

•

Department of Energy

Yucca Mountain Site Characterization Project Office P. O. Box 98608 Las Vegas, NV 89193-8608

WBS 1.2.9.3 QA

SEP 2 6 1991

Robert F. Pritchett
Technical Project Officer
for Yucca Mountain
Site Characterization Project
Reynolds Electrical &
Engineering Co., Inc.
P.O. Box 98521
Las Vegas, NV 89193-8521

EVALUATION OF RESPONSES TO CORRECTIVE ACTION REQUESTS (CARS) YM-91-028, YM-91-029, AND YM-91-030 RESULTING FROM YUCCA MOUNTAIN QUALITY ASSURANCE DIVISION (YMQAD) AUDIT 91-02 OF REYNOLDS ELECTRICAL & ENGINEERING CO., INC.

The YMQAD staff has evaluated the responses to CARs YM-91-028 through YM-91-030. The responses have been determined to be satisfactory. Verification of completion of the corrective actions will be performed after the effective dates provided. Any extension to these dates must be requested in writing with appropriate justification prior to the date. Please send a copy of extension requests to Nita J. Brogan, Science Applications International Corporation, Las Vegas, Nevada.

If you have any questions, please contact either Catherine E. Hampton at 794-7973 or John S. Martin of Science Applications International Corporation at 794-7881.

Donald G. Horton, Director

Yucca Mountain Quality Assurance Division

YMQAD: CEH-5756

Enclosure: CARs YM-91-028 through YM-91-030

cc w/encl:

K. R. Hooks, NRC, Washington,

S. W. Zimmerman, NWPO, Carson City, NV

W. J. Glasser, REECo, Las Vegas, NV

cc w/o encl:

J. W. Gilray, NRC, Las Vegas, NV

N. J. Brogan, SAIC, Las Vegas, NV, 517/T-08

Add: K.R. Hooks

NH03'11

WM-11

102.7

YMP-5

9110090042 910926 PDR WASTE WM-11 PDR

040



| 14CAR NO.: | YM-91-028 |
|------------|-----------------------|
| | C3 1 ² /91 |
| | 1 OF 2 |
| | QA |
| MATERIAL . | 1.2.9.3 |

| | U.S. DEPARTI | MENT OF ENERG | iY | QA | |
|---|---|----------------------|-----------|------------------------|--|
| WASHINGTON, D.C. | | | | WBS No.: 1.2.9.3 | |
| CORRECTIVE ACTION REQUEST | | | | | |
| 1 Controlling Document | | | 2 Relate | d Report No. | |
| Quality Procedure 9.1 | | | | NO. 91-02 | |
| 3 Responsible Organization | | 4 Discussed With | | | |
| REECo QSD | | S. Archuleta, J. D | onaldson | | |
| 10 Response Due | 11 Responsibility for Co | rrective Action | 12 5 | Stop Work Order Y or N | |
| 20 Days After Issue | R. F. Pritchett | | พ | lo | |
| QP-9.1, Rev. 3, "Welding applicable code, arrange | Sequirement: QP-9.1, Rev. 3, "Welding Procedure Qualification," Para. 6.2.3 states, "when required by the applicable code, arrange for nondestructive testing of the sample weld, (i.e., ultrasonic, radiographic, etc.) and attach a copy of the nondestructive evaluation report to the criginal PQR for retention." | | | | |
| 6 Adverse Condition: | | | | | |
| Contrary to the above rec | mirement. NDE report | s were not attached | to the PC | The for those weld | |
| | | | | | |
| 7 Recommended Action(s): | | | | | |
| Identify the remedial act Investigate the program p of similar deficient cond | process, activities o | r documentation to d | etermine | the extent and depth | |
| 8 Initiates) Date | | 13 Approved By | : ,, | Date: | |
| 3/8. Whilish // 1/26/9 | M 1□ 2⊠ 3□ | 00A (244) | | And 38-91 | |
| 15 Verification of Corrective Actio | n: | | | | |
| 9 | | | | • | |
| | | | | | |
| | • | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| ` | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| 16 Corrective Action Completed a | and Accepted: | 17 Closure Approv | ed By: | | |
| | | | | | |
| QAR | Date | OQA | <u></u> | | |

| CAR NO.: | YM-91-028 | | | |
|----------|-----------|--|--|--|
| | 03.17/91 | | | |
| SHEET: _ | 2 OF 2 | | | |

CORRECTIVE ACTION REQUEST (continuation sheet)

| 7 | Recommended Action(s) (continued) |
|---|---|
| | measures required to correct them. Identify the cause of the condition and the planned corrective action to prevent recurrence. |
| | |

| Response Accepted: | allet | 4-5-51 |
|--------------------|-------|---------|
| Response necepteur | QAR | Date |
| | | |
| | | ./ 6 6/ |
| Response Accepted: | OOA | Date |

.

.

| CAR NO. | YM-91-028 |
|---------|-----------|
| DATE: _ | 04-01-91 |
| SHEET: | l of l |

CORRECTIVE ACTION REQUEST (continuation sheet)

1. CORRECTIVE ACTION FOR DEFICIENT CONDITION # _1

A. Extent of Deficiency:

Review of Procedure Qualification Records (PQRs) indicated this condition exists for PQRs 1422, 2119, 3113, 3116A, and 3225.

