



Department of Energy
 Yucca Mountain Site Characterization
 Project Office
 P. O. Box 98608
 Las Vegas, NV 89193-8608

WBS 1.2.9.3
 QA


MAY 29 1992

Richard E. Spence, Director, Yucca Mountain Quality Assurance Division,
 YMP, NV

RESPONSE TO CORRECTIVE ACTION REQUEST (CAR) YM-92-027 RESULTING FROM YUCCA
 MOUNTAIN QUALITY ASSURANCE DIVISION (YMQAD) AUDIT YMP-92-012 OF THE YUCCA
 MOUNTAIN SITE CHARACTERIZATION PROJECT OFFICE (YMPO)

Enclosed is the YMPO response to CAR YM-92-027 for evaluation by YMQAD.

If you have any questions, please contact Richard A. Crawley at 794-7585,
 or Susan B. Jones at 794-7613.



J. Russell Dyer, Director
 Regulatory & Site Evaluation Division

RSED:RAC-3635

Enclosure:
 Response to CAR YM-92-027

- cc w/encl:
- K. R. Hooks, NRC, Washington, DC
 - S. W. Zimmerman, NWPO, Carson City, NV
 - J. W. Estella, SAIC, Las Vegas, NV, 517/T-22
 - C. L. Sellards, SAIC, Las Vegas, NV, 517/T-02
 - N. J. Brogan, SAIC, Las Vegas, NV, 517/T-12
 - B. J. Verna, SAIC, Las Vegas, NV
 - M. W. Pendleton, M&O/WCC, Las Vegas, NV
 - R. D. Rogers, M&O/WCC, Las Vegas, NV
 - S. B. Jones, YMP, NV
 - T. W. Bjerstedt, YMP, NV
 - R. A. Crawley, YMP, NV

cc w/o encl:
 J. W. Gilray, NRC, Las Vegas, NV

050025

9206050180 920529
 PDR WASTE
 WM-11 PDR

YMP-5

ADD: Ken Hooks
 Ltr. Encl.
 1 1

102.7
 WM-11
 N403

OFFICE OF CIVILIAN
RADIOACTIVE WASTE MANAGEMENT
U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY
WASHINGTON, D.C.

CAR NO. YM-92-027
DATE: 5/28/92
PAGE: 1 OF 2
QA

CORRECTIVE ACTION REQUEST (Continuation Page)

1. Corrective Action Response for CAR No. YM-92-27

- A. Remedial Action - The Los Alamos National Laboratories (LANL) Principal Investigator (PI) for Study Plan 8.3.1.3.4.2 "Biological Sorption and Transport" has agreed to provide presently available specific numbers and titles for the technical procedures that will be used for each activity in this study. These procedures will be referenced in the study plan before it is approved by the Yucca Mountain Site Characterization Project Office (YMPO) under Administrative Procedure (AP) 1.10Q.
- B. Investigative Action - This condition has been discussed with the responsible PI at LANL. Other cognizant personnel of the participating organizations responsible for preparing study plans also have been, or will be, contacted to determine the extent of this condition. In general, technical procedures are referenced in study plans to provide substantial compliance with the DOE/NRC Level of Detail Agreement (LODA) when the study plans are submitted to the YMPO for review and approval. For Study Plan 8.3.1.3.4.2, the references had not been updated although a new procedure became available during the AP-1.10Q review process that leads to YMPO approval of study plans.

There is no requirement in the QARD for a scientific investigation planning document to contain a list of references. The requirement is derived from a DOE/NRC agreement, as discussed in Section D. The requirement in AP-1.10Q is derived from this agreement. However, there is no requirement in AP-1.10Q or in the DOE/NRC LODA that study plans must be revised to update referenced procedures as they become available, or as new ones may be added for a particular activity.

- C. Root Cause Determination - DOE agreed to reference technical procedures to be used in study plans to provide additional detailed information to NRC for technical review of study activities. Non-standard procedures are to be distinguished from standard procedures. Non-standard procedures are to be available to NRC 60 days before the start of work. Standard procedures could be used immediately upon approval of the procedure by the participating organization. By agreement, technical procedures are not transmitted routinely to NRC. NRC is to make verbal requests for specific non-standard procedures it wishes to review during the 60 day period before work starts. The YMPO believes that revising study plans as technical procedures become available would be an inefficient process to keep the NRC informed.

OFFICE OF CIVILIAN
RADIOACTIVE WASTE MANAGEMENT
U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY
WASHINGTON, D.C.

CAR NO. YM-92-027
DATE: 5/28/92
PAGE: 2 OF 2
QA

CORRECTIVE ACTION REQUEST (Continuation Page)

-2-

- D. Corrective Action to Preclude Recurrence - First, all study plans that are nearing AP-1.10Q approval will be screened to assure that the technical procedure references are current.

Second, the RSED will renegotiate, through RW-30, the LODA that was obtained at the DOE/NRC May 7 and 8, 1986 meeting. Because the LODA is now six years old, the YMPO plans to renegotiate many aspects of the agreement to the mutual benefit of both parties. Since there is no requirement in the QARD to reference technical procedures in scientific investigation planning documents, this requirement should be deleted from the LODA. Our present schedule is to hold the first DOE/NRC management meeting July 23, 1992, and finalize a new DOE/NRC agreement on study plans by October 30, 1992.

