

OFFICE OF CIVILIAN RADIOACTIVE WASTE MANAGEMENT

QUALITY ASSURANCE AUDIT PLAN

AUDIT NO. YMP-92-13

OF

UNITED STATES GEOLOGICAL SURVEY

AT

THE NEVADA TEST SITE

AND

DENVER, COLORADO

APRIL 1 THROUGH APRIL 10, 1992

Prepared by: Charles C. Warren Date: 2-21-92
Charles C. Warren
Audit Team Leader
Yucca Mountain Quality Assurance Division

Approved by: Donald G. Horton Date: 2/25/92
Donald G. Horton
Director
Office of Quality Assurance

FILED IN BOWDER
499M2 2

9203040347 920227
PDR WASTE
WM-11 PDR

ENCLOSURE

1.0 SCOPE

This limited scope audit will evaluate the effectiveness of the United States Geological Survey (USGS) Quality Assurance (QA) program in meeting the requirements and commitments imposed by the Office of Civilian Radioactive Waste Management (OCRWM).

The effectiveness of USGS QA Program requirements and implementing procedures for program elements and technical activities identified in Section 4.0 of this plan will be evaluated. A representative sample of deficiencies identified during previous QA audits and surveillances of USGS will also be included in the scope of the audit to determine effectiveness of corrective action. In addition, corrective action being taken in response to open Project Office and the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) identified deficiencies may be evaluated during the audit.

This audit will be conducted at the Nevada Test Site (NTS) and at Denver, Colorado in accordance with the schedule identified in Section 2.0.

2.0 AUDIT SCHEDULE

Pre-Audit Team/Observer Meeting (NTS)	8:30 a.m., April 1, 1992
Pre-Audit Meeting (NTS)	9:00 a.m., April 1, 1992
Conduct of Audit (NTS)	9:30 a.m. - 3:30 p.m. April 1, 1992
	8:30 a.m. - 3:30 p.m. April 2, 1992
Daily Team Debriefing (NTS)	3:30 p.m., April 1 & 2, 1992
Pre-Audit Team Observer Meeting (Denver)	12:30 p.m., April 6, 1992
Pre-Audit Meeting (Denver)	1:00 p.m., April 6, 1992
Conduct of Audit (Denver)	1:30 p.m. - 4:30 p.m. April 6, 1992
	8:00 a.m. - 4:30 p.m. April 7 - 9, 1992
	8:00 a.m. - 11:30 a.m. April 10, 1992

Daily Team Debriefing (Denver)

4:30 p.m., April 6 - 9, 1992

Post-Audit Meeting (Denver)

1:00 p.m., April 10, 1992

NOTE: Auditing may be continued at the NTS on April 3, 1992, if necessary to complete evaluations started on April 1 or 2. The Audit Team Leader will make this determination and inform USGS management of the continuation as early as possible.

3.0 REQUIREMENTS TO BE AUDITED AND APPLICABLE REFERENCES

USGS Quality Assurance Program Plan YMP-USGS-QAPP-01, Revision 5 and current Interim Change Notices

USGS Quality Management Procedures and applicable Yucca Mountain Site Characterization Project Administrative Procedures (Quality)

The audit will be conducted in accordance with the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) documents listed below:

OCRWM Quality Assurance Administrative Procedure (QAAP) 18.2, Revision 5, Audit Program

OCRWM QAAP 16.1, Revision 4, Corrective Action

Audit Observer Inquiry

Policy for Participation of State, Tribal, and NRC Representatives as Observers on DOE Audits, Dated July 14, 1987

4.0 ACTIVITIES TO BE AUDITED

Programmatic Elements

3.0 Design Control

5.0 Instructions, Procedures, Plans, and Drawings

6.0 Document Control

17.0 Quality Assurance Records

19.0 Computer Software

20.0 Scientific Investigation Control

In addition, completion of the evaluation of Programmatic Element 1.0, "Organization", will be performed as a follow up to YMP Audit 92-02.

Technical Activities

ACTIVITY NUMBER	TITLE
8.3.1.2.2.1.2 (At NTS)	Evaluation of Natural Infiltration
8.3.1.2.1.2.1 (At NTS)	Surface-water Runoff Monitoring
8.3.1.2.1.2.2 (At NTS)	Transport of Debris by Severe Runoff
8.3.1.2.2.6.1 (At Denver)	Gaseous-Phase Circulation Study
8.3.1.5.2.1.3 (At Denver)	Evaluation of Past Discharge Areas
8.3.1.2.1.3.2 (At Denver)	Regional Potentiometric Levels & Hydrologic Framework
8.3.1.4.2.2.2 (At Denver)	Surface Fracture Network Studies

Evaluation of the above activities by Technical Specialists will include a determination of adequacy in the following areas:

1. Technical qualifications of scientific personnel.
2. Understanding of procedural requirements as they pertain to scientific investigation activities.
3. Adequacy of technical procedures
4. Development of study plans, work supporting the Site Characterization Plan, and any related work.

5.0 AUDIT TEAM MEMBERS

Charles C. Warren, Audit Team Leader, MAC Technical Services (MACTEC), Las Vegas, Nevada

James Blaylock, Auditor, U.S. Department of Energy, Las Vegas, Nevada

Terry W. Noland, Auditor, Westinghouse, Las Vegas, Nevada (Denver only)

Richard E. Powe, Auditor, Science Applications International Corporation (SAIC), Las Vegas, Nevada

Cynthia H. Prater, Auditor, SAIC, Las Vegas, Nevada (Denver only)

Kenneth T. McFall, Lead Technical Specialist, SAIC, Las Vegas, Nevada

Christine Barry, Technical Specialist, SAIC, Las Vegas Nevada (Denver only)

Paul L. Cloke, Technical Specialist, SAIC, Las Vegas, Nevada (Denver only)

Keith M. Kersch, Technical Specialist, SAIC, Las Vegas, Nevada (Denver only)

Marvin Saines, Technical Specialist, Harza, Las Vegas, Nevada (NTS only)

Loren E. Thompson, Technical Specialist, SAIC, Las Vegas Nevada (NTS only)

6.0 AUDIT CHECKLISTS

The following checklists will be used to perform the audit:

92-13-1 Programmatic Checklist

92-13-2 Technical Checklist (NTS)

92-13-3 Technical Checklist (Denver)

*same as noted
by Warren at
Entrance only.*

AUDIT SCOPE

This limited scope audit will evaluate the effectiveness of the U.S. Geological Survey (USGS) Quality Assurance (QA) Program in meeting the requirements and commitments imposed by the Office of Civilian Radioactive Waste Management.

The effectiveness of USGS QA Program requirements and implementing procedures for selected program elements and technical activities will be evaluated.

In addition, corrective action being taken in response to open Project Office and the U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission identified deficiencies will be evaluated during the audit.

*USGS with Warren
C.H. 9/27/92*

AUDIT YMP-92-13

TENTATIVE SCHEDULE OF AUDIT ACTIVITIES (DENVER)

*Handout
4/6/92*

MONDAY 4/6/92	TUESDAY 4/7/92	WEDNESDAY 4/8/92	THURSDAY 4/9/92	FRIDAY 4/10/92
	8:00 TPO Meeting <u>8.3.1.5.2.1.3</u> Cloke/Blaylock (3,20) <u>8.3.1.2.2.6.1</u> Kersch/Powe (3,20) Warren - 1 Cox - 5 Prater - 17	8:00 TPO Meeting <u>8.3.1.5.2.1.3</u> Cloke/Blaylock (3,20) <u>8.3.1.2.2.6.1</u> Kersch/Powe (3,20) Prater - 17 Cox - 19	8:00 TPO Meeting <u>8.3.1.4.2.2.2</u> Cloke/Blaylock (3,20) <u>8.3.1.2.1.3.2</u> Kersch/Powe (3,20) Prater - 6 Cox - 19	8:00 TPO Meeting Team Follow-up Activities
	11:30-12:30 LUNCH	11:30-12:30 LUNCH	11:30-12:30 LUNCH	11:30-12:30 LUNCH
12:30 Team/Observer Meeting 1:00 Pre-Audit Meeting <u>8.3.1.5.2.1.3</u> Cloke/Blaylock (3,20) <u>8.3.1.2.2.6.1</u> Kersch/Powe (3,20) Cox - 5 Prater - 17	<u>8.3.1.5.2.1.3</u> Cloke/Blaylock (3,20) <u>8.3.1.2.2.6.1</u> Kersch/Powe (3,20) Warren - 1 Cox - 5 Prater - 17 <i>Blaylock follow up on NR audit findings</i>	<u>8.3.1.4.2.2.2</u> Cloke/Blaylock (3,20) <u>8.3.1.2.1.3.2</u> Kersch/Powe (3,20) Prater - 6 Cox - 19	<u>8.3.1.4.2.2.2</u> Cloke/Blaylock (3,20) <u>8.3.1.2.1.3.2</u> Kersch/Powe (3,20) Prater - 6 Cox - 19	1:00 Post-Audit Meeting
4:30 TEAM DEFRIEFING	4:30 TEAM DEBRIEFING	4:30 TEAM DEBRIEFING	4:30 TEAM DEBRIEFING	

OCRWM AUDIT CHECKLIST NO. 92-13-1

1 ORGANIZATION USGS

2 Page 1 of 130

3 AUDIT ITEM NO.	4 QUALITY REQUIREMENT REFERENCE(S)	5 QUALITY REQUIREMENT/GUIDELINE	6 RESULTS S,X,N/A	7 SUMMARY OF INVESTIGATION	8 PERSON CONTACTED
1-1	QAPP-01, Rev. 5, ICN No. 7, para. 1.2	<p>ORGANIZATION</p> <p>1. Verify written agreements with outside organizations for conduct of portions of the technical program, specify how the YMP-USGS program will be addressed by those organizations.</p> <p>2. Verify that specified QA program requirements for outside organizations has been evaluated in accordance with USGS requirements.</p>			
				<p>9 AUDITOR SIGNATURE _____ 10 DATE _____</p>	

OCRWM AUDIT CHECKLIST NO. 92-13-1

1 ORGANIZATION USGS

2 Page 2 of 130

3 AUDIT ITEM NO.	4 QUALITY REQUIREMENT REFERENCE(S)	5 QUALITY REQUIREMENT/GUIDELINE	6 RESULTS S,X,N/A	7 SUMMARY OF INVESTIGATION	8 PERSON CONTACTED
1-2	QMP-1.01, Rev. 4, para. 4.12	Verify that organizations outside of YMP0, with activities that support YMP-USGS, comply with requirements of the YMP-USGS QA Program Plan as in wspecified ritten agreements. Evaluation of these organizations' QA programs shall be in accordance with applicable QMPs.			
1-3	QMP-2.02, Rev. 5, para. 5.2	Verify that personnel performing YMP-USGS quality-affecting activities have been permanently to assigned USGS organization positions and that standard USGS position descriptions have been submitted to the TPO for these positions.			
				<div>9 AUDITOR SIGNATURE</div> <div>10 DATE</div>	

OCRWM AUDIT CHECKLIST NO. 92-13-1

1 ORGANIZATION USGS

2 Page 3 of 130

3 AUDIT ITEM NO.	4 QUALITY REQUIREMENT REFERENCE(S)	5 QUALITY REQUIREMENT/GUIDELINE	6 RESULTS S,X,N/A	7 SUMMARY OF INVESTIGATION	8 PERSON CONTACTED
3-1	QMP-3.05, Rev. 2, MI para. 5.1	<p>WORK REQUEST FOR NTS CONTRACTOR SERVICES (CRITERIA LETTER)</p> <p>1. Verify that criteria letters contain the following information:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1) Name, address, and phone number of PI. 2) Purpose of work. 3) Source of funding. 4) General description of work. 5) Description of location of work. 6) Specific criteria, requirement, and applicable procedures for work to be performed by NTS contractor. 7) Equipment to be provided by USGS. 8) Attachment of applicable QALAs. 9) Reference or identification of applicable QMPs and/or TPs. 10) Requester start dated and duration or schedule. 11) Points of contact if different from 1) above. 12) Identification of hold-and-Witness point, if any. 			
				<p>9 AUDITOR SIGNATURE _____ 10 DATE _____</p>	

OCRWM AUDIT CHECKLIST NO. 92-13-1

1 ORGANIZATION USGS

2 Page 4 of 130

3 AUDIT ITEM NO.	4 QUALITY REQUIREMENT REFERENCE(S)	5 QUALITY REQUIREMENT/GUIDELINE	6 RESULTS S,X,N/A	7 SUMMARY OF INVESTIGATION	8 PERSON CONTACTED
	para. 5.2	2. Verify identification designator of criteria letter YMP-USGS (Subject number) - (Revision number).			
	paras. 5.3 and 5.4	3. Verify criteria letter reviewed and approved by QA Manager and TPO or designees.			
	para. 5.9	4. Verify changes to criteria letters approved by QA Manager and TPO or designees.			
				<p>9 AUDITOR SIGNATURE _____ 10 DATE _____</p>	

OCRWM AUDIT CHECKLIST NO. 92-13-1

1 ORGANIZATION USGS

2 Page 5 of 130

3 AUDIT ITEM NO.	4 QUALITY REQUIREMENT REFERENCE(S)	5 QUALITY REQUIREMENT/GUIDELINE	6 RESULTS S,X,N/A	7 SUMMARY OF INVESTIGATION	8 PERSON CONTACTED
3-2	QMP-3.13, Rev. 1 para. 5.1 para. 5.4 para. 5.5 para. 5.6	DESIGN INPUT 1. Verify written communication from ES Test Manager to USGS ESF Coordinator requesting new or updated input. 2. Verify such input includes written documentation to include a copy of applicable calculations, preliminary analyses, or applicable reviews. 3. Verify such input underwent a technical review per QMP-3.07 prior to submittal of input to ES Test Manager. 4. Verify all such input underwent a quality assurance review.			
				9 AUDITOR SIGNATURE	10 DATE

OCRWM AUDIT CHECKLIST NO. 92-13-1

1 ORGANIZATION USGS

2 Page 6 of 130

3 AUDIT ITEM NO.	4 QUALITY REQUIREMENT REFERENCE(S)	5 QUALITY REQUIREMENT/GUIDELINE	6 RESULTS S,X,N/A	7 SUMMARY OF INVESTIGATION	8 PERSON CONTACTED
3-3	QMP-3.02, Rev. 1	<p>USGS QA LEVEL ASSIGNMENT</p> <p>Verify any QALAs initiated since 3/90 done in accordance with procedure.</p>			
				<p>9 AUDITOR SIGNATURE _____ 10 DATE _____</p>	

