

Department of Energy

Office of Civilian Radioactive Waste Management Yucca Mountain Site Characterization Office P.O. Box 98608 Las Vegas, NV 89193-8608

MAR 0 3 1995

L. Dale Foust
Technical Project Officer

for Yucca Mountain Site
Characterization Project

TRW Environmental Safety Systems, Inc.

101 Convention Center Drive, Suite P-110
Las Vegas, NV 89109

VERIFICATION OF CORRECTIVE ACTION AND CLOSURE OF CORRECTIVE ACTION REQUEST (CAR) YM-94-063 RESULTING FROM YUCCA MOUNTAIN QUALITY ASSURANCE DIVISION'S (YMQAD) AUDIT YMP-94-01 OF THE CIVILIAN RADIOACTIVE WASTE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM MANAGEMENT AND OPERATING CONTRACTOR (SCPB: N/A)

The YMQAD staff has verified the corrective action to CAR YM-94-063 and determined the results to be satisfactory. As a result, the CAR is considered closed.

If you have any questions, please contact either Robert B. Constable at 794-7945 or Richard E. Powe at 794-7749.

Richard E. Spence, Director Yucca Mountain Quality Assurance Division

YMQAD:RBC-2340

Enclosure: CAR YM-94-063

cc w/encl: T. A. Wood, HQ (RW-14) FORS J. G. Spraul, NRC, Washington, DC S. W. Zimmerman, NWPO, Carson City, NV R. L. Robertson, M&O, Vienna, VA Richard Jiu, M&O, Las Vegas, NV R. P. Ruth, M&O, Las Vegas, NV

cc w/o encl: W. L. Belke, NRC, Las Vegas, NV D. G. Sult, YMQAD/QATSS, Las Vegas, NV

YMP-5

9503100415 950303 PDR WASTE WM-11 PDR

130009

يغې چې	· · ·		CRIGINAL THIS IS A RED STAMP	
	OFFICE OF C ACTIVE WASTE DEPARTMENT WASHINGTO	MANAGEMENT OF ENERGY	8 CAR NO.: <u>YM-94-063</u> PAGE: <u>1</u> OF <u>2</u> QA	
COF	RECTIVE ACTION	ON REQUEST		
1 Controlling Document QAP-3-0, Revision 2 and QAP-3-8, R	evision 4		ted Report No. t YMP-94-01	
3 Responsible Organization CRWMS MAD		ssed With		
	S. Ba	TTEA		
5 Requirement: MEO QAP-3-0, Revision 2, Section 5.6, "Design Output Control" states in part: "5.6.4 After approval, the design outputs will become part of the MEO technical baseline (Level 3) and will be placed under configuration control, in accordance with QAP-3-4." (Continued on next page)				
6 Adverse Condition: Design output documents (specific baseline as approved documents; h on ten of 29 design output docume	owever, two require	ubmitted for MiO tec d signatures were mi	hnical ssing	
DISCUSSION:		:		
All ten of the design specificati quality-affecting, were not signe Assurance, e.g., the following sp baseline without all necessary si	d by the Department ecifications were s	Manager and Ouality	bnical	
BABE00000-01717-6300-02165, Revis BABEAD000-01717-6300-02313, Revis BABE00000-01717-6300-03360, Revis	ion 03, Rockbolts a ion 00, Subsurface ion 00, Dry Process	nd Accessories Drilling and Blastin Shotcrete	g	
		•		
 ⁹ Does a Significant Condition Adverse to Quality exist? Yes Nox If Yes, Check One: A B C D 		Yes - Attach copy of SV	3 Response Due Date: 20 Working Days From Issuance	
¹¹ Required Actions: X Remedial	Extent of Deficiency	X Preciude Recurrenc	e 🔲 Root Cause Determination	
 12 Recommended Actions: 1) Obtain approval of the ten 2) Consider revising procedur 				
	3/4/94		Date 8.5.94	
15 Response Accepted QAR TENowe		Response Adsepted	Date 8.25.94	
17 Amended Response Accepted		Amended Response Ac		
		QADD	Date	
19 Corrective Actions Vertified QAR Mome	311/95	Closure Approved by:	Date 3.2.95	

ł

Englocure

OFFICE OF CIVILIAN RADIOACTIVE WASTE MANAGEMENT U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY WASHINGTON, D.C.

8 CAR NO .: YM-94-063			
PAGE:	2	_ OF	2
		QA	

CORRECTIVE ACTION REQUEST (CONTINUATION PAGE)

5 Requirements (continued)

M&O QAP-3-8, Revision 4, Paragraph 5.9, "Specification Approval" states in part:

"A. Produce the final specification by changing the alphanumeric designator to a numeric revision designator. The initial specification revision designator shall be "00" and....

E. Obtain Department Manager signature and date.

- F. Obtain QA signature and date.
- G. Forward the approved specification to the LDE."

Corrective Action Response for CAR YM-94-063

A. Remedial Action - To correct the specific problem identified during the audit, the 10 specifications will be signed by QA and the department manager.

Responsible Individual:	Jerry Naaf
Due Date:	9/30/94 (Anticipated Release Date of 2C)

B. Extent of the Deficiency - Only applies to the 10 specifications in question.

C. Corrective Action to Preclude Recurrence

1). The design organization is reviewing the design process to incorporate all the lessons learned from the various audits and surveillances which have just concluded. The design control process will be revised to incorporate the lessons learned. Until the process is formally changed, we will follow the process outlined in the procedures. Appropriate procedures will be revised to reflect the revised design control process.

2). M&O design personnel will be retrained to the revised procedures describing the revised design control process.

Responsible Person:Stan BaileyDue Date:1/31/95

8/11/10/ LV.ESSB.GH.8/94-731

VERIFICATION OF CORRECTIVE ACTION FOR CAR YM-94-063

1. Verified specifications are now being processed in the same manner, whether quality related or non-quality related. The Civilian Radioactive Waste Management System Management and Operating contractor (M&O) actually has a Specification Cover Sheet for the record on all 10 quality related specifications that reflect all necessary signatures. In some instances the Specification Cover Sheet refers to CAR YM-94-063. NOTE: Some of the specifications were approved but never issued. The specifications were:

BAB000000-01717-6300-01400 R02 BAB000000-01717-6300-01501 R00 BAB000000-01717-6300-02165 R03 BABEAD000-01717-6300-02313 R00 BABEAB000-01717-6300-02341 R02 BAB000000-01717-6300-03202 R00 BABE00000-01717-6300-03362 R00 BABE00000-01717-6300-03363 R00 BABEA0000-01717-6300-03601 R00 Constructor QC/QA Subsurface General Construction Rockbolts and Accessories Subsurface Drilling and Blasting Steel Sets and Accessories QA-Control Concrete Reinforcement Cast-In-Place QA-Control Concrete Dry Process Shotcrete Wet Process Shotcrete Tunnel Grouting

2. The most recently issued M&O Quality Administrative Procedure, QAP-3-8, Revision 5, "Specifications", effective 3/3/95 still reflects that the specifications have to be approved by the M&O prior to submittal to the DOE for acceptance. This means that if mandatory comments by DOE result in a need to revise the specification the revision number must be changed and reflect that a particular revision was approved but not issued. An M&O representative (Mr. S. Bailey) indicated that the M&O was working on possibly revising the appropriate QAPs to allow sending the specifications to the customer (DOE) just prior to approval so that they can eliminate having approved but not issued specifications.

This CAR is now considered CLOSED.

R. E. Powe, Quality Assurance Representative

3/1/25

Date