DEFICIENCY F	REPORT	·	
1 Controlling Document: Kiewit/PB MCP-4.0, Rev. 13			2 Related K/PB-AR	Report No. C-97-06	
3 Responsible Organization: Kiewit/PB		4 Discussed With: Steve Schuermann, Dave Haas			
5 Requirement/Measurement Crite	eria:				
3.1.4 states in part: Kiewit/PB engin	neering personnel shall per	form a technical revi	ew of all PRs (Pu	rchase Requests)	
•					
•	·				
			• ;		
		·			
6 Description of Condition:					
No documented objective evidence	could be produced to demo	onstrate that PRs are	receiving a techn	ical review as required.	
	•		•	!	
· ·	v				
·					
• .					
				•	
		•			
7 Initiator J. B. (Pete) In	·al	9 Is condition	an isolated occur	rence?	
F. B. (Pete) Smith	Date 11/21/96	☐ Yes		Jnknown; Must be Yes if PR	
10 Recommended Actions: (Not					
Pavisa MCD 4.0 to require that the	tachnical review of the DD	he decomented or d	aleta the requirem	ant to norform a tachnical review	
Revise MCP-4.0 to require that the of PRs.	technical review of the PK	be documented or d	eiete uie requiren	ent to perform a technical review	
		·			
	•				
		•			
11 QA Review	+ 1 Link	12 Response D	ue Date	<u></u>	
QAR F. B. (Pete) Smith	etc) Anuth Date 11/25/96	· ·	ng days from	1 issuance	
13 Affected Organization QA Mar		(QAR for PR)			
Printed Name DONALD E. HO	Sign	nature / man	EVII.	Date / 2/4/9)	
22 Corrective Actions Verified			proved by: (N/A		
QAR	Date	AOQAM		Date	

OFFICE OF CIVILIAN U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

PR/DR NO. YM-97-D012 2 PAGE OF

BADIDACTIVE WASTE MANAGEMENT QA: L WASHINGTON, D.C. PERFORMANCE/DEFICIENCY REPORT RESPONSE 14 Remedial Actions: None required. See block 15 extent of condition. 15 Extent of Condition: (Not required for PR) It was not the intent of Kiewit/PB MCP-4.0, Rev. 13, 3.1.4 that full technical reviews be performed and documented at the Purchase Requisition (PR) stage of procurement, since such documentation would be a duplication of effort presently taking place at the Purchase Order (PO) stage of procurement. Performing and documenting the technical review at the PO stage has been the basis on which Kiewit/PB procurement practice has been done to date under 3.1.4. Since there was no intent by Kiewit/PB to formally document the engineering technical review at the PR stage and the practice has been consistent, no remedial actions are required. Clarification of the procedure as indicated in Block 17 will avoid future misinterpretation of Kiewit/PB's intended practice. **⋈** No 16 Root Cause Determination: (Not required for PR) Required Yes V Yes 17 Action to Preclude Recurrence: (Not required for PR) Required ☐ No Revise MCP-4.0, paragraph 3.1.4 as to intent and present practice. 18 Corrective Action Completion Due Date: 19 Response by: J. L. EASTLUND **I**nitial 02/14/97 Amended 01/07/97 4-7375 Phone 20 Response Accepted 21 Response Accepted (N/A for PR); Lur Date MMAGGA Donald G. Horton