OCRWM AUDIT CHECKLIST NO. 92-13-1

1 ORGANIZATION USGS

2 Page 7 of 130

3 AUDIT ITEM NO.	4 QUALITY REQUIREMENT REFERENCE(S)	5 QUALITY REQUIREMENT/GUIDELINE	6 RESULTS S,X,N/A	7 SUMMARY OF INVESTIGATION	8 PERSON CONTACTED
3-4	AP-5.28Q, Rev. 2 para. 5.?	<p>QUALITY ASSURANCE GRADING (QAG)</p> <p>Verify the TPO initiates the following steps:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Select individual to review Q-List and evaluate importance of assigned items or activities within area of responsibility. 2. Complete worksheet for characteristics of items or activities appearing on Q-List or Q-Activities List 3. Prepare QAG Report for items/activities identified above with signature and date of preparer, QA Manager, and TPO prior to submittal to the Quality Review Board (QRB). 4. Upon return of QAG Report, revise and resubmit, dispute, or withdraw the report with approvals as per number 3. above. 5. Upon approval of QAG Report by QRB, perform impact analysis of requirements in new or revised QAGR on products previously generated for items or activities, and take appropriate action to correct deficiencies identified by impact analysis. 6. Review changes to Q-List/Q-Activities List to determine need for revision, if revision is needed, and verify if done in accordance with initial requirements. 			
				9 AUDITOR SIGNATURE _____	10 DATE _____

OCRWM AUDIT CHECKLIST NO. 92-13-1

1 ORGANIZATION USGS

2 Page 8 of 130

3 AUDIT ITEM NO.	4 QUALITY REQUIREMENT REFERENCE(S)	5 QUALITY REQUIREMENT/GUIDELINE	6 RESULTS S,X,N/A	7 SUMMARY OF INVESTIGATION	8 PERSON CONTACTED
3-5	AP-6.17Q, Rev. 0	<p>DETERMINATION OF IMPORTANCE OF ITEMS AND ACTIVITIES</p> <p>What are the USGS responsibilities in implementing AP-6.17Q?</p>			
				<p>⁹ AUDITOR SIGNATURE _____ ¹⁰ DATE _____</p>	

92-13-1

2 Page 9 of 130

3 AUDIT ITEM NO.	4 QUALITY REQUIREMENT REFERENCE(S)	5 QUALITY REQUIREMENT/GUIDELINE	6 RESULTS S,X,N/A	7 SUMMARY OF INVESTIGATION	8 PERSON CONTACTED
3-6	AP-1.10Q, Rev. 4	<p>STUDY PLANS</p> <p>Verify the following has occurred with preparation, review, and approval of study plans:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. TPO assigns qualified individual to author study plan. 2. Format and content of draft. <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a. Consistent with DOE/NRC agreement. b. Includes abstract in front of Table of Contents. c. Has undergone a technical review. 3. Forwarded to YMP for review. 4. Resolve comments from YMP, prepare final draft, and transmit to RSED. <p>Revision of Approved Study Plans:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Identify need and reason for revision, and vertical change bars to show proposed text changes. Submit to RSED Director. 2. Prepare CR in accordance with AP-3.3Q to bring SCPB into agreement with major changes proposed for SCP SP. 			
				<p>⁹ AUDITOR SIGNATURE ¹⁰ DATE</p>	

OCRWM AUDIT CHECKLIST NO. 92-13-1

1 ORGANIZATION USGS

2 Page 10 of 130

3 AUDIT ITEM NO.	4 QUALITY REQUIREMENT REFERENCE(S)	5 QUALITY REQUIREMENT/GUIDELINE	6 RESULTS S,X,N/A	7 SUMMARY OF INVESTIGATION	8 PERSON CONTACTED
3-7	YMP-USGS-QMP 3.04, Rev. 3, M2	<p>TECHNICAL REVIEW AND DISTRIBUTION OF PUBLICATION</p> <p>Determine who is:</p> <p>a. Chief, GSP</p> <p>b. Chief, HIP</p> <p>c. Regional Research Hydrologist, WRD</p> <p>d. District Chief, Nevada District, WRD</p>			
3-8		<p>Determine if there have been any USGS-YMP Publications issued:</p> <p>a. Since 5/24/91 (Last Audit)</p> <p>b. Since 10/15/91 (effective, date for Mod 2)</p> <p>(NOTE: Look for publication dealing with technical areas of interest, see Audit Plan)</p>			
				<p>⁹ AUDITOR SIGNATURE ¹⁰ DATE</p>	

OCRWM AUDIT CHECKLIST NO. 92-13-1

1 ORGANIZATION USGS

2 Page 11 of 130

3 AUDIT ITEM NO.	4 QUALITY REQUIREMENT REFERENCE(S)	5 QUALITY REQUIREMENT/GUIDELINE	6 RESULTS S,X,N/A	7 SUMMARY OF INVESTIGATION	8 PERSON CONTACTED
3-9	QMP-3.04, Rev. 3, M2, para. 5.1.1	<p>Select Sample of publications to review and obtain QA Record Package Segments.</p> <p>Determine how qualified reviewers are selected. Review representative sample of "Review Selection Form"</p>			
3-10	QMP-3.04, Rev. 3, paras. 5.2 and 5.3	<p>Documentation of Comments. Review a representative sample of Review Comment Sheets for appropriate resolution of comments. (NOTE: Only substantive comments are placed on the Review Comment Sheets. Review recommendations and editorial comments are marked on draft-not on Review Comment Sheet 5)</p> <p>How have Technical Reviews changed since M2 added paragraphs 5.2.1, 5.2.2 and 5.2.3 on 10/15/91?</p>			
				<div> <div>9 AUDITOR SIGNATURE</div> <div>10 DATE</div> </div>	

OCRWM AUDIT CHECKLIST NO. 92-13-1

1 ORGANIZATION USGS 2 Page 12 of 130

1 ORGANIZATION USGS 2 Page 12 of 130

3 AUDIT ITEM NO.	4 QUALITY REQUIREMENT REFERENCE(S)	5 QUALITY REQUIREMENT/GUIDELINE	6 RESULTS S,X,N/A	7 SUMMARY OF INVESTIGATION	8 PERSON CONTACTED
3-11	QMP-3.04, Rev. 3, para. 5.3.3	Verify manuscripts are approved by the appropriate official			
3-12	QMP-3.04, Rev. 3, para. 5.3.5	Verify manuscripts with non USGS/DOE authors have authorization for release.			
3-13	QMP-3.04, Rev. 3, para. 5.3.5	Verify, if appropriate, milestone completion is addressed in letters of transmittal to the DOE.			
				<div> <div>9 AUDITOR SIGNATURE</div> <div>10 DATE</div> </div>	

OCRWM AUDIT CHECKLIST NO. 92-13-1

1 ORGANIZATION USGS

2 Page 13 of 130

3 AUDIT ITEM NO.	4 QUALITY REQUIREMENT REFERENCE(S)	5 QUALITY REQUIREMENT/GUIDELINE	6 RESULTS S,X,N/A	7 SUMMARY OF INVESTIGATION	8 PERSON CONTACTED
3-14	QMP-3.04, Rev. 3, para. 5.3.6	Verify Branch Science Advisor has determined if other YMP participants should receive the report.			
3-15	QMP-3.04, Rev. 3, para. 5.3.7	Verify DOE concerns, if any, have been resolved prior to final manuscript preparation.			
3-16	YMP-USGS QMP 3.07, Rev. 3, M1 and M2 para. 2	YMP-USGS REVIEW Determine what documents (criteria letters, scientific planning documents, design inputs, and technical procedure) have been reviewed since 5/24/91 (last audit), (NOTE: Look for documents dealing with technical areas of interest, see Audit Plan).			
				<div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between;"> <div>⁹ AUDITOR SIGNATURE</div> <div>¹⁰ DATE</div> </div>	

OCRWM AUDIT CHECKLIST NO. 92-13-1

¹ ORGANIZATION USGS

² Page 14 of 130

³ AUDIT ITEM NO.	⁴ QUALITY REQUIREMENT REFERENCE(S)	⁵ QUALITY REQUIREMENT/GUIDELINE	⁶ RESULTS S,X,N/A	⁷ SUMMARY OF INVESTIGATION	⁸ PERSON CONTACTED
3-17	QMP-3.07, Rev. 3,	Select Sample of documents to review and obtain QA Record Package Segments.			
	para. 5.1	Determine how qualified reviewers are selected. Review representative Sample of Review Selection forms.			
3-18	para. 5.2	Documentation of Comments. Review a representative sample of Review/Comment Sheets for appropriate resolution of comments, especially major comments.			
				⁹ AUDITOR SIGNATURE _____	¹⁰ DATE _____

OCRWM AUDIT CHECKLIST NO. 92-13-1

1 ORGANIZATION USGS

2 Page 15 of 130

3 AUDIT ITEM NO.	4 QUALITY REQUIREMENT REFERENCE(S)	5 QUALITY REQUIREMENT/GUIDELINE	6 RESULTS S,X,N/A	7 SUMMARY OF INVESTIGATION	8 PERSON CONTACTED
3-19	YMP-USGS QMP 3.10, Rev. 2, M1 para. 2 para. 5.1	VERIFICATION OF SCIENTIFIC INVESTIGATIONS Determine if there has been any Verification Activity (VA) since 5/24/91. Identify VA Team Leader. Determine reason for VA. a. hold point b. change of the PI c. chose-out of scientific investigation			
3-20	QMP-3.10 Rev. 2, M1	Determine if any waivers of verification have been processed. Verify QA concurrence.			
				9 AUDITOR SIGNATURE	10 DATE

OCRWM AUDIT CHECKLIST NO. 92-13-1

1 ORGANIZATION USGS

2 Page 16 of 130

3 AUDIT ITEM NO.	4 QUALITY REQUIREMENT REFERENCE(S)	5 QUALITY REQUIREMENT/GUIDELINE	6 RESULTS S,X,N/A	7 SUMMARY OF INVESTIGATION	8 PERSON CONTACTED
3-21	para. 5.3	Verify independence of reviewers and that QA is represented.			
3-22	QMP-03.10, Rev. 2, M1 5.5.2	Verify VA Plans identify a. the scientific investigation (study, activity) to be verified. b. purpose and scope of the VA c. disciplines of VA personnel d. VA methodology and schedule e. acceptance criteria			
3-23	para. 5.6.1	Verify VA has been accomplished in accordance with the approved VA plan or a departure is justified and documented in the VA Completion Report.			
				<div>9 AUDITOR SIGNATURE</div> <div>10 DATE</div>	

OCRWM AUDIT CHECKLIST NO. 92-13-1

1 ORGANIZATION USGS

2 Page 17 of 130

3 AUDIT ITEM NO.	4 QUALITY REQUIREMENT REFERENCE(S)	5 QUALITY REQUIREMENT/GUIDELINE	6 RESULTS S,X,N/A	7 SUMMARY OF INVESTIGATION	8 PERSON CONTACTED
3-24	QMP-3.10, Rev. 2 M1, para. 5.7.1	<p>Verify VA Completion Report</p> <p>a. identifies scientific investigation, study, or activity, including the WBS number</p> <p>b. Date of the VA</p> <p>c. VA purpose and scope including the reason (hold point, change in PI, or close-out)</p> <p>d. List of VA team and others who are consulted.</p> <p>e. Describes technical assessment methodology and results including any discrepancies, or deficiencies</p> <p>f. Describe QA evaluations</p> <p>g. Recommend, where appropriate, corrective action</p> <p>h. Reports resolution of all identified discrepancies and/or deficiencies</p>			
				<p>⁹ AUDITOR SIGNATURE _____ ¹⁰ DATE _____</p>	

OCRWM AUDIT CHECKLIST NO. 92-13-1

1 ORGANIZATION USGS

2 Page 18 of 130

[illegible]

OCRWM AUDIT CHECKLIST NO. 92-13-1

1 ORGANIZATION USGS

2 Page 19 of 130

3 AUDIT ITEM NO.	4 QUALITY REQUIREMENT REFERENCE(S)	5 QUALITY REQUIREMENT/GUIDELINE	6 RESULTS S,X,N/A	7 SUMMARY OF INVESTIGATION	8 PERSON CONTACTED
3-27	YMP-USGS QMP-3.11, Rev. 1, M1 para. 5.1	PEER REVIEW Determine if any PEER Reviews have been conducted since 5/24/91. Determine if any PEER Reviews have been conducted in the Areas of Interest (See Audit Plan).			
3-28	QMP-3.11, Rev 1, para. 5.3	Verify that verifiable technical credentials have been submitted by peer reviewers.			
				9 AUDITOR SIGNATURE	10 DATE

OCRWM AUDIT CHECKLIST NO. 92-13-1

1 ORGANIZATION USGS

2 Page 20 of 130

3 AUDIT ITEM NO.	4 QUALITY REQUIREMENT REFERENCE(S)	5 QUALITY REQUIREMENT/GUIDELINE	6 RESULTS S,X,N/A	7 SUMMARY OF INVESTIGATION	8 PERSON CONTACTED
3-29	para. 5.3.2	Verify that when the independence criteria cannot be met, a documented rational was included in the peer review report.			
3-30	QMP-3.11, Rev 1, para. 5.4.1	<p>1. Verify that a peer review plan was developed prior to initiating the peer review.</p> <p>2. Verify that the peer review plan describes the work to be reviewed, the size and spectrum of the peer review group, the suggested method and schedule necessary to produce a peer review report.</p>			
				9 AUDITOR SIGNATURE	10 DATE

