

Department of Energy

Yucca Mountain Site Characterization **Project Office** P. O. Box 98608

Las Vegas, NV 89193-8608

WBS 1.2.9.3

MAY 2 7 1992

Carl P. Gertz, Project Manager, YMP, NV

VERIFICATION OF CORRECTIVE ACTION AND CLOSURE OF CORRECTIVE ACTION REQUEST (CAR) YM-92-010 RESULTING FROM YUCCA MOUNTAIN QUALITY ASSURANCE DIVISION (YMQAD) AUDIT YMP-91-I-01

The YMQAD staff has verified the corrective action to CAR YM-92-010 and determined the results to be satisfactory. As a result, the CAR is considered closed.

If you have any questions, please contact either Robert B. Constable at 794-7945 or Kenneth T. McFall at 794-7280.

Richard E. Spence, Director

C Spince

Yucca Mountain Quality Assurance Division

YMOAD: RBC-3608

Enclosure: CAR YM-92-010

cc w/encl /

K. R. Hooks, NRC, Washington, DC

S. W. Zimmerman, NWPO, Carson City, NV

J. W. Estella, SAIC, Las Vegas, NV, 517/T-22

B. J. Verna, SAIC, Las Vegas, NV,

cc w/o encl:

J. W. Gilray, NRC, Las Vegas, NV

N. J. Brogan, SAIC, Las Vegas, NV, 517/T-12

U10017

920527 WASTE PDR

YMP-5

ADD: Ken Hooks Liv. Enel.

102.7 1 WM-11 NH03



14CAR NO.:	YM-92-010				
DATE:	11/0/01				
SHEET: _	1		OF	2	
			QA	-	
	4	•	0 2		

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY					
WASHINGTON, D.C. WBS No.: 1.2.9.3			1.2.9.3		
CORRECTIVE ACTION REQUEST					
1 Controlling Document			2 Related Report No.		
DOE/RW-0214, Rev. 3, ICN	3.1, QAPD, Sec. 5, 1	Para. 5.1	Audit YMP-91-I-01		
3 Responsible Organization		4 Discussed With			
YMPO		K. Harbert, R. Mu			
10 Response Due	11 Responsibility for C	Corrective Action	12 Stop Work Ord	der YorN	
20 days from issuance 5 Requirement:	C. P. Gertz		NO		
DOE/RW-0214, Revision 3, ICN 3.1, OCRWM QARD, Section 5, Paragraph 5.1, "OCRWM Plans, Procedures, Instructions and Drawings" states in part: "Procedures are developed and implemented to ensure that methods to be used for performance of activities affecting quality are prescribed in documented plans, procedures, and instructions. Activities affecting quality are performed in accordance with these documents"					
6 Adverse Condition: There has been a lack of DISCUSSION:	verbatim compliance	e with procedures.			
Examples for the lack of	verbatim compliance	e are:			
A. YMP AP-3.6Q, Revision 0, "Configuration Management," Paragraph 5.3.2.1.e states that the monthly report of the status of Change Requests (CRs) will contain Configuration Items (CIs) affected by the proposed change. CI(s) affected by the proposed change were not included in the monthly status report of CRs for the time period of April through Suphismber 1991.					
7 Recommended Action(s):		·			
Take action to preclude recurrence such as development of a formal training class that covers such topics as verbatim compliance, what to do to request a change to a procedure and when to initiate a CAR.					
8 Initiator n Clone, ATL Dat Kenneth McFall		- 13 Approved B	y:	Date:	
Kenneth McFall (L. T. M Gel "/8/9/	1 2 2 3 3	OQA Jem	-Blundont for RE	5 11/14/91	
15 Verification of Corrective Action		Jour III			
VERIFIED COMPLETION OF CORRECTIVE ACTION ON 5/22/92.					
STILL WAITHLY PEPPETAE THE STATUS AS ONE USE DESILORS					
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
B) THE REQUIREMENT FUZ CONTINUES EXTENSION DERVISET TO 5/22/92 IS NOT					
B) THE REQUIREMENT FUR CONFIDENCE TO S/22/92 IS NOT C) CORRECTED DURING THE AUDIT REQUIRED - CLOSED ONS/22/92 D) CORRECTED DURING THE AUDIT REQUIRED - CLOSED ONS/22/92					
CLASSROOM TRAINING ON MANDATORY VERBATIM COMPLIANCE HAS BEEN COMPLETED BY ALL AVAILABLE PROJECT PERSONNEL. KTM 5/22/92					
16 Corrective Action Completed a		17 Closure Appro			
OAR KENNETT MEAN	Date 5/22/	22 OOA RC.	Spinse 5	121/92	

CAR NO.:	YM-92-010
DATE:	11/8/91
SHEET: _	2 OF 2

CORRECTIVE ACTION REQUEST (continuation sheet)

6 Adverse Condition (continued)

B. YMP AP-3.6Q, Revision 0, Paragraph 5.4.2 states that configuration audits shall be conducted at intervals not to exceed 12 months.

A Total of 15 months elapsed between the March 1990 configuration audit and the June 1991 audit.

- C. YMP AP-5.19Q, Revision 2, "Interface Control" requires interface Memoranda of Understandings (IMOUs) to be sent to the Local Records Center (LRC)

 - after being signed by evaluators (Step 10), after being signed by requester for data acceptance (Step 15), and after being updated and closed (Step 17).

During the audit , it was determined that none of the IMOUs had been sent to the LRC. This was corrected during the audit.