B. Root Cause:

These Welding Procedure Specifications (WPSs) were originally qualified for a non-YMP welding program in which the attachment of NDE reports to the PQR was not required. When the subject PQRs were incorporated as YMP WPS support, management failed to assure compliance with this requirement.

C. Remedial Actions: (All Actions To Be Completed By 04/15/91)

The required NDE records were filed in the original non-YMP PQR files. Copies of the required NDE reports have been retrieved and filed in the YMP PQR records. A statement shall be added to the PQRs and WPSs to identify the date of issue and code or standard title utilized for generation of the welding document.

D. <u>Corrective Action to Prevent Recurrence:</u> (Actions To Be Completed By 09/09/91)

The YMP welding program shall be revised to assure compliance to requirements. The revision of the YMP welding program shall assure the date of issue and code or standard title utilized for generation of the welding document (WPS, PQR, and WQR) are defined on the document. The revised YMP program will stipulate the format and methodologies for development of the WPSs, PQRs, and WQRs. The revision of the YMP welding program shall be completed by September 9, 1991. Additional revision or generation of new PQRs, WPSs, and WQRs shall be as required per engineering design criteria when received from the project.

| Response Approved: | 127 Pritchest | 4/1/91 |
|--------------------|---------------------|--------|
| | Responsible Manager | Date |

Atr dtd 4/1/91 - 580-01-337

| CAR NO.: | YM-91-028 | | |
|----------|-----------|--------|---|
| DATE: | 09-0 |)5-91 | |
| SHEET: | 1 | of 1 | _ |

CORRECTIVE ACTION REQUEST (continuation sheet)

AMENDED RESPONSE

CORRECTIVE ACTION RESPONSE: CAR YM-91-028

1. CORRECTIVE ACTION FOR DEFICIENT CONDITION # _1_

A. Extent of Deficiency:

Review of Procedure Qualification Records (PQRs) indicated this condition exists for PQRs 1422, 2119, 3113, 3116A, and 3225.

B. Root Cause:

These Welding Procedure Specifications (WPSs) were originally qualified for a non-YMP welding program in which the attachment of NDE reports to the PQR was not required. When the subject PQRs were incorporated as YMP WPS support, management failed to assure compliance with this requirement.

C. <u>Remedial Actions</u>: (Complete)

Copies of the required NDE reports have been retrieved and filed in the following YMP POR files: 1422, 2119, 3113, 3116A, and 3225.

D. <u>Corrective Action to Prevent Recurrence</u>: (Actions To Be Completed By 11/01/91)

The current YMP welding program is scheduled to be superseded by the REECo Welding Manual which is presently under development. This program will clarify the requirements to attach the required information and will be a comprehensive welding program that will meet or exceed the current YMP program requirements.

| | Response Approved: | Bruce Standelly For RFP | 9/6/91 |
|---------|--------------------|-------------------------|----------------|
| | | Responsible Manager | Date |
| | Response Accepted: | Max. | 9-9-9/ Date |
| | Response Accepted: | Whent for the | 9-13-9/ |
| Str dtd | 9/6/91 - 580-01 | -633 | vate |