OCRWM AUDIT CHECKLIST NO. 92-13-1

1 ORGANIZATION USGS

2 Page 21 of 130

3 AUDIT ITEM NO.	4 QUALITY REQUIREMENT REFERENCE(S)	5 QUALITY REQUIREMENT/GUIDELINE	6 RESULTS S,X,N/A	7 SUMMARY OF INVESTIGATION	8 PERSON CONTACTED
3-31	QMP-3.11, Rev 1 para. 5.4.2	Verify that the following items were reported on in the Peer Review Report:			
		a. Validity of assumptions			
		b. Alternate interpretations			
		c. Uncertainty of results and consequences if incorrect			
		d. Appropriateness and limitations of methodology and procedures			
		e. Adequacy of applications			
		f. Accuracy of calculations			
		g. Accuracy of requirements and criteria			
		h. Validity of conclusions.			
				9 AUDITOR SIGNATURE _____	10 DATE _____

92-13-1

2 Page 22 of 130

3 AUDIT ITEM NO.	4 QUALITY REQUIREMENT REFERENCE(S)	5 QUALITY REQUIREMENT/GUIDELINE	6 RESULTS S,X,N/A	7 SUMMARY OF INVESTIGATION	8 PERSON CONTACTED
3-32	QMP-3.11, Rev 1, para. 5.4.3	Verify that documents have been prepared to indicate the results of meetings, deliberations and activities of the peer review process.			
3-33	para. 5.5	<p>Verify that a peer review report, documenting the results of the review, was prepared and signed by each peer review member.</p> <p>Verify that the peer review report includes items a through d, below.</p> <p>a. A clear description of the work or issue that was peer reviewed.</p> <p>b. Conclusions reached by the peer review process</p> <p>c. Individual statements by peer review group members reflecting dissenting view or additional comments, as appropriate.</p> <p>d. Listing of the peer and the technical qualification and evidence of independence for each peer, including potential technical and/or organizational partiality.</p>			
				<p>⁹ AUDITOR SIGNATURE ¹⁰ DATE</p>	

OCRWM AUDIT CHECKLIST NO. 92-13-1

1 ORGANIZATION USGS

2 Page 23 of 130

3 AUDIT ITEM NO.	4 QUALITY REQUIREMENT REFERENCE(S)	5 QUALITY REQUIREMENT/GUIDELINE	6 RESULTS S,X,N/A	7 SUMMARY OF INVESTIGATION	8 PERSON CONTACTED
3-34	QMP-3.11, Rev 1, para. 5.6	Verify that all comment resolution changes, decisions, discussions, or correspondence have been fully documented.			
3-35	para. 6.2	Verify that the following documents have been submitted to the USGS records Center. o Peer review plan o Peer review group selection approval documentation o Reports, manuscripts and other documents reviewed o Documents of peer review meetings, deliberations, and activities o Peer review report o Comment resolution documentation o Technical qualification documentation for external peer reviewers			
				9 AUDITOR SIGNATURE	10 DATE

OCRWM AUDIT CHECKLIST NO. 92-13-1

1 ORGANIZATION USGS

2 Page 24 of 130

3 AUDIT ITEM NO.	4 QUALITY REQUIREMENT REFERENCE(S)	5 QUALITY REQUIREMENT/GUIDELINE	6 RESULTS S,X,N/A	7 SUMMARY OF INVESTIGATION	8 PERSON CONTACTED
3-36	YMP-USGS, QMP-5.05, Rev. 2, M1, para. 5.3	SCIENTIFIC NOTEBOOK SYSTEM			
		Determine if any Scientific Notebook Plans exist for the areas of interest (see Audit Plan).			
				9 AUDITOR SIGNATURE	10 DATE

92-13-1

2 Page 25 of 130

3 AUDIT ITEM NO.	4 QUALITY REQUIREMENT REFERENCE(S)	5 QUALITY REQUIREMENT/GUIDELINE	6 RESULTS S,X,N/A	7 SUMMARY OF INVESTIGATION	8 PERSON CONTACTED
3-37	QMP-5.05, Rev. 2, para. 5.3 Rev. 2, 11/5/90 Rev. 1, 7/28/89	<p>SCIENTIFIC NOTEBOOK CONTROL OF TECHNICAL ACTIVITIES</p> <p>Verify that a "T" is placed after the document identification number of the scientific notebook.</p>			
3-38	para. 5.4.1	<p>Verify that the following topics are addressed to initiate and justify the scientific notebook procedure, as appropriate:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> o Title of the experiment or research o Description of the experiment's objectives o Description of the proposed approach or procedure o Name of the qualified individual(s) performing the work activity o Special personnel qualification or training requirements o Equipment and materials to be employed during the experimenter research, including any necessary fabrication of experimental equipment and any needed characterization of starting material 			
				<p>9 AUDITOR SIGNATURE _____ 10 DATE _____</p>	

OCRWM AUDIT CHECKLIST NO. 92-13-1

1 ORGANIZATION USGS

2 Page 26 of 130

3 AUDIT ITEM NO.	4 QUALITY REQUIREMENT REFERENCE(S)	5 QUALITY REQUIREMENT/GUIDELINE	6 RESULTS S,X,N/A	7 SUMMARY OF INVESTIGATION	8 PERSON CONTACTED
3-38 con't	QMP-5.05, Rev. 2, para. 5.4.1	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> o Calibration requirements o Potential sources of uncertainty and error o Input data that is suspect or whose quality is beyond the control of the performing organization o Documentation of suitable and controlled environmental conditions o Required levels of precision and accuracy o Dated signature of the individual(s) making the initial entry 			
				<p>9 AUDITOR SIGNATURE _____ 10 DATE _____</p>	

OCRWM AUDIT CHECKLIST NO. 92-13-1

1 ORGANIZATION USGS

2 Page 27 of 130

3 AUDIT ITEM NO.	4 QUALITY REQUIREMENT REFERENCE(S)	5 QUALITY REQUIREMENT/GUIDELINE	6 RESULTS S,X,N/A	7 SUMMARY OF INVESTIGATION	8 PERSON CONTACTED
3-39	QMP-5.05, Rev. 2, para. 5.4.1.1	<p>1. Verify that the Scientific Notebook Plan has been completed and entered into the official record.</p> <p>2. Verify that a copy of the Scientific Notebook Plan is permanently attached to the front of the scientific notebook.</p>			
				9 AUDITOR SIGNATURE	10 DATE

OCRWM AUDIT CHECKLIST NO. 92-13-1

1 ORGANIZATION USGS

2 Page 28 of 130

3 AUDIT ITEM NO.	4 QUALITY REQUIREMENT REFERENCE(S)	5 QUALITY REQUIREMENT/GUIDELINE	6 RESULTS S,X,N/A	7 SUMMARY OF INVESTIGATION	8 PERSON CONTACTED
3-40	QMP-5.05, Rev. 2, para. 5.4.1.2	<p>1. Verify that when modifications to describe approach are made, full details of the modification are recorded in the scientific notebook.</p> <p>2. Verify that when it has been determined that the modification will have an impact on the quality of data, a Nonconformance Report has been issued.</p>			
				9 AUDITOR SIGNATURE	10 DATE

OCRWM AUDIT CHECKLIST NO. 92-13-1

1 ORGANIZATION USGS

2 Page 29 of 130

3 AUDIT ITEM NO.	4 QUALITY REQUIREMENT REFERENCE(S)	5 QUALITY REQUIREMENT/GUIDELINE	6 RESULTS S,X,N/A	7 SUMMARY OF INVESTIGATION	8 PERSON CONTACTED
3-41	QMP-5.05, Rev. 2, para. 5.4.2	<p>Verify that in-process entries provide the following information, as appropriate:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> o Date and name of individual making entry o Description of the experiment or research attempted, including detailed step-by-step process followed; either by reference to implementing procedure or by actual entry into the notebook o Provisions for assuring that prerequisites have been met o Conditions which adversely affect the results of the experiment or research investigation o Identification of samples collected and/or used and any additional equipment and materials not included as part of the initial entries o Entry of data or reference to identification and location of data record(s), including notation of any unaccepted results o Any deviations from the planned experiment or research o Computer software invoked o Interim conclusions reached, as appropriate o Final disposition of facilities 			
				<div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between;"> 9 AUDITOR SIGNATURE 10 DATE </div>	

OCRWM AUDIT CHECKLIST NO. 92-13-1

1 ORGANIZATION USGS

2 Page 30 of 130

3 AUDIT ITEM NO.	4 QUALITY REQUIREMENT REFERENCE(S)	5 QUALITY REQUIREMENT/GUIDELINE	6 RESULTS S,X,N/A	7 SUMMARY OF INVESTIGATION	8 PERSON CONTACTED
3-42	QMP-5.05, Rev. 2, para. 5.4.2.2	<p>1. Verify that the scientific notebook is permanently bound and pages are consecutively numbered up to the last page used.</p> <p>2. Verify that a control number has been assigned to each notebook examined.</p> <p>3. Verify that the control number is displayed on the first page of each volume.</p> <p>4. Verify that there are no open spaces left on pages and that a diagonal black line is drawn across blank spaces to indicate no further entries.</p>			
				<p>9 AUDITOR SIGNATURE _____ 10 DATE _____</p>	

OCRWM AUDIT CHECKLIST NO. 92-13-1

1 ORGANIZATION USGS

2 Page 31 of 130

3 AUDIT ITEM NO.	4 QUALITY REQUIREMENT REFERENCE(S)	5 QUALITY REQUIREMENT/GUIDELINE	6 RESULTS S,X,N/A	7 SUMMARY OF INVESTIGATION	8 PERSON CONTACTED
3-43	QMP-5.05, Rev. 2, para. 5.4.2	<p>1. Verify that when work described in accordance with the Scientific Notebook Plan is complete, a final statement is made to indicate completion.</p> <p>2. Verify that the experimenter and technical reviewer have signed and dated the notebook.</p>			
				9 AUDITOR SIGNATURE	10 DATE

92-13-1

2 Page 32 of 130

3 AUDIT ITEM NO.	4 QUALITY REQUIREMENT REFERENCE(S)	5 QUALITY REQUIREMENT/GUIDELINE	6 RESULTS S,X,N/A	7 SUMMARY OF INVESTIGATION	8 PERSON CONTACTED
3-44	QMP-5.05, Rev. 2, para. 5.4.4	Verify that a statement is in the scientific notebook or technical report that includes a discussion of whether the experiment's objectives, as outlined in the initial entries, were achieved.			
3-45	para. 5.5.1	Verify that the review of the Scientific Notebook Plan, as a minimum, shows evidence that the contents of paragraph 5.4.1 have been addressed.			
				<div>9 AUDITOR SIGNATURE</div> <div>10 DATE</div>	

OCRWM AUDIT CHECKLIST NO. 92-13-1

1 ORGANIZATION USGS

2 Page 33 of 130

3 AUDIT ITEM NO.	4 QUALITY REQUIREMENT REFERENCE(S)	5 QUALITY REQUIREMENT/GUIDELINE	6 RESULTS S,X,N/A	7 SUMMARY OF INVESTIGATION	8 PERSON CONTACTED
3-46	QMP-5.05, Rev. 2, para. 5.5.2	<p>1. Verify that the review of the scientific notebook entries, as a minimum, considered the contents of paragraph 5.4.2.</p> <p>2. Verify that the reviewers signature is part of the final entry in the scientific notebook.</p>			
				<p>9 AUDITOR SIGNATURE _____ 10 DATE _____</p>	

OCRWM AUDIT CHECKLIST NO. 92-13-1

1 ORGANIZATION USGS

2 Page 34 of 130

3 AUDIT ITEM NO.	4 QUALITY REQUIREMENT REFERENCE(S)	5 QUALITY REQUIREMENT/GUIDELINE	6 RESULTS S,X,N/A	7 SUMMARY OF INVESTIGATION	8 PERSON CONTACTED
3-47	QMP-5.05, Rev. 2, para. 5.6.1	<p>1. Verify that each major QA review comment is evaluated and resolved by the PI.</p> <p>2. Verify that upon completion of comment resolution, all documentation of reviewer comments and their resolution is attached to the original reviewed copy.</p>			
				9 AUDITOR SIGNATURE	10 DATE

92-13-1

2 Page 35 of 130

3 AUDIT ITEM NO.	4 QUALITY REQUIREMENT REFERENCE(S)	5 QUALITY REQUIREMENT/GUIDELINE	6 RESULTS S,X,N/A	7 SUMMARY OF INVESTIGATION	8 PERSON CONTACTED
3-48	QMP-5.05, Rev. 2, para. 5.6.2	<p>Verify that the QA review of the Scientific Notebook Plan considers the following, as a minimum:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> o Are the purpose, objective, and scope included? o Is the proposed Work Plan compete enough to give understanding to others? o Are special personnel qualification and training requirements addressed? o Is there a description of the required materials/equipment? o When needed, are calibration instructions addressed to the satisfaction of QMP-12.01? o Is sample handling and control addressed to the satisfaction of QMP-8.01 and Sample Management Facility Procedures? o Are data information and quantitative/qualitative criteria addressed? o Are environmental issues addressed, as appropriate? o Has a notebook number been issued? 			
				⁹ AUDITOR SIGNATURE ¹⁰ DATE	

OCRWM AUDIT CHECKLIST NO. 92-13-1

1 ORGANIZATION USGS 2 Page 36 of 130

1 ORGANIZATION USGS 2 Page 36 of 130

3 AUDIT ITEM NO.	4 QUALITY REQUIREMENT REFERENCE(S)	5 QUALITY REQUIREMENT/GUIDELINE	6 RESULTS S,X,N/A	7 SUMMARY OF INVESTIGATION	8 PERSON CONTACTED
3-49	QMP-5.05, Rev. 2, para. 5.7	Verify that the final draft of the Scientific Notebook Plan receives the following signatures: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - PI - Technical reviewer(s) - GD Branch/NHP Chief - YMP-USGS QA Manager - YMP-USGS Technical Project Officer 			
3-50	para. 5.8	Verify that when a scientific notebook is converted to a technical procedure, the technical procedure references the superseded scientific notebook.			
3-51	para. 5.9	Verify that copies of scientific notebook entries are sent to the QA Office at least semi-annually following the first entry.			
				<div> <div>9 AUDITOR SIGNATURE</div> <div>10 DATE</div> </div>	

