

Department of Energy

Office of Civilian Radioactive Waste Management Yucca Mountain Site Characterization Office P.O. Box 98608 Las Vegas, NV 89193-8608

OCT 0 4 1996

L. D. Foust Technical Project Officer for Yucca Mountain Site Characterization Project TRW Environmental Safety Systems, Inc. Bank of America Center, Suite P-110 101 Convention Center Drive Las Vegas, NV 89109

EVALUATION OF RESPONSE TO DR YM-96-D-064 RESULTING FROM YMQA AUDIT YM-ARC-96-16 OF LOS ALAMOS NATIONAL LABORATORY

The Yucca Mountain Quality Assurance staff has evaluated the response to Deficiency Report YM-96-D-064. The response has been determined to be satisfactory. Verification of completion of the corrective action will be performed after the effective date provided. Any extension to this date must be requested in writing, with appropriate justification, prior to the date. Please send a copy of extension requests to Deborah Sult, YMQA/QATSS, P.O. Box 98608, Mail Stop 455, Las Vegas, Nevada 89193-8608.

If you have any questions, please contact either Mario R. Diaz at (702) 794-1489 or Richard L. Maudlin at (702) 794-1302.

Richard E. Spence Yucca Mountain Quality Assurance

wm-11

1027

NH 33 11.

YMQA:MRD-2786-0010

Enclosure: DR YM-96-D-064

cc w/encl: T. A. Wood, DOE/HQ (RW-14) FORS J. G. Spraul, NRC, Washington, DC S. W. Zimmerman, NWPO, Carson City, NV J. A. Canepa, M&O/LANL, Los Alamos, NM M. J. Clevenger, M&O/LANL, Los Alamos, NM R. L. Strickler, M&O, Vienna, VA B. R. Justice, M&O, Las Vegas, NV Records Processing Center

cc w/o encl: W. L. Belke, NRC, Las Vegas, NV R. L. Maudlin, YMQA/QATSS, Las Vegas, NV D. G. Sult, YMQA/QATSS, Las Vegas, NV D. G. Horton, DOE/OQA, NV

Recip: Nmss) HLUE

9610110346 961004 PDR WASTE WM-11 PDR

YMP-5

		CRIGISISARED STAN
RADIOACTI U.S. DEF	FICE OF CIVILIAN VE WASTE MANAGEMENT PARTMENT OF ENERGY ASHINGTON, D.C.	PAGE 1 OF QA: L
PERFORMA	NCE/DEFICIENCY REPORT	
1 Controlling Document:		2 Related Report No.
QARD, Revision 5 / LANL-YMP-QP-03.20, Revision 4		. YM-ARC-96-16
3 Responsible Organization: Los Alamos National Laboratory (LANL)	4 Discussed With: B. J. Fabryka-Martin / A	. Wolfsberg
5 Requirement/Measurement Criteria:		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
have not been verified and validated shall be ide documented." LANL-YMP-QP-03.20, Subparagraph 6.2.1, star verification and validations of developed or mod	tes in part: "It is the responsibility	of the SCM to ensure that software
6 Description of Condition:		
Contrary to the above:		
 A. LANL procedure YM-QP-03.20, Revision 4 software that has not been verified and valuprior to validation and verification. B. Software FEHM was used in the Chlorine-3 Sampling for Chlorine-36 in Exploratory Studocumented justification for use and (2) relignst software FEHM is not verified and validate 	idated is released for use and (2) 36 (quality affecting) activity in the udies Facility;" however there is no eased for use under the configura	the mechanism for controlling its use Milestone Report: "Systematic o documented evidence to establish (1) Ition management program. NOTE:
	<i>,</i>	· · · ·
7 Initiator Confandle 7/ Richard L. Maudlin Date 7/	2/96 QAR Statance	
10 Response Due Date:	11 Os Jesuapce Approval	Date 7/11/94
20 Working Days From Issuance	QAR (PR)/AOGAM (DF	R) Date 7/11/96
 12 Remedial Actions: a. An investigation was performed QP-03.20, Revision 4. b. A check was performed to deter to determine when FEHM was ent 	mine if FEHM was used in	quality affecting work, and
13 Remedial Actions Response By:	14 Remedial Action Due Date	
Date		Date
15 Remedial Action Response Acceptance	16 PR Verification /Closure	
QAR Date	QAR	Date
xhibit AP-16.1Q.1		Enclosure Rev. 07/0

.

.

.

.

.

