Central files GL-80-89

UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION **REGION I 631 PARK AVENUE** KING OF PRUSSIA, PENNSYLVANIA 19406 October 24, 1980

Docket No. 50-03

CLEAR REGULA

Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. ATTN: Mr. Peter Zarakas Vice President 4 Irving Place New York, New York 10003

DISTRI U

Gentlemen:

The enclosed Supplement No. 3 to IE Bulletin 79-01B, "Environmental Qualification of Class 1E Equipment," is forwarded to you for action. This supplement clarifies two issues raised by Supplement No. 2. These are (1) the submittal of qualification information for equipment resulting from TMI Action Plan requirements and (2) the qualification of equipment\_which is required to achieve a cold shutdown condition. This action is a result of industry feedback to NRC regarding interpretation of Supplement No. 2. The information presented is applicable to your submittal regarding environmental qualification of Class 1E equipment which is required by November 1, 1980.

If you desire additional information regarding this matter, please contact this office.

Sincerely,

Huger

Bovce H. Grier Director

Enclosures: Supplement No. 3 to IE Bulletin No. 79-01B 1. List of Recently Issued IE Bulletins 2.

CONTACT: S. D. Ebneter (215 - 337 - 5283)

cc w/encls: L. O. Brooks, Project Manager, IP Nuclear W. Monti, Manager - Nuclear Power Generation Department M. Shatkouski, Plant Manager J. M. Makepeace, Director, Technical Engineering W. D. Hamlin, Assistant to Resident Manager J. D. Block, Esquire, Executive Vice President - Administration Joyce P. Davis, Esquire

8011070343

 $\bigcirc$ 

SSINS No.: 6820 Accession No.: 8008220248 IEB Sup #3 to 79-01B

## UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION OFFICE OF INSPECTION AND ENFORCEMENT WASHINGTON, D.C. 20555

October 24, 1980

## IE Bulletin No. 79-01B Supplement No. 3: ENVIRONMENTAL QUALIFICATION OF CLASS IE EQUIPMENT

Description of Circumstances:

Two issues were raised by Supplement No. 2 which require clarification. These are: (1) the dates required for submittal of qualification information for TMI related equipment, and (2) whether the equipment required to achieve a Cold Shutdown condition must be environmentally qualified if the licensing basis for the plant was a Hot Safe Shutdown condition.

(1) Supplement No. 2 (Q.1, Q.5) addressed the minimum cold shutdown requirements. The staff position on this issue is that the licensee must identify and environmentally qualify the equipment needed to complete one method (path) of achieving and maintaining a cold shutdown condition. The equipment of other paths must be reviewed to assure that its failure will not aggravate or contribute to the accident (ref. Q.5 Supp. No. 2).

Due to an inconsistency between Supplement No. 1 and Supplement No. 2, the staff position on this issue was unclear. Therefore, the following will apply:

- a. The qualification information for equipment needed to achieve and maintain a Hot Safe Shutdown condition must be submitted not later than November 1, 1980.
- b. The qualification information for equipment required to achieve and maintain a Cold Shutdown condition (ref. Q.1 and Q.5 of Supplement No. 2) must be submitted not later than February 1, 1981.
- (2) IEB 79-01B required a 90 day response which was due in mid-April 1980. Supplement 1 (Feb. 1980) informed licensees that equipment which was "planned" to be installed as a result of lessons learned need not be addressed in that response. Some of this equipment has since been installed. Supplement No. 2 (Q.5, Q.21) identified that the staff position was that equipment which is installed should be treated in a manner similar to all other safety-related electrical equipment and be addressed in the November 1, 1980 submittal. This position represents no change in staff position regarding the scope of the review. However, since the staff position on this issue was unclear the following will apply:
  - a. Qualification information for installed TMI Action Plan equipment must be submitted by February 1, 1981.
  - b. Qualification information for future TMI Action Plan equipment (ref. NUREG-0737, when issued), which requires NRC pre-implementation review, must be submitted with the pre-implementation review data.

. •

c. Qualification information for TMI Action Plan equipment currently under NRC review should be submitted as soon as possible.

···· · · ·

d. Qualification information for TMI Action Plan equipment not yet installed which does not require pre-implementation review should be submitted to NRC for review by the implementation date.

The above items 1 and 2 represent no change in staff position regarding the scope of the 79-01B Supplement 2 review.

IE Bulletin No. 79-01B was issued under a blanket GAO clearance (B180225 (R0072), clearance expired July 31, 1980) specifically for identified generic problems. Supplement No. 3 to Bulletin 79-01B is for information, hence no GAO clearance is required.

IE Bulletin No. 79-1B Supplement No. 2 October 24, 1980

## RECENTLY ISSUED IE BULLETINS

Subject	Date Issued	Issued To
Environmental Qualifica- tion of Class 1E Equipment	9/30/80	All holders of a power reactor OL or CP
Automation Industries, Model 200-520-008	9/12/80	All holders of a radiography license
(Not Used)		
Boron Loss from BWR Control Blades	8/29/80	All holders of a BWR power reactor OL
Failures of Mercury- Wetted Matrix Relays in Reactor Protective Systems of Operating Nuclear Power Plants Designed by Combustion Engineering	8/15/80	All holders of a power reactor OL or CP
Failures of Westinghouse Type M-2 Spring Return to Neutral Control Switches	7/31/80	All holders of a power reactor OL or CP
Failures of Mercury- Wetted Matrix Relays in Reactor Protective Systems of Operating Nuclear Power Plants Designed by Combustion Engineering	7/31/80	All holders of a power reactor OL or CP
Maintenance of Adequate Minimum Flow Thru Centrifugal Charging Pumps Following Secondary Side High Energy Line Rupture	7/24/80	All holders of a PWR power reactor OL or CP
Failure of Control Rods to Insert During a Scram at a BWR	8/22/80	All holders of a BWR power reactor OL or CP
Failure of Control Rods to Insert During a Scram at a BWR	7/22/80	All holders of a BWR power reactor OL
	Environmental Qualifica- tion of Class 1E Equipment Automation Industries, Model 200-520-008 (Not Used) Boron Loss from BWR Control Blades Failures of Mercury- Wetted Matrix Relays in Reactor Protective Systems of Operating Nuclear Power Plants Designed by Combustion Engineering Failures of Westinghouse Type M-2 Spring Return to Neutral Control Switches Failures of Mercury- Wetted Matrix Relays in Reactor Protective Systems of Operating Nuclear Power Plants Designed by Combustion Engineering Maintenance of Adequate Minimum Flow Thru Centrifugal Charging Pumps Following Secondary Side High Energy Line Rupture Failure of Control Rods to Insert During a Scram at a BWR	Environmental Qualifica- tion of Class 1E Equipment9/30/80Automation Industries, Model 200-520-0089/12/80(Not Used)800Boron Loss from BWR Control Blades8/29/80Failures of Mercury- Wetted Matrix Relays in Reactor Protective Systems of Operating Nuclear Power Plants Designed by Combustion Engineering8/15/80Failures of Westinghouse Type M-2 Spring Return to Neutral Control Switches7/31/80Failures of Mercury- Batts Designed by Combustion Engineering7/31/80Failures of Mercury- Systems of Operating Nuclear Power Plants Designed by Combustion Engineering7/31/80Maintenance of Adequate Minimum Flow Thru Centrifugal Charging Pumps Following Secondary Side High Energy Line Rupture7/24/80Failure of Control Rods to Insert During a Scram at a BWR7/22/80

Enclosure 2

. • <del>-</del> .

1 - T