OCRWM AUDIT CHECKLIST NO. 92-13-1

1 ORGANIZATION USGS 2 Page 37 of 130

1 ORGANIZATION USGS 2 Page 37 of 130

3 AUDIT ITEM NO.	4 QUALITY REQUIREMENT REFERENCE(S)	5 QUALITY REQUIREMENT/GUIDELINE	6 RESULTS S,X,N/A	7 SUMMARY OF INVESTIGATION	8 PERSON CONTACTED
3-52	QMP-5.05, Rev. 2, para. 5.10	Verify that the effective date of the Scientific Notebook Plan is recorded on the Plan.			
3-53	para. 6.2	Verify that the following documents are included in the Scientific Notebook Record Package: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> o Approved Scientific Notebook Plan o Technical Review documentation o Quality Assurance Review documentation 			
				⁹ AUDITOR SIGNATURE _____ ¹⁰ DATE _____	

OCRWM AUDIT CHECKLIST NO. 92-13-1

1 ORGANIZATION USGS

2 Page 38 of 130

3 AUDIT ITEM NO.	4 QUALITY REQUIREMENT REFERENCE(S)	5 QUALITY REQUIREMENT/GUIDELINE	6 RESULTS S,X,N/A	7 SUMMARY OF INVESTIGATION	8 PERSON CONTACTED
5-1	QMP-5-01 Rev. 4, para. 5.3.1	<p>1. Verify that technical procedures contain all the requirements, at a minimum, as included on Attachment 1.</p> <p>2. Verify that procedures include mandatory hold points where necessary to initiate the conduct of a verification activity.</p>			
				<p>9 AUDITOR SIGNATURE _____ 10 DATE _____</p>	

OCRWM AUDIT CHECKLIST NO. 92-13-1

1 ORGANIZATION USGS

2 Page 39 of 130

3 AUDIT ITEM NO.	4 QUALITY REQUIREMENT REFERENCE(S)	5 QUALITY REQUIREMENT/GUIDELINE	6 RESULTS S,X,N/A	7 SUMMARY OF INVESTIGATION	8 PERSON CONTACTED
5-2	QMP-5.01, Rev. 4, para. 5.4	3. Verify that the reviewer was selected by the Chief, GSP/Chief, HIP who is someone other than a person immediately responsible for (1) the procedure's content, (2) supplying details to the preparer/author concerning the methods described in the procedure, or (3) for conduct of the procedure's activities.			
				<p>9 AUDITOR SIGNATURE _____ 10 DATE _____</p>	

OCRWM AUDIT CHECKLIST NO. 92-13-1

1 ORGANIZATION USGS

2 Page 40 of 130

3 AUDIT ITEM NO.	4 QUALITY REQUIREMENT REFERENCE(S)	5 QUALITY REQUIREMENT/GUIDELINE	6 RESULTS S,X,N/A	7 SUMMARY OF INVESTIGATION	8 PERSON CONTACTED
5-3	QMP-5.01, Rev.4, para. 5.4.1	<p>4. Verify that, as a minimum, the technical review showed evidence that the following criteria were considered in the review:</p> <p>Is there sufficient information to support meeting the objective and purpose?</p> <p>Are special qualifications/training needs adequately addressed?</p> <p>Were appropriate concepts, methods, or techniques used?</p> <p>Are materials, equipment, and calibration requirements adequately addressed?</p> <p>Are limitations, qualitative/quantitative criteria, accuracy, sources of error and/or hold points addressed?</p> <p>Are data output and handling information satisfactory?</p> <p>Was consideration given to repeatability, impact on waste isolation capability and interference with other activities?</p>			
				⁹ AUDITOR SIGNATURE ¹⁰ DATE	

OCRWM AUDIT CHECKLIST NO. 92-13-1

1 ORGANIZATION USGS

2 Page 41 of 130

3 AUDIT ITEM NO.	4 QUALITY REQUIREMENT REFERENCE(S)	5 QUALITY REQUIREMENT/GUIDELINE	6 RESULTS S,X,N/A	7 SUMMARY OF INVESTIGATION	8 PERSON CONTACTED
5-4	QMP-5.01, Rev. 4, para. 5.4.2	<p>5. Verify that the reviewer has signed on the approval page of the procedure and submitted the technical review form and marked up copy to the PI.</p> <p>6. Verify that documentation of reviewer comments and their resolution is attached to the original reviewed copy and submitted to the PI.</p>			
				<p>9 AUDITOR SIGNATURE _____ 10 DATE _____</p>	

92-13-1

2 Page 42 of 130

3 AUDIT ITEM NO.	4 QUALITY REQUIREMENT REFERENCE(S)	5 QUALITY REQUIREMENT/GUIDELINE	6 RESULTS S,X,N/A	7 SUMMARY OF INVESTIGATION	8 PERSON CONTACTED
5-5	QMP-5-01, Rev. 4, para. 5.5.1	7. Verify that, as a minimum, the technical review showed evidence of the following criteria having been considered:			
		- Is this procedure within the scope of the governing SIP/Study Plan?			
		- Are the purpose, objective and scope clearly stated			
		- Are personnel responsibilities and training addressed?			
		- Is the methodology complete enough to give understanding to others?			
		- Are alternate methods, assumptions, and limitations adequately described?			
		- Is there adequate description of the required materials/equipment?			
		- When needed, are calibration instructions adequate?			
		- Are samples adequately addressed?			
		- Are data information and quantitative/qualitative criteria addressed?			
				<div>9 AUDITOR SIGNATURE</div> <div>10 DATE</div>	

OCRWM AUDIT CHECKLIST NO. 92-13-1

1 ORGANIZATION USGS

2 Page 43 of 130

3 AUDIT ITEM NO.	4 QUALITY REQUIREMENT REFERENCE(S)	5 QUALITY REQUIREMENT/GUIDELINE	6 RESULTS S,X,N/A	7 SUMMARY OF INVESTIGATION	8 PERSON CONTACTED
5-5 con't	QMP-5.01, Rev. 4, para. 5.5.1	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Are QA Records listed? - Are attachments included and do referenced procedures adequately address the required function - Is technical review documented, including any attached comments and/ or responses? 			
				9 AUDITOR SIGNATURE	10 DATE

92-13-1

2 Page 44 of 130

[illegible]

92-13-1

1 ORGANIZATION USGS

2 Page 45 of 130

3 AUDIT ITEM NO.	4 QUALITY REQUIREMENT REFERENCE(S)	5 QUALITY REQUIREMENT/GUIDELINE	6 RESULTS S,X,N/A	7 SUMMARY OF INVESTIGATION	8 PERSON CONTACTED
5-8	QMP-5.02, Rev. 3, para. 5.1.1	11. Select representative sample of drawings and verify that the proper identifier was obtained from the QA Office and printed on the drawings as "YMP-USGS-DRW-xxx" followed by a revision number beginning with zero (0).			
5-9	para. 5.2.1	12. Verify that check prints are used by the checker rather than marking the original drawings. It is labeled "Check Print".			
				<div> <div>9 AUDITOR SIGNATURE</div> <div>10 DATE</div> </div>	

92-13-1

2 Page 46 of 130

3 AUDIT ITEM NO.	4 QUALITY REQUIREMENT REFERENCE(S)	5 QUALITY REQUIREMENT/GUIDELINE	6 RESULTS S,X,N/A	7 SUMMARY OF INVESTIGATION	8 PERSON CONTACTED
5-10	QMP-5.02, Rev. 3, para. 5.2.2	13. Verify that drawings are verified, signed, and dated by the checker.			
5-11	para. 5.3	14. Verify that the appropriate signatures were applied to the drawings signifying approval.			
				<div>9 AUDITOR SIGNATURE</div> <div>10 DATE</div>	

OCRWM AUDIT CHECKLIST NO. 92-13-1

1 ORGANIZATION USGS

2 Page 47 of 130

1 ORGANIZATION USGS						2 Page 47 of 130	
3 AUDIT ITEM NO.	4 QUALITY REQUIREMENT REFERENCE(S)	5 QUALITY REQUIREMENT/GUIDELINE	6 RESULTS S,X,N/A	7 SUMMARY OF INVESTIGATION	8 PERSON CONTACTED		
5-12	QMP-5.03, Rev. 7, para. 5.2.2	15. Select five (5) QMPs at random and verify that the nine paragraphs were included regardless whether they did or did not apply.					
5.13	para. 5.3.2	16. What mechanism is in place to use APQs for performing quality affecting work.					
		17. Verify that a QA review was conducted and documented of selected QMPs in compliance with the requirements of para. 5.3.1 by an individual other than the originator. Was the document signed by the QA Manager?					
				9 AUDITOR SIGNATURE		10 DATE	

OCRWM AUDIT CHECKLIST NO. 92-13-1

1 ORGANIZATION USGS 2 Page 48 of 130

1 ORGANIZATION USGS 2 Page 48 of 130

3 AUDIT ITEM NO.	4 QUALITY REQUIREMENT REFERENCE(S)	5 QUALITY REQUIREMENT/GUIDELINE	6 RESULTS S,X,N/A	7 SUMMARY OF INVESTIGATION	8 PERSON CONTACTED
5.14	QMP-5.03, Rev. 7, para. 5.3.1	<p>18. Verify that the selected QMPs were reviewed and consolidated comments were adequately documented on the review/comment resolution form of QMP-3.07.</p> <p>19. Were comment resolutions performed in accordance with QMP-3.07?</p>			
				<p>⁹ AUDITOR SIGNATURE ¹⁰ DATE</p>	

92-13-1

2 Page 49 of 130

3 AUDIT ITEM NO.	4 QUALITY REQUIREMENT REFERENCE(S)	5 QUALITY REQUIREMENT/GUIDELINE	6 RESULTS S,X,N/A	7 SUMMARY OF INVESTIGATION	8 PERSON CONTACTED
5-15	QMP-5.03, Rev. 7, para. 5.3.3	20. Verify that the Chief, YMPB, reviewed the comments referred to in para. 5.3.2 and signed to denote that technical management review was satisfactory and the procedure is consistent with YMP-USGS policies and procedures.			
5-16	para. 5.3.4	21. Verify that the signatures required by this paragraph were placed on the documents.			
				<div> <div>9 AUDITOR SIGNATURE</div> <div>10 DATE</div> </div>	

OCRWM AUDIT CHECKLIST NO. 92-13-1

1 ORGANIZATION USGS

2 Page 50 of 130

3 AUDIT ITEM NO.	4 QUALITY REQUIREMENT REFERENCE(S)	5 QUALITY REQUIREMENT/GUIDELINE	6 RESULTS S,X,NA	7 SUMMARY OF INVESTIGATION	8 PERSON CONTACTED
5-17	QMP-5.03, Rev. 7, para. 5.4.4	<p>22. Verify that a unique identifying number was assigned and distribution was controlled per QMP-6.01.</p> <p>23. Verify that modifications contained the approval signatures, an effective date, and a description of the change.</p>			
				<p>9 AUDITOR SIGNATURE _____ 10 DATE _____</p>	

OCRWM AUDIT CHECKLIST NO. 92-13-1

1 ORGANIZATION USGS

2 Page 51 of 130

3 AUDIT ITEM NO.	4 QUALITY REQUIREMENT REFERENCE(S)	5 QUALITY REQUIREMENT/GUIDELINE	6 RESULTS S,X,N/A	7 SUMMARY OF INVESTIGATION	8 PERSON CONTACTED
5-18	QMP-5.03, Rev. 7, para. 6.2	<p>24. Verify that the following QA Records or Record Packages were submitted to the USGS Local Records Center in accordance with QMP-17.01:</p> <p>Quality Management Procedures or Modifications Records Packages:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Quality Management Procedure or Modification - Review form and/or comments - Correspondence concerning the proposal, review, or approval of QMPs and modifications <p>Quality Assurance Records</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Correspondence designating YMPO Administrative Procedures as direct USGS implementing procedures. <p>25. Verify that the above records requirements were satisfied.</p>			
				9 AUDITOR SIGNATURE _____	10 DATE _____

OCRWM AUDIT CHECKLIST NO. 92-13-1

1 ORGANIZATION USGS

2 Page 52 of 130

3 AUDIT ITEM NO.	4 QUALITY REQUIREMENT REFERENCE(S)	5 QUALITY REQUIREMENT/GUIDELINE	6 RESULTS S,X,N/A	7 SUMMARY OF INVESTIGATION	8 PERSON CONTACTED
5-19	QMP-5.04, Rev. 4, para. 5.3.2	26. Verify that when directed by YMPO, compliance with the requirements of the YMP QA Plan were documented on a YMPO QA Compliance Review Checklist which identifies where each requirement is met or accepted within the YMP-USGS QAPP.			
				<p>9 AUDITOR SIGNATURE _____ 10 DATE _____</p>	

OCRWM AUDIT CHECKLIST NO. 92-13-1

1 ORGANIZATION USGS

2 Page 53 of 130

3 AUDIT ITEM NO.	4 QUALITY REQUIREMENT REFERENCE(S)	5 QUALITY REQUIREMENT/GUIDELINE	6 RESULTS S,X,N/A	7 SUMMARY OF INVESTIGATION	8 PERSON CONTACTED
5-20	QMP.5.04, Rev. 4, para. 5.6.2	<p>27. Verify that Interim Change Notices (ICNs) state the full change being made and identify what part of the document is being changed in an acceptable format.</p> <p>28. Verify that ICNs were approved by the required persons.</p> <p>29. Verify that the ICNs were identified in the table of contents and are reissued with each change.</p>			
				9 AUDITOR SIGNATURE _____	10 DATE _____

OCRWM AUDIT CHECKLIST NO. 92-13-1

1 ORGANIZATION USGS

2 Page 54 of 130

3 AUDIT ITEM NO.	4 QUALITY REQUIREMENT REFERENCE(S)	5 QUALITY REQUIREMENT/GUIDELINE	6 RESULTS S,X,N/A	7 SUMMARY OF INVESTIGATION	8 PERSON CONTACTED
6-1	YMP-USGS-QAPP-01, R5, Section 6 para. 6.1.2 3rd Bullet 7th Bullet	1. Verify that the implementation of document control has provided for "Review of documents for technical adequacy, completeness, correctness, and inclusion of appropriate quality requirements, prior to approval and issuance." 2. Verify that the implementation of document control has provided for "Coordination of interface documents."			
				9 AUDITOR SIGNATURE	10 DATE

OCRWM AUDIT CHECKLIST NO. 92-13-1

1 ORGANIZATION USGS

2 Page 55 of 130

[illegible]

