

Department of Energy

Washington, DC 20585

DEC 26 1996

L. D. Foust, Technical Project Officer for Yucca Mountain Site Characterization Project
TRW Environmental Safety Systems, Inc. Bank of America Center, Suite P-110
101 Convention Center Drive
Las Vegas, NV 89109

ISSUANCE OF DEFICIENCY REPORT (DR) YM-97-D-020 RESULTING FROM OFFICE OF QUALITY ASSURANCE (OQA) SUPPLIER AUDIT OQA-SA-97-006 OF MET ONE INSTRUMENTS

Enclosed is DR YM-97-D-020 generated as a result of OQA Supplier Audit OQA-SA-97-006.

Please provide a response to this deficiency that meets the applicable requirements of Administrative Procedure 16.1Q, Performance/Deficiency Reporting. Send the original of your response to Deborah Sult, OQA/QATSS, P.O. Box 98608, Mail Stop 455, Las Vegas, Nevada 89193-8608. Response to the DR is due 20 working days from the date of this letter. Any extension to the due date must be requested in writing, with appropriate justification, prior to the due date.

If you have any questions, please contact either Mario R. Diaz at (702) 794-1489 or Daniel A. Klimas at (702) 794-1495.

Donald G. Horton, Director Office of Quality Assurance

OQA:MRD-0605

Enclosure:

DR YM-97-D-020

Wm-11

102.7

9701030001 961226 PDR WASTE

PDR

Recip: NMSS/HLUR

cc w/encl:

T. A. Wood, DOE/HQ (RW-55) FORS

J. O. Thoma, NRC, Washington, DC

S. W. Zimmerman, NWPO, Carson City, NV

B. R. Justice, M&O, Las Vegas, NV Records Processing Center = "8"

cc w/o encl:

W. L. Belke, NRC, Las Vegas, NV

D. A. Klimas, OQA/QATSS, Las Vegas, NV

D. G. Sult, OQA/QATSS, Las Vegas, NV

R. W. Clark, DOE/OQA, Las Vegas, NV

THIS IS A RED STAMP

OFFICE OF CIVILIAN RADIOACTIVE WASTE MANAGEMENT U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY WASHINGTON, D.C.

8 □Performanc	e Report
■Deficiency R	eport

NO. YM-97-D-020

PAGE	_1	OF_3
		00.1

PERFORMANCE/	DEFICIENCY REP	ORT
1 Controlling Document:		2 Related Report No.
Quality Assurance Requirements and Description (QARD), DOE/RW-0333P, Revision 5/Met One Instruments (MOI) Quality Control Manual, Revision 0, March 1, 1995		OQA-SA-97-006
3 Responsible Organization:	4 Discussed With:	
Civilian Radioactive Waste Management System Management and Operating Contractor/MOI	Robert Justice/ Dennis	s Recla
5 Requirement/Measurement Criteria:		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
See Page3	•	
6 Description of Condition:		
See Page3		
7 Initiator (11) (Will) Date /2/18/96	9 is condition an isolated ☐ Yes ☐ No	occurrence? □ Unknown; Must be Yes if PR
10 Recommended Action: (Not required for PR)		
Correct the noted deficiencies; develop the required imple determine the impact of calibration services provided due		
		•
	,	
11 QA Review	12 Response Due Date	
QAR Daniel Klimas / W Date 12/16/96	20 working days from iss	uance
13 Affected Organization QA Manager Issuance Approval: (QA	, , , , , , ,	
Printed Name Donald G. Horton Signatur	e Jens Dlaylost	Date 12/24/96
22 Corrective Action Verified QAR	Date	23 Closure Approved by: (N/A for PR) AOQAM Date

OFFICE OF CIVILIAN

PRORINO.		YM-97-D-020		
PAGE_	2_	OF_	3	

RADIOACTIVE WASTE MAN U.S. DEPARTMENT OF E WASHINGTON, D.	NERGY		GE OF	QA: L
PERFORMANCE/DEF	ICIENCY REPOR	T RESPONSE		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
14 Remedial Actions:			<u> </u>	
			• *	
		•		
		•		•
			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
15 Extent of Condition: (Not required for PR)	•			
			•	
	-		,	
	-			
			•	
16 Root Cause Determination: (Not required for PR)	Regu	ired ⊠ Yes □ No		
				•
			•	
·				
17 Action to Preclude Recurrence: (Not required for PR)	Re	quired 12 Yes D N	lo	
			·	•
			•	
	•		•	
18 Corrective Action Completion Due Date:	19 Response by:			
	□ Initial □ Amended	Date	Phone	
20 Response Accepted	21 Response Accep			
QAR Date	AOQAM		Date	

OFFICE OF CIVILIAN RADIOACTIVE WASTE MANAGEMENT U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY WASHINGTON, D.C.

BDeficiency Report

NO. YM-97-D-020

PAGE 3 OF 3 . QA: L

PR/DR CONTINUATION PAGE

5. Requirements: (continued from Page 1)

QARD, Section 4.0, Paragraph 4.2.1C.1:

A requirement for the supplier to have a documented Quality Assurance (QA) program that implements applicable QARD requirements prior to the initiation of work. The extent of the QA program shall depend on the scope, nature, or complexity of the item or service being procured.

6. Description of Condition: (continued from Page 1)

Contrary to the above requirements, the following conditions were identified during supplier Audit OQA SA-97-006 of MOI:

- 1) There are no implementing procedures that adequately describe the requirements for Implementing Documents, Document Control, Control of Purchased Items and Services, Corrective Action, QA Records, and Audits.
- 2) There is no objective evidence (i.e., documentation) that personnel performing quality related activities have been indoctrinated and trained to the technical and quality assurance elements that they implement.
- 3) The purchase orders for suppliers of calibration services (i.e., SIMCO; Caltronics), do not contain quality and technical requirements.
- 4) There is no documented evidence of evaluations for all MOI suppliers.
- 5) There is no procedure requirements for the review, approval, and control of implementing documents.
- 6) There are no methods to describe the identification, distribution, and control of procedures.
- 7) There is no evidence that the MOI QC Manual is reviewed annually, as required by their manual.
- 8) The temperature and humidity recorder, Serial Number 6529, is past due for calibration.
- 9) Measuring and Test Equipment utilized is not entered into the calibration system using the calibration sheets, as required by MOI procedure.
- 10) There are no controls for identifying or segregating out-of-calibration equipment.
- 11) There is no evidence of internal audits being performed, as required.