

Department of Energy

Office of Civilian Radioactive Waste Management Yucca Mountain Site Characterization Office P.O. Box 98608 Las Vegas, NV 89193-8608

FEB 1 2 1996

L. Dale Foust Technical Project Officer for Yucca Mountain Site Characterization Project TRW Environmental Safety Systems, Inc. Bank of America Center, Suite P-110 101 Convention Center Drive Las Vegas, NV 89109

ISSUANCE OF SURVEILLANCE RECORD YMP-SR-96-008 RESULTING FROM YUCCA MOUNTAIN QUALITY ASSURANCE DIVISION'S (YMQAD) SURVEILLANCE OF THE CIVILIAN RADIOACTIVE WASTE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM MANAGEMENT AND OPERATING CONTRACTOR (CRWMS M&O) (SCPB: N/A)

Enclosed is the record of Surveillance YMP-SR-96-008 conducted by the YMQAD at the CRWMS M&O facilities at the Yucca Mountain Site, Nevada, January 4-11, 1996.

The purpose of the surveillance was to evaluate the process for the collection of Multi-Point Borehole Extensonmeter core located at Alcove 5.

Deficiency Reports (DR) YMQAD-96-D032 and YMQAD-96-D033 were issued as a result of this surveillance. Responses to these DRs, which were transmitted via separate letter, are due by the date indicated in Block 10 on the DRs.

This surveillance is considered completed and closed as of the date of this letter. A response to this surveillance record is not required; however, the open DRs will continue to be tracked until they are closed to the satisfaction of the quality assurance representative and the Director, Office of Quality Assurance.

102.7 Wm-1

220072

PDR

9602220063 960212

WASTE

YMP-5

PDR

WM-11

L. Dale Foust

If you have any questions, please contact either Mario R. Diaz at (702) 794-7974 or John R. Doyle at (702) 794-7986.

Spina

YMQAD:MRD-1122

Richard E. Spence, Director Yucca Mountain Quality Assurance Division

Enclosure: Surveillance Record YMP-SR-96-008

cc w/encl: D. A. Dreyfus, HQ (RW-1) FORS R. W. Clark, HQ (RW-3.1) FORS T. A. Wood, HQ (RW-14) FORS C. J. Henkel, NEI, Washington, DC J. G. Spraul, NRC, Washington, DC W. L. Belke, NRC, Las Vegas, NV R. R. Loux, NWPO, Carson City, NV Cyril Schank, Churchill County Commission, Fallon, NV D. A. Bechtel, Clark County Comprehensive, Las Vegas, NV J. D. Hoffman, Esmeralda County, Goldfield, NV Eureka County Board of Commissioners, Eureka, NV Lander County Board of Commissioners, Battle Mountain, NV Jason Pitts, Lincoln County, Pioche, NV V. E. Poe, Mineral County, Hawthorne, NV P. A. Niedzielski-Eichner, Nye County, Chantilly, VA L. W. Bradshaw, Nye County, Tonopah, NV William Offutt, Nye County, Tonopah, NV Florindo Mariani, White Pine County, Ely, NV B. R. Mettam, County of Inyo, Independence, CA Mifflin and Associates, Las Vegas, NV T. H. Chaney, USGS, Denver, CO J. D. Christensen, Kiewit/PB, Las Vegas, NV M. J. Clevenger, M&O/LANL, Los Alamos, NM Donald Mangold, M&O/LBNL, Berkeley, CA R. E. Monks, M&O/LLNL, Livermore, CA R. R. Richards, M&O/SNL, Albuquerque, NM, M/S 1333 R. P. Ruth, M&O, Las Vegas, NV J. W. Willis, M&O, Las Vegas, NV A. W. Rabe, YMQAD/QATSS, Las Vegas, NV File, YMQAD/QATSS, Las Vegas, NV

		al t <u>ra</u> nce	\smile	PAGE1 OF3 Surveillance No. YMP-SR-96-008
OFFICE OF RADIOACTIVE WASTE MANAGEMENT U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY WASHINGTON, D.C.				
QUALITY ASSURANCE SURVEILLANCE RECORD				
SURVEILLANCE DATA				
¹ ORGANIZATION/LOCATION: Civilian Radioactive Waste Management System, Management and Operating Contractor (CRWMS M&O), Yucca Mountain Site, Nevada	ive Waste Multi-Point Borehole Extensionmeter (MPBX) stem, borehole sample collection and processing. d Operating VMS M&O),			³ DATE: 1/4 - 11/96
⁴ SURVEILLANCE OBJECTIVE: to evaluate the process for the collection of MPBX core located at Alcove 5.				
⁵ SURVEILLANCE SCOPE: Sample collection from MPBX boreholes # 1 and 2 in Alcove 5.			⁶ SURVEILLANCE TEAM: Team Leader:	
				John R. Doyle Additional Team Members:
⁷ PREPARED BY:		*CONCUR		
John R. Dovie John N Dayla/3/96N/A				
Surveillance Feam Leader Date QA Division D			irector Date	
SURVEILLANCE RESULTS				
BASIS OF EVALUATION/DESCRIPTION OF OBSERVATIONS:				
See Page(s) <u>2 & 3</u>				
¹⁰ SURVEILLANCE CONCLUSIONS:				
See Page(s) <u>3</u>				
"COMPLETED BY: Surveillance Team Leader	2/8/6/6 . Date	12APPROV	DULD Division D	