OCRWM AUDIT CHECKLIST NO. 92-13-1

1 ORGANIZATION USGS

2 Page 56 of 130

3 AUDIT ITEM NO.	4 QUALITY REQUIREMENT REFERENCE(S)	5 QUALITY REQUIREMENT/GUIDELINE	6 RESULTS S,X,N/A	7 SUMMARY OF INVESTIGATION	8 PERSON CONTACTED
6-4	QMP-6.01, R5 para. 5.2.3	<p>1. Examine several sets of issued controlled documents and verify that the holders of the documents have all the controlled documents that are itemized on the index assigned to that person.</p> <p>2. Examine several set of controlled documents to verify that the documents holders have kept their sets current to include the latest revisions and modifications.</p>			
				9 AUDITOR SIGNATURE	10 DATE

OCRWM AUDIT CHECKLIST NO. 92-13-1

1 ORGANIZATION USGS

2 Page 57 of 130

3 AUDIT ITEM NO.	4 QUALITY REQUIREMENT REFERENCE(S)	5 QUALITY REQUIREMENT/GUIDELINE	6 RESULTS S,X,N/A	7 SUMMARY OF INVESTIGATION	8 PERSON CONTACTED
6-5	YMP-USGS-QAPP-01, R5, Section 6 para. 6.3 QMP-6.01, R5 para. 5.2.4	1. Verify that the documents requiring verification are not released prior to verification or, if they must, they are uniquely identified as such and controlled. 2. Verify that documents that were released prior to verification have a mark on the cover page stating that it is subject to verification.			
				9 AUDITOR SIGNATURE	10 DATE

OCRWM AUDIT CHECKLIST NO. 92-13-1

1 ORGANIZATION USGS

2 Page 58 of 130

3 AUDIT ITEM NO.	4 QUALITY REQUIREMENT REFERENCE(S)	5 QUALITY REQUIREMENT/GUIDELINE	6 RESULTS S,X,N/A	7 SUMMARY OF INVESTIGATION	8 PERSON CONTACTED
6-6	QMP-6.01, R5 para. 5.2.6	1. Verify that Document Transmittal Notices (DTNs) are signed and returned to the QA Manager within 30 calendar days of issue.			
6-7	QMP-6.01, R5 para. 5.2.7	1. Verify that Follow-up DTNs are issued to participants that do not sign and return the original DTN within the required 30 days.			
				<p>9 AUDITOR SIGNATURE _____ 10 DATE _____</p>	

OCRWM AUDIT CHECKLIST NO. 92-13-1

1 ORGANIZATION USGS

2 Page 59 of 130

3 AUDIT ITEM NO.	4 QUALITY REQUIREMENT REFERENCE(S)	5 QUALITY REQUIREMENT/GUIDELINE	6 RESULTS S,X,N/A	7 SUMMARY OF INVESTIGATION	8 PERSON CONTACTED
6-8	QMP-6.01, R5 para. 5.2.8	<p>1. Verify that a Configuration Check is performed on an annual basis by the distribution of a current full index of all available controlled documents in the form of a Configuration Check with an indication of the specific documents that the holder should possess and for which the holder is accountable.</p> <p>2. Verify that the recipients return the completed Configuration Check document to Document Control within 30 calendar days.</p>			
				<p>9 AUDITOR SIGNATURE _____ 10 DATE _____</p>	

OCRWM AUDIT CHECKLIST NO. 92-13-1

1 ORGANIZATION USGS

2 Page 60 of 130

3 AUDIT ITEM NO.	4 QUALITY REQUIREMENT REFERENCE(S)	5 QUALITY REQUIREMENT/GUIDELINE	6 RESULTS S,X,N/A	7 SUMMARY OF INVESTIGATION	8 PERSON CONTACTED
6-8 con't	QMP-6.01, R5 para. 5.2.8	<p>3. Verify that the Configuration Check also queries the document holders as to their continued need for the assigned controlled documents or a need for additional controlled documents.</p> <p>4. Verify that if no response is received from the document holders within 30 days that a follow-up notice is sent immediately allowing a 15-day extension for compliance.</p>			
				9 AUDITOR SIGNATURE	10 DATE

OCRWM AUDIT CHECKLIST NO. 92-13-1

1 ORGANIZATION USGS

2 Page 61 of 130

3 AUDIT ITEM NO.	4 QUALITY REQUIREMENT REFERENCE(S)	5 QUALITY REQUIREMENT/GUIDELINE	6 RESULTS S,X,N/A	7 SUMMARY OF INVESTIGATION	8 PERSON CONTACTED
6-9	QMP-6.01, R5 para. 5.3.1 para. 5.3.3	1. Verify that sub-issues of controlled technical documents issued to technical personnel are recorded in a check-out log, and gives as a minimum, the persons name and/or location of assignment and the date of sub-issue. 2. Verify that each sub-issue of a controlled technical document is initialed by the PI on its cover page. 3. Verify that procedures, manuals, or other material that are referenced in the procedure is available at the work location when step-by-step instructions from those references are followed or are available at the location of the PI's controlled procedure when used only for guidance.			
				9 AUDITOR SIGNATURE _____	10 DATE _____

OCRWM AUDIT CHECKLIST NO. 92-13-1

1 ORGANIZATION USGS

2 Page 62 of 130

3 AUDIT ITEM NO.	4 QUALITY REQUIREMENT REFERENCE(S)	5 QUALITY REQUIREMENT/GUIDELINE	6 RESULTS S,X,N/A	7 SUMMARY OF INVESTIGATION	8 PERSON CONTACTED
6-10	YMP-USGS-QAPP-01, R5, Section 6 para. 6.3	1. Verify that a master list or equivalent used to identify the correct, current and updated versions of documents was submitted to the YMPQ PQM and the SAIC/T&MSS Project QA Department Manager.			
6-11	QMP-6.01, R5 para. 5.4.1	2. Verify that a master list (Index) is maintained by the QA Office and that it reflects the latest revisions of controlled documents for QA Level I and II activities.			
		1. Verify that a distribution status log is maintained by the QA Office and that it includes the following: o Recipient o Dates of DTN issuance and return o Dates the document was returned or superseded			
				9 AUDITOR SIGNATURE	10 DATE

OCRWM AUDIT CHECKLIST NO. 92-13-1

1 ORGANIZATION USGS

2 Page 63 of 130

3 AUDIT ITEM NO.	4 QUALITY REQUIREMENT REFERENCE(S)	5 QUALITY REQUIREMENT/GUIDELINE	6 RESULTS S,X,N/A	7 SUMMARY OF INVESTIGATION	8 PERSON CONTACTED
6-12	QMP-6.01, R5 para. 5.5.1	1. Verify that revisions to controlled program documents are controlled in the same manner as the original document.			
	para. 5.5.2	2. Verify that revisions of documents that contain changes affecting quality are distributed to the recipients prior to the implementation of the change, or are covered by other provisions.			
				<p>9 AUDITOR SIGNATURE _____ 10 DATE _____</p>	

OCRWM AUDIT CHECKLIST NO. 92-13-1

1 ORGANIZATION USGS

2 Page 64 of 130

3 AUDIT ITEM NO.	4 QUALITY REQUIREMENT REFERENCE(S)	5 QUALITY REQUIREMENT/GUIDELINE	6 RESULTS S,X,N/A	7 SUMMARY OF INVESTIGATION	8 PERSON CONTACTED
6-13	QMP-6.01, R5 para. 6.2 para. 6.2.1 para. 6.2.2	RECORDS MANAGEMENT			
		1. Verify that Document Distribution Record Packages have been submitted to the USGS Records Center as a QA Record Package and include the following:			
		o Document Transmittal Notices (DTNs)			
		o overdue notice responses (follow-up DTNs)			
		o master lists (indexes) or Table of Contents			
		o controlled-distribution assignment lists			
		o associated correspondence			
		2. Verify that Configuration Check Packages have been submitted to the USGS Records Center as a QA Record Package and include the following:			
		o configuration check document			
		o associated correspondence			
o master list					
				<p>9 AUDITOR SIGNATURE _____ 10 DATE _____</p>	

OCRWM AUDIT CHECKLIST NO. 92-13-1

1 ORGANIZATION USGS

2 Page 65 of 130

3 AUDIT ITEM NO.	4 QUALITY REQUIREMENT REFERENCE(S)	5 QUALITY REQUIREMENT/GUIDELINE	6 RESULTS S,X,N/A	7 SUMMARY OF INVESTIGATION	8 PERSON CONTACTED
17-1	YMP-USGS-QMP-17.01, R5, Sect. 5.1.1	RECORDS MANAGEMENT			
		1. Verify that "In lieu of the actual records, the Record Source or LRC has prepared a surrogate record (Attachment 2) to be transmitted to the CRF for processing" of submitted confidential records.			
		2. Verify that "this record identifies the materials included and the requirements for accessing the record."			
		3. Verify that there are controls for access to confidential records in accordance with the Privacy Act System.			
				<p>9 AUDITOR SIGNATURE _____ 10 DATE _____</p>	

92-13-1

1 ORGANIZATION USGS

2 Page 66 of 130

[illegible]

OCRWM AUDIT CHECKLIST NO. 92-13-1

1 ORGANIZATION USGS

2 Page 67 of 130

3 AUDIT ITEM NO.	4 QUALITY REQUIREMENT REFERENCE(S)	5 QUALITY REQUIREMENT/GUIDELINE	6 RESULTS S,X,N/A	7 SUMMARY OF INVESTIGATION	8 PERSON CONTACTED
17-3	QMP-17.01, R5 Sect. 5.3	<p>1. Verify that records, nonextended, have been submitted no later than 10 working days following the date of</p> <p>(1) completion of the last generated record,</p> <p>(2) the dated receipt of a non-USGS generated record, or</p> <p>(3) receipt of a publication by the author.</p>			
				<p>9 AUDITOR SIGNATURE _____ 10 DATE _____</p>	

OCRWM AUDIT CHECKLIST NO. 92-13-1

1 ORGANIZATION USGS

2 Page 68 of 130

3 AUDIT ITEM NO.	4 QUALITY REQUIREMENT REFERENCE(S)	5 QUALITY REQUIREMENT/GUIDELINE	6 RESULTS S,X,N/A	7 SUMMARY OF INVESTIGATION	8 PERSON CONTACTED
17-4	QMP-17.01, R5 Sect. 5.3.1	<p>1. Verify that on each individual QA:QA record (authenticated, and which will not be a part of a package), the Record Source shall either</p> <p>(1) note on the record that a copy is being sent to the LRC (e.g., "cc: LRC") or</p> <p>(2) list the QA:QA individual records on the Submittal Form, (Attachment 7).</p> <p>2. Verify that a copy of the first page of such a record, noting receipt, or a copy of the Submittal Form was returned to the Record Source, or delegate, as confirmation that the record was received by the LRC.</p>			
				<p>⁹ AUDITOR SIGNATURE _____ ¹⁰ DATE _____</p>	

OCRWM AUDIT CHECKLIST NO. 92-13-1

1 ORGANIZATION USGS

2 Page 69 of 130

3 AUDIT ITEM NO.	4 QUALITY REQUIREMENT REFERENCE(S)	5 QUALITY REQUIREMENT/GUIDELINE	6 RESULTS S,X,N/A	7 SUMMARY OF INVESTIGATION	8 PERSON CONTACTED
17-5	QMP-17.01, R5 Sect. 5.3.2	QA RECORD PACKAGES AND ASSOCIATE SEGMENTS			
	5.3.2.1	1. Verify that "component files are established and maintained by the LRC for all package segment material submitted to the LRC until the package is completed by the Record Source."			
	5.3.2.2	2. Verify that the Table of Contents becomes part of the record package.			
	3. Verify that the Table of Contents lists all individual record (or groups of records) that constitute the package.				
	4. Verify the following is included on the Table of Contents:				
	a) No. of Pages				
	b) Identifiers: (QRP & WBS + sender, receipt, date, title/subject).				
	c) Indexing (not required)				
	d) Signature and Date o authenticator and o compiler, if different.				
	e) Review for completeness & microfilmability (Attachments 4 & 6)				
				<p>⁹ AUDITOR SIGNATURE ¹⁰ DATE</p>	

OCRWM AUDIT CHECKLIST NO. 92-13-1

¹ ORGANIZATION USGS

² Page 70 of 130

³ AUDIT ITEM NO.	⁴ QUALITY REQUIREMENT REFERENCE(S)	⁵ QUALITY REQUIREMENT/GUIDELINE	⁶ RESULTS S,X,N/A	⁷ SUMMARY OF INVESTIGATION	⁸ PERSON CONTACTED
17-6	QMP-17.01, R5 Sect. 5.3.3	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Verify that when an alternate schedule to the 10-day rqmnt. was needed, written notification was provided to the LRC the following: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> the specific records affected the submitting organization/personnel, and, the new submittal date of up to 30 calendar days. Verify that additional extensions were pre-approved by the QA Manager, or delegate. Verify that the LRC returned the acknowledgment of the alternate schedule to the submitting organization, and forwarded a copy to the QA Manager. 			
				⁹ AUDITOR SIGNATURE _____ ¹⁰ DATE _____	

OCRWM AUDIT CHECKLIST NO. 92-13-1

1 ORGANIZATION USGS

2 Page 71 of 130

3 AUDIT ITEM NO.	4 QUALITY REQUIREMENT REFERENCE(S)	5 QUALITY REQUIREMENT/GUIDELINE	6 RESULTS S,X,N/A	7 SUMMARY OF INVESTIGATION	8 PERSON CONTACTED
17-7	QMP-17.01, R5 Sect. 5.4 5.4.1 5.4.2	<p>1. Verify that upon receipt of a record or package, the LRC performed a quality verification check in accordance with QMP-17.03.</p> <p>2. If rejected, it was returned to the Record Source for further action.</p> <p>Correction Request</p> <p>Rejection (in accord. w/ 17.03, using the LRC Record Rejection Form Attachment 9.)</p>			
				9 AUDITOR SIGNATURE	10 DATE

