

May 22, 2003

MEMORANDUM TO: James W. Clifford, Chief, Section 2
Project Directorate I
Division of Licensing Project Management
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

FROM: Victor Nerses, Sr. Project Manager, Section 2 /RA/
Project Directorate I
Division of Licensing Project Management
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

SUBJECT: MILLSTONE POWER STATION, UNIT NOS.1, 2 AND 3, FACSIMILE
TRANSMISSION, DRAFT REQUEST FOR ADDITIONAL INFORMATION
(RAI) TO BE DISCUSSED IN AN UPCOMING CONFERENCE CALL
(TAC NOS. MB8095, MB8096 AND MB8097)

The attached draft RAI was transmitted by facsimile on May 22, 2003, to Mr. David Dodson, Dominion Nuclear Connecticut, Inc. (licensee). This draft RAI was transmitted to facilitate the technical review being conducted by NRR and to support a conference call with the licensee to discuss the RAI. The RAI was related to the licensee's submittal dated February 28, 2003, concerning the changes to the Millstone Power Station Emergency Plan. Review of the RAI would allow the licensee to determine and agree upon a schedule to respond to the RAI. This memorandum and the attachment do not convey or represent an NRC staff position regarding the licensee's request.

Docket Nos. 50-245, 50-336 and 50-423

Attachment: Draft RAI

May 22, 2003

MEMORANDUM TO: James W. Clifford, Chief, Section 2
Project Directorate I
Division of Licensing Project Management
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

FROM: Victor Nerses, Sr. Project Manager, Section 2 /RA/
Project Directorate I
Division of Licensing Project Management
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

SUBJECT: MILLSTONE POWER STATION, UNIT NOS.1, 2 AND 3, FACSIMILE
TRANSMISSION, DRAFT REQUEST FOR ADDITIONAL INFORMATION
(RAI) TO BE DISCUSSED IN AN UPCOMING CONFERENCE CALL
(TAC NOS. MB8095, MB8096 AND MB8097)

The attached draft RAI was transmitted by facsimile on May 22, 2003, to Mr. David Dodson, Dominion Nuclear Connecticut, Inc. (licensee). This draft RAI was transmitted to facilitate the technical review being conducted by NRR and to support a conference call with the licensee to discuss the RAI. The RAI was related to the licensee's submittal dated February 28, 2003, concerning the changes to the Millstone Power Station Emergency Plan. Review of the RAI would allow the licensee to determine and agree upon a schedule to respond to the RAI. This memorandum and the attachment do not convey or represent an NRC staff position regarding the licensee's request.

Docket Nos. 50-245, 50-336 and 50-423

Attachment: Draft RAI

DISTRIBUTION

PUBLIC

J. Clifford

V. Nerses

K. Brock

PDI-2 Reading

Accession Number: ML031430059

OFFICE	PDI-2/PM	IEHB/SC
NAME	VNerses	Dbarss for KGibson
DATE	5/22/03	5/22/03

OFFICIAL RECORD COPY

DRAFT

REQUEST FOR ADDITIONAL INFORMATION
BY THE OFFICE OF NUCLEAR REACTOR REGULATION
REGARDING PROPOSED CHANGE TO THE MILLSTONE POWER STATION
EMERGENCY PLAN
FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE NOS. DPR-21, DPR-65 AND NPF-49
DOMINION NUCLEAR CONNECTICUT, INC
MILLSTONE POWER STATION, UNIT NOS.1, 2 AND 3
DOCKET NOS.50-245, 50-336 AND 50-423
(TAC NOS. MB8095, MB8906 AND MB8907)

By letter dated February 23, 2003, Dominion Nuclear Connecticut, Inc. (the licensee) submitted a proposed change to the Millstone Power Station Emergency Plan.

The Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) staff has reviewed the information the licensee provided that supports the proposed changes. In order for the staff to complete its evaluation, the following additional information is requested:

1. The licensee describes the technological advances that their justification is based upon for changing Radiological Monitoring Team Health Physics Technician minimum staffing. However, it is still not clear how these advances help reduce the emergency response burden of the Health Physics Technicians since these personnel are needed, for instance, to prepare radiation work permits, etc.. Please provide additional information that clearly demonstrates how this burden is reduced
2. Discuss why radiological concerns are not normally present at the onset of a classified emergency event.
3. What were the on shift emergency duties of the technician moved from on shift to a 30-60 minute responder? Discuss why these duties are no longer needed or how these tasks will be accomplished?