

Department of Energy

Washington, DC 20585

DEC 2 3 1996

L. D. Foust, Technical Project Officer for Yucca Mountain Site
 Characterization Project

 TRW Environmental Safety Systems, Inc.
 Bank of America Center, Suite P-110
 101 Convention Center Drive
 Las Vegas, NV 89109

EVALUATION OF RESPONSE TO DEFICIENCY REPORT (DR) YM-96-D-101 RESULTING FROM OFFICE OF QUALITY ASSURANCE (OQA) SURVEILLANCE YMP-SR-96-026 OF THE CIVILIAN RADIOACTIVE WASTE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM MANAGEMENT AND OPERATING CONTRACTOR

The OQA staff has evaluated the response to DR YM-96-D-101. The response has been determined to be satisfactory. Verification of completion of the corrective action will be performed after the effective date provided. Any extension to this date must be requested in writing, with appropriate justification, prior to that date. Please send a copy of extension requests to Deborah G. Sult, OQA/QATSS, P.O. Box 98608, Mail Stop 455, Las Vegas, Nevada 89193-8608.

Donald G. Horton, Director Office of Quality Assurance

If you have any questions, please contact either Mario R. Diaz at (702) 794-1489 or Patrick V. Auer at (702) 794-1432.

OQA:MRD-0574

Enclosure: DR YM-96-D-101

cc w/enci:

T. A. Wood, DOE/HQ (RW-55) FORS

J. O. Thoma, NRC, Washington, DC

S. W. Zimmerman, NWPO, Carson City, NV

B. R. Justice, M&O, Las Vegas, NV Records Processing Center= "7"

cc w/o encl:

W. L. Belke, NRC, Las Vegas, NV

P. V. Auer, OQA/QATSS, Las Vegas, NV

D. G. Sult, OQA/QATSS, Las Vegas, NV

R. W. Clark, DOE/OQA, Las Vegas, NV

9701020183 961223 PDR WASTE WM-11 PDR

310018

NH33/1 102.7

Printed with soy ink on recycled paper

(CC | P! MMSS/Dum

OFFICE OF CIVILIAN RADIOACTIVE WASTE MANAGEMENT U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY WASHINGTON, D.C.

TIPE SO A CERTO
☑Deficiency Report

NO. <u>YM-96-D-101</u>

PERFORMANCE/DEFICIENCY REPORT					
1 Controlling Document:		2 Related Report No.			
Quality Assurance Requirements and Description (QARD)/RW-0333P, Revision 5		YM-SR-96-026			
Responsible Organization: Civilian Radioactive Waste Management System	. 4	Discussed With:			
Management and Operating Contractor (CRWMS M&O)	J	ohn Clark		•	
5 Requirement/Measurement Criteria:					
QARD, Revision 5, Section 2.2.10, states, in part: "Nand resolved before approving the document," and S the following information as appropriate to the work to performed as required"	ection	5.2.2 states, in part:	"Implementing docu	ments shall include	
			<u> </u>		
6 Description of Condition:		•			
Contrary to the above: CRWMS M&O Quality Admin "Design Analysis," and QAP-3-10, "Engineering Drav are designated "mandatory" by the reviewer and do r comments.	vings,'	do not specify a me	thod to identify which	review comments	
			•		
· •					
		* 1			
			•		
		•		•	
7 Initiator	9	is condition an isolated occur	rence?		
Patrick V. Auer Hallel Mon Date 9-16-90	6 0	Yes 🗆 No	⊠ Unknown; Must	be Yes if PR	
10 Recommended Action: (Not required for PR)					
·			•		
Revise the procedures cited in Block 6 to implement	the Q	ARD requirements id	entified in Block 5.		
			•	•	
•			•		
	٠				
400 Paris	- (4	December Date			
QAR Patrick V. Auer Date 9-16-9	-,	Response Due Date O Working Days Fron	n Issuance	•	
13 Affected Organization QA manager Issuance Approval: (QAR for PR)	0000		egr.	
Printed Name R.E. SPENCE Sign	nature	Kobert 10 C	nabble	Date 9.17.96	
22 Corrective Action Verified		23 Closure Approved by:	(N/A for PR)		
QAR Date		AOQAM		Date	
Exhibit AP-16.1Q.1			Enclos	Rev. 07/15/96	

OF COF CIVILIAN RADIOACT: WASTE MANAGEMENT U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY WASHINGTON, D.C.