OCRWM AUDIT CHECKLIST NO. 92-13-1

1 ORGANIZATION USGS

2 Page 72 of 130

3 AUDIT ITEM NO.	4 QUALITY REQUIREMENT REFERENCE(S)	5 QUALITY REQUIREMENT/GUIDELINE	6 RESULTS S,X,N/A	7 SUMMARY OF INVESTIGATION	8 PERSON CONTACTED
17-8	QMP-17.01, R5 Sect. 5.5	<p>1. Verify that the Record Source provided written or verbal notification to the LRC of any errors that were identified in previously processed records and submitted the corrected record(s) to the LRC.</p> <p>2. Verify that the corrected record clearly identifies the correction, what record is being corrected, and clearly designates the the document is a "corrected record."</p>			
				<p>9 AUDITOR SIGNATURE _____ 10 DATE _____</p>	

OCRWM AUDIT CHECKLIST NO. 92-13-1

1 ORGANIZATION USGS

2 Page 73 of 130

3 AUDIT ITEM NO.	4 QUALITY REQUIREMENT REFERENCE(S)	5 QUALITY REQUIREMENT/GUIDELINE	6 RESULTS S,X,N/A	7 SUMMARY OF INVESTIGATION	8 PERSON CONTACTED
17-9	QMP-17.01, R5 Sect. 5.6 5.6.2	1. Verify that only authorized personnel are allowed access to the dual storage room, file cabinets, safes and fireproof containers. 2. Verify that authorization is by the current "Authorized Access List." 3. Verify that removal of records from the LRC was permitted upon receipt of a written authorization from the Records Coordinator or delegate. 4. Verify that requests for records from persons outside the YMP-USGS were processed per approval of the Chief, YMPB.			
				9 AUDITOR SIGNATURE	10 DATE

OCRWM AUDIT CHECKLIST NO. 92-13-1

1 ORGANIZATION USGS

2 Page 74 of 130

3 AUDIT ITEM NO.	4 QUALITY REQUIREMENT REFERENCE(S)	5 QUALITY REQUIREMENT/GUIDELINE	6 RESULTS S,X,N/A	7 SUMMARY OF INVESTIGATION	8 PERSON CONTACTED
17-10	YMP-USGS-QMP-17.03, R0, M 1, 2, & 3 Sect. 4.2	<p>YMP-USGS LOCAL RECORDS CENTER</p> <p>USGS LRC RESPONSIBILITIES</p> <p>1. Verify that a QVC to review all records submitted to the LRC for completion rqmnts. and acceptance as QA records or identification as non-QA.</p> <p>2. Verify that the Signature Authorization Log of USGS persons having the authority to sign and authenticate QA records and QA record packages is maintained.</p> <p>3. Verify that the LRC Access Authorization List is maintained.</p> <p>4. Verify that accepted records and packages are submitted to the CRF within 30 working days following LRC acceptance.</p>			
				<p>⁹ AUDITOR SIGNATURE _____ ¹⁰ DATE _____</p>	

OCRWM AUDIT CHECKLIST NO. 92-13-1

1 ORGANIZATION USGS

2 Page 75 of 130

3 AUDIT ITEM NO.	4 QUALITY REQUIREMENT REFERENCE(S)	5 QUALITY REQUIREMENT/GUIDELINE	6 RESULTS S,X,N/A	7 SUMMARY OF INVESTIGATION	8 PERSON CONTACTED
17-11	QMP-17.03, R0 Sect. 4.3	<p>RECOORDINATOR RESPONSIBILITES</p> <p>1. Verify that the Records Coordinator, or delegate, reviews the records designation paragraph in QMPs and technical procedures and subsequently updates the Record List as changes are noted.</p> <p>2. Verify that the Records Coordinator annually verifies that QA records and packages identified by the QA program documents and procedures are received by the LRC for processing.</p>			
				<p>9 AUDITOR SIGNATURE _____ 10 DATE _____</p>	

OCRWM AUDIT CHECKLIST NO. 92-13-1

1 ORGANIZATION USGS

2 Page 76 of 130

3 AUDIT ITEM NO.	4 QUALITY REQUIREMENT REFERENCE(S)	5 QUALITY REQUIREMENT/GUIDELINE	6 RESULTS S,X,N/A	7 SUMMARY OF INVESTIGATION	8 PERSON CONTACTED
17-12	QMP-17.03, R0 Sect. 5.1 5.1 a 5.1 b	RECEIPT CONTROL 1. Verify that individual records are submitted to the LRC by Record Sources as they are completed or upon receipt as incoming records and require the use the YMP-USGS Records Submittal form or a note on the record that a copy is being submitted to the LRC (e.g., "cc: LRC"). 2. Verify that receipt is noted as "received" either on the submittal form or on the first page of the individual record, accompanied by the date and the initials of the LRC staff; and returned to the Record Source/Sender. 3. Verify that record packages are prepared and submitted by Record Sources to the LRC by use of the YMP-USGS Records Submittal form for package segments or for the entire completed package. 4. Verify that the LRC acknowledges receipt by initialling and dating the form and returning it to the Record Source.			
				9 AUDITOR SIGNATURE _____	10 DATE _____

OCRWM AUDIT CHECKLIST NO. 92-13-1

1 ORGANIZATION USGS

2 Page 77 of 130

3 AUDIT ITEM NO.	4 QUALITY REQUIREMENT REFERENCE(S)	5 QUALITY REQUIREMENT/GUIDELINE	6 RESULTS S,X,N/A	7 SUMMARY OF INVESTIGATION	8 PERSON CONTACTED
17-12 cont.	5.1 c	5. Verify that special dispensation for the 30 working-day rqmt. was obtained in writing from the YMPO.			
	5.1 d	6. Verify that notification form a Record Source that specific records would be delayed in being submitted was marked a received, dated and initialed, and returned to the sender.			
		7. Verify that a copy of the receipted notice was sent to the QA Manager.			
				<p>9 AUDITOR SIGNATURE _____ 10 DATE _____</p>	

OCRWM AUDIT CHECKLIST NO. 92-13-1

1 ORGANIZATION USGS

2 Page 78 of 130

3 AUDIT ITEM NO.	4 QUALITY REQUIREMENT REFERENCE(S)	5 QUALITY REQUIREMENT/GUIDELINE	6 RESULTS S,X,N/A	7 SUMMARY OF INVESTIGATION	8 PERSON CONTACTED
17-13	QMP-17.03, R0 Sect. 5.2 Sect. 5.2.1.1	<p>PROCESSING RECORDS AT THE LRC</p> <p>1. Verify that records which do not meet the QVC acceptance are returned to the Record Source with a Correction Request (Attachment 3) form attached identifying the required correction.</p> <p>2. Verify that record discrepancies, which cannot be resolved through direct interaction by the LRC staff with the Record Source, are returned to the Record Source along with the LRC Rejection Form.</p> <p>3. Verify that the LRC retains a copy to the Record Rejection Form and a copy of the record in a "records rejected" file.</p> <p>4. Verify the process that occurs when a Record Source indicates that a better copy is not available.</p>			
				<p>⁹ AUDITOR SIGNATURE _____ ¹⁰ DATE _____</p>	

OCRWM AUDIT CHECKLIST NO. 92-13-1

1 ORGANIZATION USGS

2 Page 79 of 130

3 AUDIT ITEM NO.	4 QUALITY REQUIREMENT REFERENCE(S)	5 QUALITY REQUIREMENT/GUIDELINE	6 RESULTS S,X,N/A	7 SUMMARY OF INVESTIGATION	8 PERSON CONTACTED
17-14	QMP-17.03, R0 Sect. 5.2.2	1. Verify that records which meet the QVC rqnmts. are logged into the LRC computer indexing system and identified as "accepted."			
		2. Verify that a unique number is issued to each accepted individual record and to each accepted package Table of Contents.			
		3. Verify the indexing number structure. 1st 2 letters = USGS ID code (GS) 2nd 2 digits = yr. of indexing or activity 1 or 2 letters = doc. ID/location code final digits = computer generated access. #			
17-14 cont.	5.2.4	4. Verify that material received which becomes part of a package is held as a component file until the package is completed.			
	5.2.5	5. Verify tha records marked "Confidential" are			
				9 AUDITOR SIGNATURE	10 DATE

92-13-1

2 Page 80 of 130

[illegible]

92-13-1

2 Page 81 of 130

3 AUDIT ITEM NO.	4 QUALITY REQUIREMENT REFERENCE(S)	5 QUALITY REQUIREMENT/GUIDELINE	6 RESULTS S,X,N/A	7 SUMMARY OF INVESTIGATION	8 PERSON CONTACTED
17-15	QMP-17.03, R0 Sect. 5.3	<p>RECORD TRANSMITTAL TO THE CRF</p> <p>1. Verify that the LRC performed the following activities for records generated or initially received by the USGS:</p> <p>a. Copied all "accepted" records</p> <p>b. Generated the specific LRC Transmittal Form</p> <p>c. As needed, inserted the LRC Special Instruction Sheet (SIS)</p> <p>d. Inserted the SIS to identify any Special Processed Records (SPRs) that were being transmitted under separate cover to the CRF.</p> <p>e. Attached a copy of the SIS to the original of SPR and forwarded the package under separate cover to the CRF.</p> <p>f. Identified each SPR that could not be filmed.</p> <p>g. Packaged the records in the order listed on the transmittal form and placed in the shipping envelope or box with the transmittal form.</p> <p>h. Transmitted the completed records to the CRF within 30 working days of LRC "acceptance."</p> <p>i. Protected the USGS record/package set until receipt of the shipment was acknowledged by the CRF.</p>			
				<p>9 AUDITOR SIGNATURE</p> <p>10 DATE</p>	

OCRWM AUDIT CHECKLIST NO. 92-13-1

1 ORGANIZATION USGS

2 Page 82 of 130

3 AUDIT ITEM NO.	4 QUALITY REQUIREMENT REFERENCE(S)	5 QUALITY REQUIREMENT/GUIDELINE	6 RESULTS S,X,N/A	7 SUMMARY OF INVESTIGATION	8 PERSON CONTACTED
17-15	QMP-17.03, R0 Sect. 5.4	<p>COLLECTION & PROCESSING OF CITED REFERENCES</p> <p>1. Verify that upon completion of the research, the list of Cited Reference Accession Numbers is sent to the Appropriate division for inclusion in the final printed OFR publication.</p> <p>2. Verify that the photocopy or purchased copy of all Cited References for each publication is sent to the CRF by the LRC staff.</p>			
				<p>⁹ AUDITOR SIGNATURE _____ ¹⁰ DATE _____</p>	

92-13-1

2 Page 83 of 130

[illegible]

OCRWM AUDIT CHECKLIST NO. 92-13-1

¹ ORGANIZATION USGS

² Page 84 of 130

³ AUDIT ITEM NO.	⁴ QUALITY REQUIREMENT REFERENCE(S)	⁵ QUALITY REQUIREMENT/GUIDELINE	⁶ RESULTS S,X,N/A	⁷ SUMMARY OF INVESTIGATION	⁸ PERSON CONTACTED
17-17	QMP-17.01, R0 Sect. 5.5.2	<p>PRESERVATION OF ON-OF-A-KIND RECORDS</p> <p>1. Verify that all one-of-a-kind records are stored within the LRC in appropriate fire-proof containers or safes until such time that they are approved for transmittal to the CRF for processing or to commercial storage.</p> <p>2. Verify that large quantities of one-of-a-kind records are stored in a YMP-USGS approved commercial facility which meets the security and 2-hour fire-proof facility storage requirements as specified in NQA-1.</p>			
				<div> <div>⁹ AUDITOR SIGNATURE</div> <div>¹⁰ DATE</div> </div>	

OCRWM AUDIT CHECKLIST NO. 92-13-1

1 ORGANIZATION USGS

2 Page 85 of 130

3 AUDIT ITEM NO.	4 QUALITY REQUIREMENT REFERENCE(S)	5 QUALITY REQUIREMENT/GUIDELINE	6 RESULTS S,X,N/A	7 SUMMARY OF INVESTIGATION	8 PERSON CONTACTED
17-18	QMP-17.03, R0 Sect. 5.5.3	SAFEKEEPING 1. Verify that the LRC has established measures to preclude the entry of unauthorized personnel and all possible efforts have been made to guard against larceny and vandalism. 2. Verify that "List" is posted in the LRC which designates those personnel who have access to the files. 3. Verify that the LRC and the cabinets are locked at all times except when the LRC is occupied by authorized access personnel.			
				9 AUDITOR SIGNATURE _____ 10 DATE _____	

OCRWM AUDIT CHECKLIST NO. 92-13-1

1 ORGANIZATION USGS

2 Page 86 of 130

3 AUDIT ITEM NO.	4 QUALITY REQUIREMENT REFERENCE(S)	5 QUALITY REQUIREMENT/GUIDELINE	6 RESULTS S,X,N/A	7 SUMMARY OF INVESTIGATION	8 PERSON CONTACTED
17-19	QMP-17.03, R0 Sect. 5.5.4	<p>SPECIAL PROTECTION</p> <p>1. Verify that the LRC has "as needed storage" for the following kinds of records:</p> <p>a. One-of-a-kind items;</p> <p>b. Those records requiring extensive protection in an approved commercial "Single Storage Facility" (Reference NQA-1-1989)</p> <p>c. Dual storage for confidential records prepared for the implementation of QMP-2.07. Other confidential records are stored in the LRC in 1-hour locking containers for protection from larceny and fire.</p>			
				9 AUDITOR SIGNATURE _____	10 DATE _____

OCRWM AUDIT CHECKLIST NO. 92-13-1

1 ORGANIZATION USGS

2 Page 87 of 130

3 AUDIT ITEM NO.	4 QUALITY REQUIREMENT REFERENCE(S)	5 QUALITY REQUIREMENT/GUIDELINE	6 RESULTS S,X,N/A	7 SUMMARY OF INVESTIGATION	8 PERSON CONTACTED
17-20	QMP-17.03, R0 Sect. 5.5.5 YMP-USGS-QAPP-01, R5, ICN 6 Sect. 5.6	<p>TEMPORARY STORAGE</p> <p>1. Verify that when authenticated records are not protected by dual storage, temporary storage of those records during processing, review, or use MAY BE accomplished through placement of the records in a UL 1-hour fire-rated container. Temporary storage SHOULD NOT exceed 2 years.</p> <p>RECORDS STORAGE</p> <p>1. Verify that the "USGS provides temporary storage of records while processing for submittal to the CRF, which at a minimum records shall be stored in a 1-hour fire rated container or in dual facilities. The container shall bear a UL label (or equivalent) certifying 1-hour fire protection. Temporary storage shall not exceed 2 years. For storage reqmnts. that will exceed 2 years, records shall be stored in either dual storage or an NQA-1, Supplement 17S-1 compliant single storage facility until returned to the LRC for processing and submittal to the CRF.</p>			
				9 AUDITOR SIGNATURE	10 DATE