			<i>P> 12/14</i> DR NO. <u>YMGAD-96-D064</u>	
RADIOACTIVE WASTE MANAG			PAGE OF	
U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY WASHINGTON, D.C.			QA: L	
	WASHIN	GTON, D.C.		
DEFICIENCY REPORT				
7 Recommended Actions:				
		which has not been released f lity affecting work which has no	or use. Also, determine if there ot been formally accepted.	
3. Identify what actions will o	r have been taken to bri	ng the FEHM software under c	onfiguration management.	
C. Revise LANL-YMP-QP-03	.20 to be consistent with	the requirements of the QAR	D.	
B Investigative Actions:		<u></u>	JTF 819194	
written justificat validated is relea the responsibility verification and v release. In those written justificat	tion be documented ased for use. Subset of the Software (validation of devel cases where this is tion for the reason	when software that has ection 6.2.1 second para Control Manager (SCM) to loped or modified software is not done prior to the h is normally documented	agraph, states, "It is o ensure that software are is performed prior to	
Root Cause Determination:				
As moviouely etator	in block 18 it b	and been determined the	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
	THI DIOCK TO' IC I	ias been deterniuned tha	t an analysis was not	
required.	1 HI DIOCK 10, 10 I	las been deteriluned tha	t an analysis was not	
		las been deternuned tha	t an analysis was not	
		ias been deternuned tha	t an analysis was not	
		ias been deternuned tha	t an analysis was not	
		ias been deternuned tha	t an analysis was not	
required.		las been deternuned tha	t an analysis was not	
required.		las been deternuned tha	t an analysis was not	
required.		las been deternuned tha	t an analysis was not	
required.			t an analysis was not	
required.		las been deternuned tha	t an analysis was not	
Action to Preclude Recurrence:			t an analysis was not	
Action to Preclude Recurrence:			t an analysis was not	
Action to Preclude Recurrence:			t an analysis was not	
Action to Preciude Recurrence: No action is require				
Response By:	xd.	22 Corrective Action Completion Due D		
Response By: Michael D. Clemen	xd.	22 Corrective Action Completion Due D 30 DEC 96 <		
Response By: Michael D. Cleuen B Response Accepted	sd. ger Date Elglage	22 Corrective Action Completion Due D 30 DEC 96 24 Response Accepted	rate $\frac{9}{2}$ $\frac{1}{3}$	
required. Description to Preclude Recurrence: No action is required Response By: Michael D. Claum Response Accepted AR Maeli	xd.	22 Corrective Action Completion Due D 30 DEC 96 24 Response Accepted AOQAM		
Response By: Mihael D. Cleum B Response Accepted AR Mihael Accepted	ed. gen Date Slala6 Date %/25/36	22 Corrective Action Completion Due D 30 DEC 96 24 Response Accepted AOQAM 26 Amended Response Accepted	$\frac{\text{rate}}{2} \frac{9}{1-5} \frac{1}{36}$ $\frac{\text{Date}}{10} \frac{1}{3} \frac{9}{91}$	
required. O Action to Preclude Recurrence: NO action is require No action is require No action of the second No acti	sd. ger Date Elglage	22 Corrective Action Completion Due D 30 DEC 96 24 Response Accepted AOQAM 26 Amended Response Accepted AOQAM	rate $\frac{9}{2}$ $\frac{1}{3}$	
required. O Action to Preclude Recurrence: No action is require 1 Response By:	ed. gen Date Slala6 Date %/25/36	22 Corrective Action Completion Due D 30 DEC 96 24 Response Accepted AOQAM 26 Amended Response Accepted	$\frac{\text{rate}}{2} \frac{9}{1-5} \frac{1}{36}$ $\frac{\text{Date}}{10} \frac{1}{3} \frac{9}{91}$	

8/9/46 825-13-08-96-1238

OFFICE OF CIVILIAN RADIOACTIVE WASTE MANAGEMENT U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY WASHINGTON, D.C.

Defici	mance Report ency Report
NO. YM	523-619196
PAGE 3	OF 3
	QA: L

PR/DR CONTINUATION PAGE

#18 continued

and controlled" (see attached copy of LNAL-YMP-QP-03.20, Revision 4, subsection 6.2.1).

The report cited was submitted as a letter report (see memo #EES-13-04-95-1014 attached), considered as non-quality effecting, and did not require a policy review by the Project and therefore did not undergo the review process described in LANL-YMP-QP-03.23, Revision 3. Had it been submitted as a quality affecting report, it would have been subjected to the review process, and a statement would have been documented in accordance with LANL YMP quality assurance requirements. There is also a statement in the abstract of the report that indicates that the formal technical review required by the LANI YMP Quality Assurance program had not been completed. FEHM (originally FEHMN) was entered into Configuration Management on 3/11/91. It was not verified and validated but it undergoing validation and verification at this time so that it can be used on quality effecting work.

a. Application Field

-96-0064

Obtain the application field from the name specified in the application's file list (AFL).

b. First Release

If this is the first release of the application, set the version number (vv) to 01, the revision number (rr) each to 00, and terminate the process.

c. Minor Release

If the release incorporates only minor functional changes, construct the remainder of the release label as follows:

- Retain the version number found in the release label of the most recent prior release of the product and assign this value to the version number field.
- Increment the revision number found in the release label of the most recent prior release of the product and assign this value to the revision number field.
- d. Major Release

If the release incorporates major functional changes (new or substantially revised interfaces, models, or logic for example), construct the remainder of the release label as follows:

- Increment the version number found in the release label of the most recent prior release of the product and assign this value to the version number field.
- Reset the revision number to 00 and assign this value to the revision number field.
- e. Update the SCM controlled datasets

Record the release label as an attribute of the SCM controlled datasets containing the corresponding release of the application.