Third, YMPO is proposing that NRC request copies of participant technical procedures through the NRC on-site representative (OR). A current list of technical procedures for each study plan, which would be updated by the PI as new procedures to be used are approved, would be sent to YMPO for transmittal to the OR. This would allow the OR to obtain current versions of all available technical procedures for any study plan when requested by NRC staff for reviews of study plans, reviews of non-standard technical procedures, or any other purpose.

Fourth, the appropriate revision of AP-1.10Q will be developed to comply with the renegotiated DOE/NRC LODA agreement and with the QARD.

2. Individuals responsible for each action listed above (A-D) and anticipated completion dates:
- A. Richard A. Crawley - June 15, 1992.
 - B. Richard A. Crawley - June 15, 1992.
 - C. Richard A. Crawley - Completed.
 - D. Thomas W. Bjerstedt, Richard A. Crawley - October 30, 1992

3. Response Approved:


Responsible Manager

Date:

5/29/92

OFFICE OF CIVILIAN
RADIOACTIVE WASTE MANAGEMENT
U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY
WASHINGTON, D.C.

CAR NO. YM-92-027

DATE: 5/27/92

PAGE: 1 OF 2

QA

CORRECTIVE ACTION REQUEST (Continuation Page)

1. Corrective Action Response for CAR No. YMP-92-27

A. Remedial Action - The Los Alamos National Laboratories (LANL) Principal Investigator (PI) for Study Plan 8.3.1.3.4.2 "Biological Sorption and Transport" has agreed to provide presently available specific numbers and titles for the technical procedures that will be used for each activity in this study. These procedures will be referenced in the study plan before it is approved by the Yucca Mountain Project Office (YMPO) under Administrative Procedure (AP) 1.10Q.

B. Investigative Action - This condition has been discussed with the responsible PI at LANL. Other cognizant personnel of the participating organizations responsible for preparing study plans also have been, or will be, contacted to determine the extent of this condition. In general, technical procedures are referenced in study plans to provide substantial compliance with the DOE/NRC Level of Detail Agreement (LODA) when the study plans are submitted to the YMPO for review and approval. For Study Plan 8.3.1.3.4.2, the references had not been updated although a new procedure became available during the AP-1.10Q review process that leads to YMPO approval of study plans.

There is no requirement in the QARD for a scientific investigation planning document to contain a list of references. The requirement is derived from a DOE/NRC agreement, as discussed in Section D. The requirement in AP-1.10Q is derived from this agreement. However, there is no requirement in AP-1.10Q or in the DOE/NRC LODA that study plans must be revised to update referenced procedures as they become available, or as new ones may be added for a particular activity.

C. Root Cause Determination - DOE agreed to reference technical procedures to be used in study plans to provide additional detailed information to NRC for technical review of study activities. Non-standard procedures are to be distinguished from standard procedures. Non-standard procedures are to be available to NRC 60 days before the start of work. Standard procedures could be used immediately upon approval of the procedure by the participating organization. By agreement, technical procedures are not transmitted routinely to NRC. NRC is to make verbal requests for specific non-standard procedures it wishes to review during the 60 day period before work starts. The YMPO believes that revising study plans as technical procedures become available would be an inefficient process to keep the NRC informed.

OFFICE OF CIVILIAN
RADIOACTIVE WASTE MANAGEMENT
U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY
WASHINGTON, D.C.

CAR NO. YM-92-027
DATE: 5/27/92
PAGE: 2 OF 2
QA

CORRECTIVE ACTION REQUEST (Continuation Page)

-2-

- D. Corrective Action to Preclude Recurrence - First, all study plans that are nearing AP-1.10Q approval will be screened to assure that the technical procedure references are current.

Second, the RSED will renegotiate, through RW-30, the LODA that was obtained at the DOE/NRC May 7 and 8, 1986 meeting. Because the LODA is now six years old, the YMPO plans to renegotiate many aspects of the agreement to the mutual benefit of both parties. Since there is no requirement in the QARD to reference technical procedures in scientific investigation planning documents, this requirement should be deleted from the LODA. Our present schedule is to hold the first DOE/NRC management meeting July 23, 1992, and finalize a new DOE/NRC agreement on study plans by October 30, 1992.

Third, YMPO is proposing that NRC request copies of participant technical procedures through the NRC on-site representative (OR). A current list of technical procedures for each study plan, which would be updated by the PI as new procedures to be used are approved, would be sent to the Project Office for transmittal to the OR. This would allow the OR to obtain current versions of all available technical procedures for any study plan when requested by for NRC staff for reviews of study plans, reviews of non-standard technical procedures, or any other purpose.

Fourth, the appropriate revision of AP-1.10Q will be developed to comply with the renegotiated DOE/NRC LODA agreement and with the QARD.

2. Individuals responsible for each action listed above (A-D) and anticipated completion dates:
- A. Richard A. Crawley - June 15, 1992.
 - B. Richard A. Crawley - June 15, 1992.
 - C. Richard A. Crawley - Completed.
 - D. Thomas W. Bjerstedt, Richard A. Crawley - October 30, 1992

3. Response Approved: Jeremy G. Pelt for J. P. Dyer Date: 5/27/92
Responsible Manager