

Department of Energy

Office of Civilian Radioactive Waste Management Yucca Mountain Site Characterization Office P.O. Box 98608 Las Vegas, NV 89193-8608

NOV 0 7 1995

Robert W. Craig
Acting Technical Project Officer
for Yucca Mountain
Site Characterization Project
U.S. Geological Survey
101 Convention Center Drive
Suite 860
Las Vegas, NV 89109

EVALUATION OF RESPONSE TO CORRECTIVE ACTION REQUEST (CAR) YMQAD-95-C051 RESULTING FROM YUCCA MOUNTAIN QUALITY ASSURANCE DIVISION'S (YMQAD) AUDIT YM-ARP-95-20 OF U.S. GEOLOGICAL SURVEY (USGS) (SCPB: N/A)

The YMOAD staff has evaluated the response to CAR YMOAD-95-C051. The response has been determined to be satisfactory pending the supplemental response due by November 30, 1995. The supplemental The USGS must obtain response should address the following: documentation to identify the particular version of the TOUGH2 code used by Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory (LBNL) to develop the document in Block 6, Item 2. Also, the Technical Data Information Form (TDIF) in the records package is for the June 1994 Draft, not the published June 1995 version. Given the changes in the report, a new TDIF would be required. Regarding Block 16, No. 3, if the records package is in accordance with an approved procedure, the procedure must be inadequate if it allows incorrect/incomplete records packages to be submitted, regardless of the source of the technical review. The response should, of course, address the extent of deficiency, description and proposed completion of open items associated with LBNL, University of Nevada at Reno (UNR), and anything additionally identified in the extent of deficiency investigation. Also, please provide copies of the approved transition plans for both LBNL and UNR as part of the supplemental response. Any extension to this date must be requested in writing, with appropriate justification, prior to the date.

Please send a copy of extension requests to Deborah Sult, YMQAD/QATSS, 101 Convention Center Drive, Suite 640, Las Vegas, Nevada 89109.

140093

02.7 WM-11 Robert W. Craig

If you have any questions, please contact either Robert B. Constable at (702) 794-7945 or James Blaylock at (702) 794-7913.

Richard E. Spence, Director

Yucca Mountain Quality Assurance Division

YMOAD: RBC-432

Enclosure: YMQAD-95-C051

cc w/encl:

J. G. Spraul NRC, Washington, DC S. W. Zimmerman, NWPO, Carson City, NV

T. H. Chaney, USGS, Denver, CO

cc w/o encl:

W. L. Belke, NRC, Las Vegas, NV

D. G. Sult, YMQAD/QATSS, Las Vegas, NV

CRIGINAL THIS IS A RED STAMP

OFFICE OF CIVILIAN
RADIOACTIVE WASTE MANAGEMENT
U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY
WASHINGTON, D.C.

CAR NO. YMQAD-95-C-051
PAGE 1 OF 14
QA: LP345

CORRECTIVE	ACTION REQUEST		
1 Controlling Document:	2 Related Report No.:		
DOE/RW-0333P, Revision 4	YM-ARP-95-20		
3 Responsible Organization:	iscussed With:		
	n Chaney		
subsection entitled, "Classifying Items and Applying Quality A	irements to ensure that work meeting the criteria described in the surance Control," is performed under suitably controlled condition ental conditions for accomplishing the activity and assurance that		
6 Description of Condition: Contrary to the above; USGS has failed to assure implementation follows:	n of USGS QA requirements by extended staff. Examples are as		
1. TOUGH2 code development has evolved such that the code quality affecting activities. The TOUGH2 code has had no scie development, control, and use of the software as of July 29, 199	ntific software requirements or a scientific notebook applied to the		
<u> </u>	Berkeley Laboratory (LBL) Reports to that organization. The ensional Site Scale Model of Yucca Mountain. Nevada, dtd June as, and other technical shortcomings.		
3. The records package for 2 above reflects only the LBL technical review on the June 1994 report. As a result of a Yucca Mountain Project Office (YMPO) review, the report was revised to correct the technical shortcomings,. The records package, GS940908312293.002, and its associated Technical Data Information Form (TDIF)# 303687, do not reflect the current, published report. The TDIF transmitted the incorrect June 1994 version of the report to the technical data base. The TDIF has not been			
7 Initiator: James Blaylock Date 9/21/95	9. Does a stop work condition exist? Yes No ✓ ; If Yes, Attach copy of SWO If Yes, Check One: □ A □ B □ C □ D		
10. Recommended Actions:1. Document the efforts taken to assure LBL implementation of unsaturated zone modeling.	appropriate quality assurance requirements applicable to the		
2. Complete records package GS940908312293.002 with the ydocument.	MPO technical review and comment resolution for the June 1995		
3. Document actions taken to assure control and implementation of QA requirements by extended staff.			
Jane Blayloh Date 9/21/95	12 Response Due Date: 20 working days after issuance		
13 Affected Organization QA Manager Issuance Approval: Printed Name Richard F. Spence Signature Approval Date 9/25/25			
Exhibit AP-16.20.1-1	Rev. 07/03/95		