6.2 Configuration Control

6.2.1 Releases

This section describes the procedures for software dissemination control and performing a release of information from the SCM controlled datasets. These datasets contain the archived baselines for Los Alamos SCM controlled certified and development software.

LANL-YMP-QP-03.20, R4 Page 6 of 17

> It is the responsibility of the SCM to ensure that software verification and validation of developed or modified software is performed prior to release. In those cases where this is not done prior to the release of software, written justification for the reason is normally documented in a memo, where the portions of software that have not been verified and validated will be identified and controlled.

6.2.1.2 SCM

SCM processes a request for release of SCM controlled datasets information as follows:

a. Verification

Upon receipt of a ST:

-96-0064

- Verify that the form is signed by the originator.
- Verify that the software or document is available for release.
- Determine whether the information provided on the form is complete and consistent with the type of release being requested.
- Determine whether SCM has the authority to issue the release or whether CCB approval must be obtained.
- The CCB approves releases intended for distribution outside the Los Alamos YMP.

If verification fails, check the Not Accepted box in the Disposition field of the ST, and proceed to Step (d) of this subsection.

b. Disposition

Perform one of the following actions based upon the outcome of Step (a) of this subsection.

- If the information is complete and appropriate and the release can be performed as requested, check the Accepted box and proceed to Step (d) of this subsection.
- If the release requires minor modifications to the request, contact the originator and update the ST form. Then if the release can be performed as requested, check the Accepted box, and proceed to Step (c) of this subsection.
- If CCB action is required:

¹ Check the CCB Action box

ICHMENT 2 4m-96-0064

Zerth and Environmental Sciences Division BES-13-Nuclear Weste Management B&D Mail Stop JS31, Los Alamos, NM 57545

Phone (505) 667-9768, Fax (505) 667-1984

NATIONAL LABORATORY

Los Alam

April 3, 1996

BES-13-04-95-1014

C. Thomas Statton Manager of Scientific Program Operations M&O/WCFS, Mail Stop 423 101 Convention Center Drive Las Vegas, NV 89109

Transmittal of Completed Los Alamos Milestone 3783 AD, "Summary Report of Cl³⁴ Studies: Systematic Sampling for Chlorine-36 in the Exploratory Studies Facility" (WBS 1.2.3.3.1.2.2)

Dear Mr. Statton:

In compliance with YAP-5.1Q, I am writing to inform you that the following Los Alamos milestone has been completed.

Milestone 3783 AD, "Summary Report of Cl³⁴ Studies: Systematic Sampling for Chlorine-36 in the Exploratory Studies Facility" (WBS 1.2.3.3.1.2.2)

This milestone was designated a letter report in the Los Alamos Project Control system. A letter report as defined by the system does not require a policy review by the Project. This milestone report may become part of a large document of publishable quality.

A copy of this milestone is attached to this letter.

In compliance with YAP-5.1Q, I have attached a Document Action Request form. Also enclosed is a Subcontract Change for FY96 showing the addition of this level 4 milestone to Job Number 2A3831. As described in Julie Canepa's attached letter the due date for this deliverable is March 30, 1996.

Sincerely. uli A Canzo Julie A. Cancoa

JAC/SHK/Ib

Att: e/s Cy w/cnc. G.S. Bodvarsson, LBL, Berkeley, CA M. Brady, SNL, Las Vegas, NV S. Hanauer, DOE/HQ, Washington, DC R. Craig, USGS, Las Vegas, NV D. Gillies USGS, Denver, CO B. Mukhopadhyay, M&O/WCFS, Las Vegas, NV S. Nelson, M&O/WCFS, Las Vegas, NV M. Peters, M&O/WCFS, Las Vegas, NV R. L. Patterson, DOE/YMSCO, MS 523 D. Hoxle, USGS, LV, NV R. Henning M&O/WCFS, LV G.Y. Bussod, EES-13, MS J521

J.A. Canepa, BES-13, MS J521 S.H. Klein, EES-13, MS J521 A.L. Thompson, EES-13/LV, MS J900/527 J.T. Fabryka-Martin, CST-7, MS J514

Cy w/o enc. P.R. Burke, M&O/TRW, Las Vegas, NV W.L. Clarke, LLNL, Livermore, CA J. Haynes, BES-13, MS J521 M.E. Herrera, LATA, MS M321. V.F. Iorli, YMSCO, Las Vegas, NV S.B. Jones, YMSCO, Las Vegas, NV BES-13 File, MS J521

An Equal Opportunity Employer/Operated by the University of California

THIS IS A YMP MILESTONE NO. 8788 AD