92-13-1

2 Page 88 of 130

[illegible]

OCRWM AUDIT CHECKLIST NO. 92-13-1

1 ORGANIZATION USGS

2 Page 89 of 130

[illegible]

92-13-1

2 Page 90 of 130

3 AUDIT ITEM NO.	4 QUALITY REQUIREMENT REFERENCE(S)	5 QUALITY REQUIREMENT/GUIDELINE	6 RESULTS S,X,N/A	7 SUMMARY OF INVESTIGATION	8 PERSON CONTACTED
19-2 19-A-4	QARD, Rev. 4, para. 19.5 a YMP-USGS-SQAP-01 Rev. 0, para. 9 YMP-USGS-QMP-3.03 Rev. 2, paras. 5.3.1.3, 4.5, 5.2, and 6.2	Software shall be placed under configuration management as each baseline element is approved. Software baseline shall be uniquely identified to assure positive control of all revisions; the identification of each version shall be directly related to the documentation. 1. Verify the existence of CONFIGURATION STATUS ACCOUNTING (CSA) at the SCM LIBRARY with unique configuration identifiers. 2. Verify that the CONFIGURATION STATUS LOG exists. 3. Verify that any existing actions were accomplished under review and approval by the CCC and/or SQA SPECIALIST. 4. Verify that all user's were notified of any actions taken (use directory to identify if necessary).			
				⁹ AUDITOR SIGNATURE ¹⁰ DATE	

OCRWM AUDIT CHECKLIST NO. 92-13-1

1 ORGANIZATION USGS

2 Page 91 of 130

3 AUDIT ITEM NO.	4 QUALITY REQUIREMENT REFERENCE(S)	5 QUALITY REQUIREMENT/GUIDELINE	6 RESULTS S,X,N/A	7 SUMMARY OF INVESTIGATION	8 PERSON CONTACTED
19-3 19-A-5	QARD, Rev. 4, para. 19.5 b YMP-USGS-SQAP-01 Rev. 0, paras. 9.1, 9.3, 9.4, 9.6, 9.7, and 6.3 YMP-USGS-QMP-3.03, Rev. 2, para. 5.8	<p>Changes to software (including additional software written to run the original software) shall be systematically evaluated, coordinated, and approved to assure that the impact of a change is carefully assessed prior to updating the baseline, required action is documented, and the information concerning approved changes is transmitted to all affected organizations. Changes to computer software shall be subject to the same level of approval, verification and validation as the original software.</p> <p>1. Verify that any changes to software were assessed for impact, evaluated, coordinated, and baseline updated. (examine records for CCC, CF REVIEWS, CF AUDITS.)</p> <p>2. Verify that affected users were notified.</p>			
				9 AUDITOR SIGNATURE _____	10 DATE _____

OCRWM AUDIT CHECKLIST NO. 92-13-1

1 ORGANIZATION USGS

2 Page 92 of 130

3 AUDIT ITEM NO.	4 QUALITY REQUIREMENT REFERENCE(S)	5 QUALITY REQUIREMENT/GUIDELINE	6 RESULTS S,X,N/A	7 SUMMARY OF INVESTIGATION	8 PERSON CONTACTED
19-4 19-A-6	QARD, Rev. 4, para. 19.0 a YMP-USGS-SQAP-01 Rev. 0, para. 6.3 YMP-USGS-QMP-3.03 Rev. 2, para. 5.5	Computer codes developed and/or modified shall be documented in accordance with the applicable elements of NUREG-0856. [This requirement may be met in part by existing documentation if properly referenced and related to NUREG-0856 requirements.] 1. Verify that codes are documented in accordance with the applicable elements of NUREG-0856.			
				<p>9 AUDITOR SIGNATURE _____ 10 DATE _____</p>	

92-13-1

2 Page 93 of 130

3 AUDIT ITEM NO.	4 QUALITY REQUIREMENT REFERENCE(S)	5 QUALITY REQUIREMENT/GUIDELINE	6 RESULTS S,X,N/A	7 SUMMARY OF INVESTIGATION	8 PERSON CONTACTED
19-5	QARD, Rev. 4, para. 19.8	<p>Reviews of computer software development activity shall be performed as each life cycle phase is completed to assure the completeness and integrity of each development phase. The procedures used for reviews shall identify the participants and their specific responsibilities during the reviews and in the preparation and distribution of the review reports.</p> <p>The documentation for reviews shall contain a record of review comments, a plan, timetable for resolution of the review comments, and the persons responsible for this resolution.</p> <p>After review comments are resolved, the approved documents shall be updated and placed under configuration management.</p>			
	YMP-USGS-SQAP-01 Rev. 0, para. 6.3 YMP-USGS-QMP-3.03, Rev. 2, para. 5.7	<p>1. Verify existence of technical/peer reviews, each phase.</p> <p>2. Verify Configuration Control Committee (CCC) action.</p> <p>3. Verify existence CF reviews and/or CF audits.</p>			
				<p>⁹ AUDITOR SIGNATURE ¹⁰ DATE</p>	

OCRWM AUDIT CHECKLIST NO. 92-13-1

1 ORGANIZATION USGS

2 Page 94 of 130

3 AUDIT ITEM NO.	4 QUALITY REQUIREMENT REFERENCE(S)	5 QUALITY REQUIREMENT/GUIDELINE	6 RESULTS S,X,NA	7 SUMMARY OF INVESTIGATION	8 PERSON CONTACTED
19-6 19-A-7	QARD, Rev. 4, para. 19.1.2 d YMP-USGS-SQAP-01 Rev. 0, para. 5.7,b YMP-USGS-QMP-3.03 Rev. 2, paras. 5.3.3.4 and 5.7	<p>Testing of software, including new or modified software, shall be performed for those inputs and conditions conditions and to provide a suitable benchmark or sample problem for installation. [The goal of testing is to develop a set of test cases that have highest probability of detecting the most errors in order to identify what conditions the software does not perform properly.]</p> <p>1. Verify that lifecycle documentation includes report(s) on the execution of test cases.</p>			
				9 AUDITOR SIGNATURE	10 DATE

OCRWM AUDIT CHECKLIST NO. 92-13-1

1 ORGANIZATION USGS

2 Page 95 of 130

3 AUDIT ITEM NO.	4 QUALITY REQUIREMENT REFERENCE(S)	5 QUALITY REQUIREMENT/GUIDELINE	6 RESULTS S,X,N/A	7 SUMMARY OF INVESTIGATION	8 PERSON CONTACTED
19-7	QARD, Rev. 4, para. 19.7	<p>Minimum acceptable life-cycle documentation of computer software that has been developed or modified shall be specified in each affected organization's computer SQAP.</p> <p>1. Verify that the minimum documentation is specified in the SQAP.</p>			
				<p>9 AUDITOR SIGNATURE _____ 10 DATE _____</p>	

92-13-1

1 ORGANIZATION USGS

2 Page 96 of 130

[illegible]

92-13-1

2 Page 97 of 130

3 AUDIT ITEM NO.	4 QUALITY REQUIREMENT REFERENCE(S)	5 QUALITY REQUIREMENT/GUIDELINE	6 RESULTS S,X,N/A	7 SUMMARY OF INVESTIGATION	8 PERSON CONTACTED
19-9	QARD, Rev. 4, para. 19.2 b. YMF-USGS-SQAP-01 Rev. 0, paras. 4.2.5 and 5.3 YMF-USGS-QMP-3.03, Rev. 2, para. 5.3.3.5	[Verification and validation plans shall assure that the software adequately and correctly performs all intended functions and that the software does not perform any unintended function that either by itself or in combination with other functions can degrade the entire system.] 1. Verify that the issue of unintended function is addressed in the software test summary (STS).			
				⁹ AUDITOR SIGNATURE ¹⁰ DATE	

OCRWM AUDIT CHECKLIST NO. 92-13-1

1 ORGANIZATION USGS

2 Page 98 of 130

[illegible]

[illegible]

92-13-1

2 Page 100 of 130

[illegible]

OCRWM AUDIT CHECKLIST NO. 92-13-1

1 ORGANIZATION USGS

2 Page 101 of 130

3 AUDIT ITEM NO.	4 QUALITY REQUIREMENT REFERENCE(S)	5 QUALITY REQUIREMENT/GUIDELINE	6 RESULTS S,X,N/A	7 SUMMARY OF INVESTIGATION	8 PERSON CONTACTED
19-13	<p>QARD, Rev. 4, para. 19.1.2 a</p> <p>QARD, Rev. 4, para. 19.8 a.</p> <p>YMP-USGS-SQAP-01 Rev. 0, para. 4.2.2 YMP-USGS-QMP-3.03, Rev. 2, para. 5.3.1.2</p>	<p>19.1.2 Requirements Phase</p> <p>Requirements that pertain to functionality, performance, design constraints, attributes, and external interfaces of the completed computer software shall be specified, documented, and reviewed. These requirements shall possess the following characteristics:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - a format and language that is understood by the programming organization and the user. - enough detail to allow for objective verification. - adequate definition to provide for the response of the software to the identified input data. - the information necessary to design the software without prescribing the software design itself. <p>19.8 a Computer Software Requirements Review</p> <p>The review of software requirements shall be performed at the completion of the software requirements documentation.</p> <p>1. Verify the completion of a software requirements specifications document and the baseline of same.</p>			
				9 AUDITOR SIGNATURE	10 DATE

OCRWM AUDIT CHECKLIST NO. 92-13-1

1 ORGANIZATION USGS

2 Page 102 of 130

3 AUDIT ITEM NO.	4 QUALITY REQUIREMENT REFERENCE(S)	5 QUALITY REQUIREMENT/GUIDELINE	6 RESULTS S,X,N/A	7 SUMMARY OF INVESTIGATION	8 PERSON CONTACTED
19-14	<p>QARD, Rev. 4, para. 19.1.2 b.</p> <p>para. 19. 7 b.</p> <p>YMP-USGS-SQAP-01 Rev. 0, para. 4.2.3 YMP-USGS-QMP-3.03, Rev. 2, para. 5.3.1.3</p>	<p>19.1.2 b. Design Phase A software design based on the requirements shall be specified, documented, and systematically reviewed. This review shall evaluate the technical adequacy of the design approach and assure that the design complies with the criteria in the computer software requirements specification. The complexity of the computer software design may require the performance of two design reviews, one at the completion of the overall computer software architecture and the second at the completion of the total design.</p> <p>19.7 b Software Design Documentation Software Design Documentation is a document or series of documents that shall contain: component descriptions, information flow description, tolerable ranges, traceability, assessment/support documentation per NUREG-0856, and listing with software summary form.</p> <p>1. Verify the completion of a software design description and baseline of same.</p>			
				9 AUDITOR SIGNATURE	10 DATE

92-13-1

2 Page 103 of 130

10 DATE

92-13-1

1 ORGANIZATION USGS

2 Page 104 of 130

[illegible]

92-13-1

2 Page 105 of 130

[illegible]

92-13-1

1 ORGANIZATION USGS

2 Page 106 of 130

[illegible]

92-13-1

1 ORGANIZATION USGS

2 Page 107 of 130

[illegible]

92-13-1

2 Page 108 of 130

3 AUDIT ITEM NO.	4 QUALITY REQUIREMENT REFERENCE(S)	5 QUALITY REQUIREMENT/GUIDELINE	6 RESULTS S,X,N/A	7 SUMMARY OF INVESTIGATION	8 PERSON CONTACTED
19-20 19-A-1	QARD, Rev. 4, para. 19.2 b	The responsible affected organization shall develop verification and validation plans that shall employ methods such as inspection, analysis, demonstration, and test to assure that the software adequately and correctly performs all intended functions, and that the software does not perform any function that either by itself or in combination with other functions can degrade the entire system.			
	para. 19.2 c	Verification and validation activities shall be planned and performed relative to specific hardware configurations. The amount of verification and validation activity shall be determined by the type and complexity of the software prior to use for a licensing activity, verification and validation of the final version of the software product shall be accomplished by an independent individual or organization, one who did not work on the original software. The results of all verification and validation activities shall be documented.			
	YMP-USGS-SQAP-01 Rev. 0, paras. 5.5, 5.6, 5.7, and 8. YMP-USGS-QMP-3.03, Rev. 2, para. 5.3.3.5	<p>1. Verify that SVR, MVR, and user's documentation conform with the above.</p> <p>2. Verify that a Software Review Report conforms to the above and that it was performed independentl</p>			
<div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between;"> ⁹ AUDITOR SIGNATURE ¹⁰ DATE </div>					

92-13-1

2 Page 109 of 130

[illegible]

OCRWM AUDIT CHECKLIST NO. 92-13-1

1 ORGANIZATION USGS

2 Page 110 of 130

3 AUDIT ITEM NO.	4 QUALITY REQUIREMENT REFERENCE(S)	5 QUALITY REQUIREMENT/GUIDELINE	6 RESULTS S,X,N/A	7 SUMMARY OF INVESTIGATION	8 PERSON CONTACTED
19-22	QARD, Rev. 4, para. 19.2 c para. 19.8 d YMP-USGS-SQAP-01 Rev. 0, para. 8 YMP-USGS-QMP-3.0., Rev. 2, para. 5.7	<p>Independent Verification and Validation</p> <p>.....Prior to use for a licensing activity, verification and validation of the final version of the software product shall be accomplished by an independent individual or organization, one who did no work on the original software.</p> <p>Software Verification and Validation Review</p> <p>The review is an evaluation of the adequacy ofplans or procedures and completed verification and validation activities. The review results in an approval of verification and validation documentation.</p> <p>1. Verify that a peer review has been conducted for the validation of all critical software.</p> <p>2. Verify the independence of peer reviewers. (how guaranteed?)</p>			
				9 AUDITOR SIGNATURE _____	10 DATE _____