V O	Corre Stop	ctive Work	Action Order	Request
		Corre	Corrective Stop Work	Corrective Action Stop Work Order

NO. **YMQAD-95-C-051**PAGE 2 OF 2 0_D5

DA: L 145

CAR/SWO CONTINUATION PAGE

1137

- 3. (continued) superseded to reflect the June 1995 report. The records package also does not reflect the 1995 YMPO technical review or resolution of comments.
- 4. LBL personnel had not been trained to USGS procedures for which LBL personnel has implementation responsibilities. (re: YMQAD-95-D016)
- 5. LBL personnel education and experience forms were incomplete. (re: USGS QDR-95019-2, USGS QDR-940062, and LBL-95-D002)
- 6. USGS identified similar problems with extended staff at University of Nevada, Reno. Surveillance No. USGS -95036-IS documented seven deficiencies which include calibration, personnel qualifications, personnel training, and equipment shortcomings.

B CAR NO. MOAD-95-CUSI PAGE 3 OF 4 QA: L

CORRECTIVE ACTION REQUEST				
.14 Remedial Actions: See page 4		TOR REGUES I		
See page 7	r	•		
	•	•		
	•			
		•		
15 Investigative Actions:				
See pase	4	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
		•		
¹⁶ Root Cause Determination:				
	_			
see page 5				
	•			
	·		•	
¹⁷ Action to Preclude Recurrence:	_			
see page 5				
30 2 1 - 3				
·				
¹⁸ Response by:		¹⁹ Corrective Action Completion Due Date		
M	Date 10/24/95	November 30, 1995		
20 Response Accepted	<i>Data 7-7-17-1</i>	21 Response Accepted		
CAR James Blandock	Date 10/30/95	ADDAM B. Sallner	Date ///2/90	
22 Amended Response Accepted		²³ Amended Response Accepted		
QAR	Date	MADOA	Date	
²⁴ Corrective Actions Verified		²⁵ Closure Approved by:		
QAR	Date	AOQAM	Date	

	Corrective Action Request
	Stop Work Order
	Nu 0.0 -01 - 0051

NO. YMQAD	-95	- CO5)	
PAGE 4	OF _	4	
	QA:L		

CAR/SWO CONTINUATION PAGE

Block 14: Remedial Actions:

- 1. USGS and LBNL had agreed in January 1995 that TOUGH2 code development had reached the stage where its documentation as SES was appropriate. LBNL had originally agreed to document TOUGH2 as SES under YMP-USGS-QMP-3.03 by last spring. However the action was not yet complete at the time LBNL transitioned from the USGS QA Program to the LBNL QA Program in July 1995. Future actions to document the TOUGH2 code are the responsibility of LBNL and will not take place under the USGS auspices. USGS work related to the TOUGH2 code is documented in USGS scientific notebook SN-0082.
- 2. The YMPO review of the subject report has identified the incorrect assumptions, incorrect equations, and other technical shortcomings.
- 3. The YMPO reviews and the final report will be submitted to the records system as a package. This package will include a superseding TDIF if appropriate. A superseding TDIF would be appropriate only if the interpretations of data included in the publication actually changed. To date, no TDB submittal has occurred for the data contained within this publication.
- 4. This deficiency is covered independently under Deficiency Report YMQAD-95-D-016.
- 5. Investigation is still on-going as to the extent and impact of this deficiency. Investigations are expected to be complete November 17, 1995. A supplemental response will be submitted by November 30, 1995 for this portion of the deficiency.
- 6. UNR also no longer works for the USGS.