D. AP-6.17Q, ICN 1, Section 5.12.3 states: "The QRB Administrative Assistant shall transmit a copy of each approved Q-List, Quality Activities List, accompanying PR List, supporting analysis evaluation packages, and subsequent changes to the Local Records Center for filing as a Project record in accordance with approved Project procedures.'

QMP-17-01, Revision 3, ICN 1 states that the Record Source shall perform the following steps: Step 11a, "Verify that documents are complete...."

The QRB Administrative Assistant transmitted the record package for QAL-3 (NNA.91.0521. 0028/Document Number I-85611) to the LRC. This record package has an Analysis Evaluation Package Cover Sheet that had a blank for the final signature and there was no explanation for the blank. This was corrected during the audit.

8 CAR NO.:	<u> YM-</u>	92-0	10
DATE:	12/1	0/91	
SHEET: _	1	OF.	3
		QΔ	

CORRECTIVE ACTION REQUEST (Continuation Page)

Root Cause

A. AP-3.6Q, Revision 0, "Configuration Management"

The requirement for Configuration Item (CI) identification on the monthly Change Request (CR) status report was not complete due to carelessness. The CIs were initially identified on the report, but were removed by mistake during a revision to the report.

B. AP-3.6Q, Revision 0, "Configuration Management"

The requirement for configuration audits to be conducted every 12 months was violated because of incorrect management direction.

C. AP-5.19Q, Revision 2, "Interface Control."

The requirement for sending Interface Memorandum of Understanding (IMOUs) to the Local Records Center (LRC) was not performed due to a change in the method of transmitting documents to the LRC. The change was not fully understood by the staff.

D. AP-6.17Q, ICN 1, Revision 0, "Determination of Importance of Items and Activities"

The requirement for verifying that documents are complete was violated due to oversight.

Remedial Action

Memorandums will be issued by the Project Office Project Manager and the T&MSS Project Manager to their respective staffs requiring verbatim compliance with Project documents. Additionally, the memorandums will contain instructions for obtaining management direction when a document is unclear. The responsible individual to ensure this task is accomplished is the Training Manager. Planned completion date for this action is 1/10/92.

Stedte 12/12/91-4MP-ECR-1237

8	CAR NO.:	YM-92-010		
	DATE:	12,	/10/9	1
	SHEET:	. 2	OF .	3
			QA	

CORRECTIVE ACTION REQUEST (Continuation Page)

The Adverse Conditions identified in CAR YM-92-010 have been corrected in the following manners:

A. AP-3.6Q, Revision 0, "Configuration Management"

The monthly report of the status of CRs has been revised to identify CIs affected by the proposed change. This action has been completed.

B. AP-3.6Q, Revision 0, "Configuration Management"

The procedure will be revised to remove the requirement for configuration audits. The requirement for configuration reviews should be and is located in AP-3.8, Configuration Reviews. A Document Action Request (DAR) will be initiated to remove the requirement from AP-3.6Q. The responsible individual to accomplish this task is Kevin Harbert. Planned completion date for approval of the DAR is 4/15/92

C. AP-5.19Q, Revision 2, "Interface Control."

The employee processing IMOUs has been informed of the new process for transmitting documents to the LRC and is now performing the process correctly. This deficiency was corrected during the audit.

D. AP-6.17Q, ICN 1, Revision 0, "Determination of Importance of Items and Activities"

The adverse condition was corrected during the audit.

8 CAR NO.:	YM-92-010			
DATE:	12	12/10/91		
SHEET:	3	OF	3	
		QA		

CORRECTIVE ACTION REQUEST (Continuation Page)

Corrective Action

Response Approved:

The QA Indoctrination Training will be revised to address verbatim compliance, document revision process, and corrective action process. The responsible individual to perform this task is the Training Manager. Planned completion date for this action is 1/10/92.

Project Office supervisors will attend a briefing to ensure consistent understanding of verbatim compliance, document revision process, and corrective action process requirements. The supervisors will, in turn, brief respective staff members. Attendance rosters for the briefings will provide objective evidence that the briefings were conducted and attended. The atttendance rosters will be returned to and maintained by the Training Department. The responsible individual to ensure this task is accomplished is the Training Manager. The planned completion date for this action is 1/31/92.

Response Accepted:

Respon



Department of Energy

Yucca Mountain Site Characterization
Project Office
P. O. Box 98608
Las Vegas, NV 89193-8608

WBS 1.2.9.1 QA

JAN 1 0 1992

Distribution

COMPLIANCE WITH YUCCA MOUNTAIN SITE CHARACTERIZATION PROJECT OFFICE (YMPO) PROCEDURES

The YMPO has received Corrective Action Request (CAR) YM-92-010 which states, "There has been a lack of verbatim compliance with procedures." This memorandum satisfies part of the remedial action of the CAR. I am committed to supporting procedure compliance. It is a YMPO requirement that employees perform quality-affecting activities in accordance with governing procedures.

It is the responsibility of each employee to ensure that procedures governing quality-affecting activities are followed precisely and that procedure violations do not occur.

If an employee does not understand or has doubts about a quality-affecting activity being performed, or if problems arise trying to follow a procedure that affects quality activities, the employee shall stop the activity and ask for direction from his/her supervisor or manager before continuing. It is the intent of this memorandum that procedures governing quality-affecting activities are not violated.

If you have any questions concerning procedure compliance of this memorandum, please ask your supervisor or manager.

YMP: ECR-1540

Project Manager