92-13-1

2 Page 111 of 130

3 AUDIT ITEM NO.	4 QUALITY REQUIREMENT REFERENCE(S)	5 QUALITY REQUIREMENT/GUIDELINE	6 RESULTS S,X,N/A	7 SUMMARY OF INVESTIGATION	8 PERSON CONTACTED
19-23	QARD, Rev. 4, para. 19.5	Software Configuration Management A computer software configuration management system shall be established to assure positive identification of computer software and control of computer software baselines and changes.			
	para. 19.5 a	Configuration Identification Computer software shall be placed under configuration management as each baseline element is approved. A configuration baseline shall be identified at the completion of each major phase of the computer software life cycle. Both baselines and updates shall be defined by their composition of computer software configuration items.			
	YMP-USGS-SQAP-01 Rev. 0, paras. 9.1 and 9.2				
	YMP-USGS-QMP-3.03 Rev. 2, para. 4.7	1. Verify that the software configuration management system (SCM) is established.			
		2. Verify that the SCM is implemented and IDs are complete.			
				⁹ AUDITOR SIGNATURE _____ ¹⁰ DATE _____	

OCRWM AUDIT CHECKLIST NO. 92-13-1

1 ORGANIZATION USGS

2 Page 112 of 130

3 AUDIT ITEM NO.	4 QUALITY REQUIREMENT REFERENCE(S)	5 QUALITY REQUIREMENT/GUIDELINE	6 RESULTS S,X,N/A	7 SUMMARY OF INVESTIGATION	8 PERSON CONTACTED
19-24 19-A-18	QARD, Rev. 4, para. 19.5 b YMP-USGS-SQAP-01 Rev. 0, para. 9.0 YMP-USGS-QMP-3.14, Rev. 3, paras. 1,2,4,5.4	<p>Configuration Change Control</p> <p>Changes to baseline software configuration items shall be formally documented..... sources, reason, evaluation, approval (authorized)</p> <p>1. Verify membership of the Configuration Control Committee (SQA Specialist plus 2 to 4 more)</p> <p>2. Verify changes listed in the configuration status log.</p> <p>3. Verify procedures for implementing CF reviews and CF audits.</p> <p>4. Verify the occurrence of monthly meetings of the CCC.</p>			
				<p>9 AUDITOR SIGNATURE _____ 10 DATE _____</p>	

92-13-1

2 Page 113 of 130

3 AUDIT ITEM NO.	4 QUALITY REQUIREMENT REFERENCE(S)	5 QUALITY REQUIREMENT/GUIDELINE	6 RESULTS S,X,N/A	7 SUMMARY OF INVESTIGATION	8 PERSON CONTACTED
19-25	QARD, Rev. 4, para. 19.5 c YMP-USGS-SQAP-01 Rev. 0, para. 9.5 YMP-USGS-QMP-3.14, Rev. 3, para. 5.5	<p>6.3 Configuration Status Accounting</p> <p>The information that is needed to manage figuration items shall be recorded and reported. approved ID, proposed changes, implementation status, and all other needed information.</p> <p>1. Verify the existence of a Configuration Status Log and examine items for completeness. (selected few)</p> <p>2. Verify the existence of a directory of users.</p> <p>3. Verify method of notifying users of planned or implemented changes.</p>			
				<div>9 AUDITOR SIGNATURE</div> <div>10 DATE</div>	

92-13-1

2 Page 114 of 130

3 AUDIT ITEM NO.	4 QUALITY REQUIREMENT REFERENCE(S)	5 QUALITY REQUIREMENT/GUIDELINE	6 RESULTS S,X,N/A	7 SUMMARY OF INVESTIGATION	8 PERSON CONTACTED
19-26 19-A-20	QARD, Rev. 4, para. 19.9	<p>A formal procedure of software discrepancy reporting and corrective action shall be established. This discrepancy reporting system shall be integrated with the Configuration Management System to assure formal processing of discrepancy resolutions. Software discrepancy reporting and corrective action procedures shall assure that, as a minimum:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - defects are documented and corrected. - defects are assessed for criticality and impact on previous applications. - corrections are reviewed and approved before changes to the software configuration are made. - preventive and corrective actions provide for appropriate notification of affected organizations. 			
	YMP-USGS-SQAP-01 Rev. 0, para. 10 YMP-USGS-QMP-3.03, Rev. 2, para. 5.3.1.7.2 YMP-USGS-QMP-3.14, Rev. 3, para. 5.5.2	<p>1. Verify that a formal software discrepancy reporting and corrective action procedure exists. (examine forms: software defect report and software change request.)</p> <p>2. Verify the implementation of the above procedure. (examine submitted defect reports and/or change requests.)</p>			
<p>9 AUDITOR SIGNATURE _____ 10 DATE _____</p>					

OCRWM AUDIT CHECKLIST NO. 92-13-1

1 ORGANIZATION USGS

2 Page 115 of 130

[illegible]

92-13-1

1 ORGANIZATION USGS

2 Page 116 of 130

[illegible]

92-13-1

2 Page 117 of 130

3 AUDIT ITEM NO.	4 QUALITY REQUIREMENT REFERENCE(S)	5 QUALITY REQUIREMENT/GUIDELINE	6 RESULTS S,X,N/A	7 SUMMARY OF INVESTIGATION	8 PERSON CONTACTED
19-A-1	QARD, Rev. 4, para. 19.11 a YMP-USGS-SQAP-01 Rev. 0, para. 6.3 YMP-USGS-QMP-3.03, Rev. 2, para. 5.6	Procedures shall be established for controlling the transfer of computer software from an outside source to a user organization and from a user organization to an outside requesting organization. Computer software transfer requests of the organization (or purchase) from an outside source shall include appropriate criteria to enable the computer software received to comply, as much as possible, with the requirements of this section and the needs of the affected organization's computer system. Those requirements not met by the computer software received shall be completed by the organization in the relative phase of the computer software life cycle that is incomplete or, if that is not possible, the reason shall be documented and maintained with the computer software and distributed to the users. 1. Verify that a procedure is in place to request all available documentation. 2. Verify that all available documentation was obtained for all such codes. (100 % sample) 3. Verify that all such codes have a unique version identification and user-related documentation.			
				⁹ AUDITOR SIGNATURE ¹⁰ DATE	

92-13-1

2 Page 118 of 130

3 AUDIT ITEM NO.	4 QUALITY REQUIREMENT REFERENCE(S)	5 QUALITY REQUIREMENT/GUIDELINE	6 RESULTS S,X,N/A	7 SUMMARY OF INVESTIGATION	8 PERSON CONTACTED
19-A-10	QARD, Rev. 4, para. 19.11 a	Software transfer requests of the organization (or packages) from an outside source shall include appropriate criteria to enable the software received to comply , as much as possible with the requirements of this QA Plan and the needs of the organization's computer system.			
	Para. 19.7 a	<p>Software Requirements Specification</p> <p>[A specific capability of software can be called a requirement only if its achievement can be verified by a prescribed method. Software requirements documentation shall outline the requirements that the proposed software must fulfill. The requirements shall address the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - functionality-the functions to be performed by the software. - performance- the time-related issues of software operation such as speed, recovery time, response time etc. - design constraints imposed on implementation - any elements that will restrict design options - attributes- non-time related issues of software operation such as portability, correctness, security, maintainability, etc. - external interfaces- interaction with other participants, hardware, and other software. 			
				<p>9 AUDITOR SIGNATURE</p> <p>10 DATE</p>	

92-13-1

1 ORGANIZATION USGS

2 Page 119 of 130

[illegible]

OCRWM AUDIT CHECKLIST NO. 92-13-1

1 ORGANIZATION USGS 2 Page 120 of 130

1 ORGANIZATION USGS 2 Page 120 of 130

[illegible]

OCRWM AUDIT CHECKLIST NO. 92-13-1

1 ORGANIZATION USGS

2 Page 121 of 130

[illegible]

OCRWM AUDIT CHECKLIST NO. 92-13-1

1 ORGANIZATION USGS

2 Page 122 of 130

3 AUDIT ITEM NO.	4 QUALITY REQUIREMENT REFERENCE(S)	5 QUALITY REQUIREMENT/GUIDELINE	6 RESULTS S,X,N/A	7 SUMMARY OF INVESTIGATION	8 PERSON CONTACTED
19-A-15	QARD, Rev. 4, para. 19.8	Reviews Reviews of software development activity shall be performed as each lifecycle phase is completed to assure the completeness and integrity of each phase of development. The procedures used for reviews shall identify the participants and their specific responsibilities during the review and in the preparation and distribution of the review report. The documentation for all reviews shall contain a record of review comments, a plan, and timetable for the resolution of the review comments, and the personnel responsible for this resolution. After review comments are resolved, the approved documents shall be updated and placed under configuration management. [as applicable to Acquired Software: Requirements Review Software Verification and Validation Review]			
	YMP-USGS-SQAP-01 Rev. 0, paras. 9.3, 9.6, 9.7, and 8	1. Verify that the CCC has reviewed and approved the acquired software. Examine the CSA.			
	YMP-USGS-QMP-3.03 Rev. 2, paras. 5.6 and 5.7	2. Verify that any CF reviews and/or CF audits conform to the above.			
		3. Verify that software Technical/Peer reviews, if used, conform.			
				⁹ AUDITOR SIGNATURE ¹⁰ DATE	

OCRWM AUDIT CHECKLIST NO. 92-13-1

1 ORGANIZATION USGS

2 Page 123 of 130

3 AUDIT ITEM NO.	4 QUALITY REQUIREMENT REFERENCE(S)	5 QUALITY REQUIREMENT/GUIDELINE	6 RESULTS S,X,N/A	7 SUMMARY OF INVESTIGATION	8 PERSON CONTACTED
19-A-15	<p>QARD, Rev. 4, para. 19.5 c</p> <p>YMP-USGS-SQAP-01 Rev. 0, para. 9 YMP-USGS-QMP-3.14, Rev. 3, para. 3.5</p>	<p>The information that is needed to manage software configuration items shall be recorded and reported. This information shall include a listing of the approved configuration identification, the status of proposed changes to the configuration, the implementation status of approved changes, and all information to support the functions of configuration identification, and configuration control.</p> <p>1. Verify that the Configuration Status Log provides ready access to all SQA activities.</p> <p>2. Verify that the baselined SCI's contain listings if available.</p> <p>3. Verify that the baselined SCI's contain the applicable information above.</p>			
				<p>9 AUDITOR SIGNATURE _____ 10 DATE _____</p>	

92-13-1

1 ORGANIZATION USGS

2 Page 124 of 130

[illegible]

OCRWM AUDIT CHECKLIST NO. 92-13-1

1 ORGANIZATION USGS

2 Page 125 of 130

3 AUDIT ITEM NO.	4 QUALITY REQUIREMENT REFERENCE(S)	5 QUALITY REQUIREMENT/GUIDELINE	6 RESULTS S,X,N/A	7 SUMMARY OF INVESTIGATION	8 PERSON CONTACTED
19-A-18 19-29	QARD, Rev. 4, para. 19.5 b paras. 19.11 b,c YMP-USGS-SQAP-01 Rev. 0, paras. 9 and 10 YMP-USGS-QMP-3.03, Rev. 2, para. 5.6.7	<p>Changes to baseline [existing or acquired] software configuration items shall be formally documented. This documentation shall contain a description of the change, the identification of the originating organization, the rationale for the change, and the identification of affected baselines and software configuration items. The change should be formally evaluated by a qualified individual or organization with the ability to approve or disapprove the proposed change. Assurance shall be provided that only authorized changes are made to software baselines and software configuration items [such as conversion of acquired software].</p> <p>1. Verify that change control procedures are in place for acquired and existing software, including use of software defect reports and software change requests.</p> <p>2. Verify that the change control procedures have been implemented.</p>			
				<p>9 AUDITOR SIGNATURE _____ 10 DATE _____</p>	

OCRWM AUDIT CHECKLIST NO. 92-13-1

1 ORGANIZATION USGS

2 Page 126 of 130

3 AUDIT ITEM NO.	4 QUALITY REQUIREMENT REFERENCE(S)	5 QUALITY REQUIREMENT/GUIDELINE	6 RESULTS S,X,N/A	7 SUMMARY OF INVESTIGATION	8 PERSON CONTACTED
19-A-19	QARD, Rev. 4, para. 19.1.2 f para. 19.11 b YMP-USGS-SQAP-01 Rev. 0, paras. 4.2.7, 9, and 10 YMP-USGS-QMP-3.03, Rev. 2, para. 5.8	<p>During the operations and maintenance phase the [acquired] software has been approved for operational use. Further activity shall consist of maintenance of the software to remove latent errors (corrective maintenance), to respond to new or revised requirements (perfective maintenance), or to adapt the software to changes in the software environment (adaptive maintenance). Software modifications shall be approved, documented, tested, (including regression testing as appropriate), and controlled in accordance with Section 19.2(V&V) (as applicable to acquired software).</p> <p>1. Verify that the controls are in place, including Software Defect Report and Software Change Request forms.</p> <p>2. Verify that the controls have been implemented.</p>			
				9 AUDITOR SIGNATURE	10 DATE

92-13-1

2 Page 127 of 130

[illegible]

92-13-1

2 Page 128 of 130

[illegible]

OCRWM AUDIT CHECKLIST NO. 92-13-1

1 ORGANIZATION USGS

2 Page 129 of 130

[illegible]

OCRWM AUDIT CHECKLIST NO. 92-13-1

1 ORGANIZATION USGS

2 Page 130 of 130

3 AUDIT ITEM NO.	4 QUALITY REQUIREMENT REFERENCE(S)	5 QUALITY REQUIREMENT/GUIDELINE	6 RESULTS S,X,N/A	7 SUMMARY OF INVESTIGATION	8 PERSON CONTACTED
19-A-26	QARD, Rev. 4, para. 19.12 d YMP-USGS-SQAP-01 Rev. 0, paras. 6.4 and 11 YMP-USGS-QMP-3.03, Rev. 2, paras. 5.9 and 5.12	Controls shall be established for generating and documenting [acquired] software used to perform technical calculations. All auxiliary software used should be included in documentation of technical calculations performed and should be included in independent review as part of the calculation. 1. Verify that SAD's and SACR's are added to the SCM baseline after review and approval. 2. Verify how review and approval are accomplished for these instances. 3. Verify use of auxiliary software and its qualification for a critical application.			
				<div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between;"> <div>⁹ AUDITOR SIGNATURE _____</div> <div>¹⁰ DATE _____</div> </div>	