Block 15: Investigative Actions:

- 1. The USGS will perform a surveillance of USGS/LBNL to be sure all products are identified and proper QA controls were in place. The surveillance should be completed by November 17, 1995 with the results to be incorporated into a supplemental response by November 30, 1995.
- 2. Investigative actions into the adequacy of LBNL reviews of their reports is not warranted due to the nature of the products completed to date. Technical Data Information Forms associated with LBNL reports submitted to date through the USGS have not been considered qualified data or qualified interpretations of data.
- 3. YMP-USGS-QMP-3.04 requires a record package of the draft manuscript that was approved for publication along with its reviews, the associated TDIF and other supporting information. This record package precedes the submittal of the actual publication to the records system. The subject manuscript had received DOE approval for publication prior to the YMPO review and therefore the review package was considered complete for submittal to the records system prior

	Corrective Action Re	quest
	Stop Work Order	
	NO. YMGAD-95	- <u>(05</u>)
	PAGE _5 OF	6
QA:L		

CAR/SWO CONTINUATION PAGE

to the YMPO review.

- 4. This deficiency is covered independently under Deficiency Report YMQAD-95-D-016.
- 5. Investigation is still on-going as to the extent and impact of this deficiency. Investigations are expected to be complete November 17, 1995. A supplemental response will be submitted by November 30, 1995 for this portion of the deficiency.
- 6. UNR no longer works for the USGS.

Block 16: Root Cause Determination:

- 1. The DOE Assistant Manager for Scientific Programs was fully cognizant of the TOUGH2 status. LBNL required additional funds in order to complete the documentation necessary for TOUGH2. The LBNL Project Manager made the decision to wait until the LBNL QA Program was approved because the additional funds were not immediately available and the LBNL QA Program was close to approval.
- 2. USGS was given responsibility for processing LBNL products but not authority to establish work scope, set milestones, or take management actions to force project decisions.
- 3. The YMPO review is not a part of the YMP-USGS QA Program and therefore our procedures do not require this review as part of the package. The contents of the record package was in accordance with approved procedures.
- 4. This deficiency is covered independently under Deficiency Report YMQAD-95-D-016.
- 5. Investigation is still on-going as to the extent and impact of this deficiency. Investigations are expected to be complete November 17, 1995. A supplemental response will be submitted by November 30, 1995 for this portion of the deficiency.
- 6. UNR no longer works for the USGS.

Block 17: Actions to Preclude Recurrence:

- 1. LBNL no longer works to the USGS QA Program. In July 1995 LBNL work fell under the direction of the M&O.
- 2. The USGS has been actively working to severe all relationships where it has been given responsibility but not the authority to manage a technical task. At present, there are no more such situations in place.

			OA:L		
	PAGE	6	OF	6	
NO.YMQAD-95-CO51					
ч	Stop W	ork C	rder		

Corrective Action Request

CAR/SWO CONTINUATION PAGE

- 3. There are no actions to prevent recurrence necessary. The submittal of the record package was in accordance with approved procedures.
- 4. This deficiency is covered independently under Deficiency Report YMQAD-95-D-016.
- 5. LBNL no longer works under the USGS QA Program.
- 6. UNR no longer works for the USGS but the USGS identified deficiencies against UNR are currently still identified as USGS deficiencies. The YMP-USGS QA Office will coordinate with both the YMQAD and the M&O QA Offices to determine the best means of handling these deficiencies in light of the UNR transition. Possibilities include transferring them to YMQAD or M&O deficiencies. Any USGS identified deficiencies that are closed based on a transfer of responsibility to a new organization will reference the replacement deficiency document by unique identifier. The supplemental response due November 30, 1995 will identify the plans for the deficiencies against UNR.

Plans for most of the outstanding LBNL deficiencies identified by the USGS are for LBNL and/or USGS to complete the necessary actions and the USGS to verify and close the deficiencies.