PR/DR N	10. <u>YM</u>	-96-D	<u>-101</u>
PAGE_	2	_ OF	Z4 75 18
		_	QA: L

PERFORMANCE/DEFICIENT	NCY REPORT RESPONSE
14 Remedial Actions: SEE PRIOR CONTINUATION PR	PAGE (PAGE 3)
	·
	•
15 Extent of Condition: (Not required for PR)	
SEE PRIDE CONTINUATION PA	GE (PAGE3)
16 Root Cause Determination: (Not required for PR) Rec SEE PIC/DIC CONTINUATION PI	quired Pes V No
	•
17 Action to Preclude Recurrence: (Not required for PR)	equired Yes No
SEE PRIOR CONTINUATION	N PAGE (PAGE 3)
·	tesponse by: ALGENI M. SEGREST
	nitial (702) Amended Date 12-2-96 Phone 194-1924
(-) \ \-\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	DOAM Jemes B lought to Date 12/19/96
Exhibit AP-16.10.2	Rev. 07/15/96

12/2/96 LV.MG JC 12/96-084

OFFICE OF CIVILIAN RADIOACTIVE WASTE MANAGEMENT U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY WASHINGTON, D.C.

✓ Deficiency Report	
NO. <u>YM-96-D-101</u>	
PAGE 3 OF 4	
· ΛΛ· Ι	

Performance Report

PR/DR CONTINUATION PAGE

BLOCK 14 REMEDIAL ACTIONS

Past experience in MGDS Development has proven that it is more effective not to separate editorial from mandatory comments resulting from reviews of design products. As a result of this conclusion, MGDS Development treats all comments as mandatory and all have been and are resolved. We propose to resolve this subject deficiency by generating a PAR for revising procedures QAP-3-8, QAP-3-9 and QAP-3-10. The PAR will add a specific statement in the body of the procedure that when the reviewer signs the REVIEW SUMMARY RECORD the reviewer agrees that review comments made are either incorporated or satisfactorily resolved. (NOTE: This is already in all three procedures in the form instructions.) The PAR will also add the requirement for the originator to indicate that the comment was incorporated or how the comment was resolved. When the PAR is approved the procedures will be revised to incorporate the above action. The expected date to get these three procedures and any other impacted procedures revised is estimated to be May 30, 1997.

BLOCK 15 EXTENT OF CONDITION

The extent of condition is restricted to changing the three procedures. No further action is required. The QAR agrees that we are doing the action but do not have it incorporated into a procedure.

BLOCK 16 ROOT CAUSE DETERMINATION

The condition is isolated therefore no further Root Cause Determination is indicated.

BLOCK 17 ACTION TO PRECLUDE RECURRENCE

All actions to resolve this deficiency are included in BLOCK 14 REMEDIAL ACTIONS therefore there are no further actions required.

Exhibit AP-16.1Q.3 Rev. 07/03/95

OFFICE OF CIVILIAN RADIOACTIVE WASTE MANAGEMENT U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY WASHINGTON, D.C.

Deficiency Report
NO. YM-96-D-101
PAGE 314 OF HELEN

PR/DR CONTINUATION PAGE

BLOCK 14 REMEDIAL ACTIONS

Past experience in MGDS Development has proven that it is more effective not to separate editorial from mandatory comments resulting from reviews of design products. As a result of this conclusion, MGDS Development treats all comments as mandatory and all have been and are resolved. We propose to resolve this subject deficiency by generating a PAR for revising procedures QAP-3-8, QAP-3-9 and QAP-3-10. The PAR will add a specific statement in the body of the procedure that when the reviewer signs the REVIEW SUMMARY RECORD the reviewer agrees that review comments made are either incorporated or satisfactorily resolved. (NOTE: This is already in all three procedures in the form instructions.) The PAR will also add the requirement for the originator to indicate that the comment was incorporated or how the comment was resolved. When the PAR is approved the procedures will be revised to incorporate the above action. The expected date to get these three procedures and any other impacted procedures revised is estimated to be May 30, 1997.

BLOCK 15 EXTENT OF CONDITION

The extent of condition is restricted to changing the three procedures. No further action is required. The QAR agrees that we are doing the action but do not have it incorporated into a procedure.

BLOCK 16 ROOT CAUSE DETERMINATION

The condition is isolated therefore no further Root Cause Determination is indicated.

BLOCK 17 ACTION TO PRECLUDE RECURRENCE

All actions to resolve this deficiency are included in BLOCK 14 REMEDIAL ACTIONS therefore there are no further actions required.

..... 07/03/95

10'EVISED RESPONSE 12/2/96 LV. MG JJC.12/94-084R Exhibit Artio. 14.3