

pub. g

From: Mindy Landau
To: William Reckley
Date: Wed, Oct 24, 2001 3:14 PM
Subject: Re: Public Docs in ADAMS

*EDO
NRR*

EDO

[Stay tuned! I promise you will get more info....

*NRR
10/25/01*

>>> William Reckley 10/24/01 02:50PM >>> *NRR*
I am not trying to make life too complicated but....the question is not the criteria which will be the same for the Web and ADAMS but it is how we apply the criteria. In licensing space for example, our rules have required material to be public unless it is safeguards or proprietary. OGC has said this new class of information - sensitive but not safeguards - does not meet the legal ways of withholding information and so I am simply searching for the mechanics of actually implementing the criteria.

EDO

>>> Mindy Landau 10/24/01 02:40PM >>> *EDO*
Bill, the guidance we are working on addresses the question of which documents to make public in the interim...by all methods, including ADAMS. It should be out in the next few days.

*NRR
10/25/01*

>>> William Reckley 10/24/01 12:44PM >>> *NRR*
although I am officially only working on the web content effort for NRR, there are a number of questions coming to me regarding the profiling and handling of documents in ADAMS. For example, we had previously sent Safety Determination Workbooks to licensees in support of the Reactor Oversight Process and the level of detail in those documents led (i think) to a change in their ADAMS profile to non-public. We are still in the process of sending these workbooks to some licensees and so the question comes up as to whether we should send them to the licensee and yet call them non-public (and not send to the normal service list). Is there any guidance yet on how we will handle documents (both incoming and outgoing) that have info meeting the EDO's criteria for not being public when we have no traditional reason (safeguards, proprietary, etc.) to withhold this info from public disclosure?

B-6