•

Exhibit QAP-2.8.1

1

REV. 11/24/93

Block 9 (continued) BASIS OF EVALUATION/DESCRIPTION OF OBSERVATIONS:

On January 4 through 11, 1996 a surveillance was performed at the Exploratory Studies Facility (ESF) at the Yucca Mountain Site, Nevada to evaluate the process for the collection of MPBX core located at Alcove 5.

MPBX boreholes are boreholes that are drilled and instrumented at various convergence locations in the ESF to monitor rock-mass relaxation and deformation. At the request of a Principal Investigator, core can be collected for site characterization activities.

MPBX boreholes ESF-MDS-MPBX#1 and ESF-MDS-MPBX#2 were cored at Alcove 5 in accordance with the requirements of Job Package (JP) 92-20D, Revision 0, and Test Planning Package (TPP) T-93-2, Revision 4. Core was collected by CRWMS M&O/Drilling Support and Sample Management (DS&SM) personnel as per Nevada Work Instruction (NWI), NWI-DS-001Q, Revision 1, and transported to the Sample Management Facility (SMF).

Examination of core collected at the SMF revealed that the core collected did not have orientation or footage markings required by procedure before transport to the SMF (see Deficiency Report [DR] Yucca Mountain Quality Assurance Division [YMQAD]-96-D032). A review of the Field Packaging Approval utilized by CRWMS M&O/DS&SM revealed that this MPBX core processing was to be performed at the SMF, not at the borehole location as per procedure. Field Packaging Approvals are limited to special packaging or handling conditions required to preserve in-situ conditions that may be required for a specific study. However, they are not to be utilized to supersede or take the place of procedural requirements. Conversations with cognizant personnel indicated the reason for this discrepancy was to preclude hindering tunnel boring operations that were ongoing at the time (see DR YMQAD-96-D032).

In addition, in reviewing the JP and TPP for this activity, it was found that organizations referenced were not those that were performing the work (see DR YMQAD-96-D033).

Documents reviewed during the course of the surveillance:

JP 92-20D, Revision 0, "Construction Monitoring in the Ramps, MTL Drifts and Alcoves" TPP T-93-2, Revision 4, "Construction Monitoring in the Exploratory Studies Facility" Yucca Mountain Site Characterization Administrative Procedure YAP-SII.1Q, Revision 1, "Submittal, Review, and Approval of Requests for Yucca Mountain Site Characterization Project Geologic Specimens"

NWI-DS-001Q, Revision 1, "Field Logging, Handling and Documenting Borehole Samples" Field Packaging Approval Request, Buesch to the Sample Overview Committee (SOC), dtd 1/2/96

Block 9 (continued) BASIS OF EVALUATION/DESCRIPTION OF OBSERVATIONS:

Personnel Contacted during the course of the surveillance:

Michael Mapa, Deputy Manager of DS&SM, CRWMS M&O/Science Applications International Corp. (SAIC) Christopher Lewis, Curator SMF, CRWMS M&O/SAIC Allan Mitchell, Test Coordination Office Coordinator, Los Alamos National Laboratory Steve Hopkins, Senior Geologist, CRWMS M&O/SAIC

Block 10 (continued) SURVEILLANCE CONCLUSIONS:

Based on documentation reviews, personnel interviews, and the issuance of two DRs, it has been determined that the overall adequacy and effectiveness of implementation is marginally effective.

Two conditions adverse to quality were identified and documented during the course of the surveillance. A summary is as follows:

DR YMOAD-96-D032:

Cores were not videotaped, measured, marked with footage, or orientation marks at the field facility for boreholes ESF-MDS-MPBX #s 1 and 2 in Alcove 5. In addition, these above deviations by the Field Packaging Approval direction of Buesch to the SOC were contrary to the procedural requirements of NWI-DS-001Q.

DR YMOAD-96-D033:

JP 92-20D gives numerous references to Organizations that are no longer participants on the Yucca Mountain Site Characterization Project. The DR will give direction to revise this JP and others that have similar discrepancies to reflect the current organizational responsibilities.