OFFICE OF CIVILIAN RADIOACTIVE WASTE MANAGEMENT

| 14CAR NO.: | YM-91-029 |
|------------|-----------|
| | C3/CT/91 |
| | 1 OF 2 |
| | QA |
| WRS No | 1.2.9.3 |

| | | Y | SHEET: OF _2 | |
|--|---|---|--|--|
| | | | QA WBS No.: <u>1.2.9.3</u> | |
| | • | 1 | WB3 NO | |
| CORRECTIVE A | CTION REQUE | ST | | |
| | 7 | • | d Report No. | |
| | | AUDIT | NO. 91-02 | |
| , | | | | |
| | | | Stop Work Order Y or N | |
| R. F. Pritchett | | | lo | |
| | | | | |
| Quality Assurance Program Plan (QAPP), Revision 8, Section V, Para. 1.0 states in part, "Documents shall include or reference appropriate quantitative or qualitative acceptance criteria for determining that prescribed activities have been satisfactorily accomplished." | | | | |
| | | | | |
| T bhusuah 777 | | | 1 /: - BCVP BVC | |
| | d has been establi | sned with | nin the procedures to | |
| tion(s) to be taken to | correct the defici | encies D | nted in Block 6. | |
| .ton(s) to be taken to | correct the derict | | oted in Dioth o. | |
| process, activities or ditions on the CAR. Id | documentation to d entify these defic | letermine | the extent and depth | |
| itions on the CAR. Id | documentation to dentify these defic | letermine :iencies | the extent and depth | |
| litions on the CAR. Id | entify these defic | letermine eiencies | the extent and depth and provide the | |
| itions on the CAR. Id | 13 Approved By | letermine eiencies | the extent and depth and provide the Date: | |
| Sitions on the CAR. Id. Severity Level- 1 2 3 3 | 13 Approved By | letermine eiencies | the extent and depth and provide the Date: | |
| Sitions on the CAR. Id. Severity Level- 1 2 3 3 | 13 Approved By | letermine eiencies | the extent and depth and provide the Date: | |
| Sitions on the CAR. Id. Severity Level- 1 2 3 3 | 13 Approved By | letermine eiencies | the extent and depth and provide the Date: | |
| Sitions on the CAR. Id. Severity Level- 1 2 3 3 | 13 Approved By | letermine eiencies | the extent and depth and provide the Date: | |
| Sitions on the CAR. Id. Severity Level- 1 2 3 3 | 13 Approved By | letermine eiencies | the extent and depth and provide the Date: | |
| Sitions on the CAR. Id. Severity Level- 1 2 3 3 | 13 Approved By | letermine eiencies | the extent and depth and provide the Date: | |
| Sitions on the CAR. Id. Severity Level- 1 2 3 3 | 13 Approved By | letermine eiencies | the extent and depth and provide the Date: | |
| Sitions on the CAR. Id. Severity Level- 1 2 3 3 | 13 Approved By | letermine idencies | the extent and depth and provide the Date: | |
| | U.S. DEPARTM WASHING CORRECTIVE A 11 Responsibility for Corre R. F. Pritchett Plan (QAPP), Revision or reference appropria that prescribed activi mes I through III refer i consulted for further is that no code of recor addenda is applicable. | WASHINGTON, D.C. CORRECTIVE ACTION REQUE: 4 Discussed With S. Archuleta, J. D. 11 Responsibility for Corrective Action R. F. Pritchett 12 Plan (QAPP), Revision 8, Section V, Par or reference appropriate quantitative or that prescribed activities have been sate it consulted for further information and a state no code of record has been established addenda is applicable. | U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY WASHINGTON, D.C. CORRECTIVE ACTION REQUEST 2 Relate AUDIT 4 Discussed With S. Archuleta, J. Donaldson R. F. Pritchett 12 Responsibility for Corrective Action R. F. Pritchett 13 Plan (QAPP), Revision 8, Section V, Para. 1.0 s' or reference appropriate quantitative or qualitate that prescribed activities have been satisfactor. The property of the property of the prescribed activities have been satisfactor. The property of the p | |

CAR NO.: YM-91-029

DATE: 03 17/91

SHEET: 2 OF 2

CORRECTIVE ACTION REQUEST (continuation sheet)

7 Recommended Action(s) (continued)
measures required to correct them. Identify the cause of the condition and the
planned corrective action to prevent recurrence.

CAR NO. YM-91-029

DATE: 04-12-91

SHEET: 1 OF 2

CORRECTIVE ACTION REQUEST (continuation sheet)

CORRECTIVE ACTION RESPONSE: CAR YM-91-029

1. CORRECTIVE ACTION FOR DEFICIENT CONDITION # ALL

A. Extent of Deficiency:

REECo has reviewed the identified adverse condition and, after review of our current quality procedures, considers that the condition is limited to those activities governed by quality criteria IX, Control of REECo's procedures for this criteria contain a general Processes. requirement that special processes be conducted in accordance with applicable codes, standards, specifications, criteria, and other special The NNWSI Volumes I through III make reference to the requirements. governing codes but have not provided a reference to the actual code used. In general, the required codes to conduct an activity are set as part of a license application or by the design agency as part of a design specification. However, for the qualification of nondestructive test personnel, Supplement 2S-2 of NQA-1-1989 edition has specified SNT-TC-1A. June 1980 edition as the specific requirement. This appears to be the only specific code which has an effect on Control of Processes, i.e. nondestructive testing. REECo currently does not perform quality related nondestructive testing on the YMP project.

B. Root Cause:

The welding organization, in the absence of a defined code of record, did not recognize a need to identify the specific code used to determine the acceptability of the activity.

C. Remedial Actions: (All Actions To Be Completed By 09/09/91)

As part of the corrective actions identified for corrective action CAR-YM-91-028, REECo indicated that a statement shall be added to the Procedure Qualification Records (PQRs) and Weld Procedure Specifications (WPSs) to identify the date of issue and code or standard title utilized for generation of the welding document. This action is to be completed by April 15, 1991.

| CAR NO. | | | | |
|---------|----------|-----|----|---|
| DATE: _ | <u> </u> | 12- | 91 | |
| SHEET: | 2 | OF. | 2 | _ |

CORRECTIVE ACTION REQUEST (continuation sheet)

CORRECTIVE ACTION RESPONSE: CAR YM-91-029 (Continued)

- 1. CORRECTIVE ACTION FOR DEFICIENT CONDITION # ALL
 - C. <u>Remedial Actions</u>: (Continued)

To ensure that applicable codes are defined, a revision to the YMP welding program shall assure that date of issue and code or standard title utilized for generation of the welding document (WPS, PQR, and Welder Qualification Record (WQR)) are defined on the document. This action which is also part of the corrective action for YM-91-028 is scheduled for completion September 9, 1991.

D. <u>Corrective Action to Prevent Recurrence:</u> (Actions To Be Completed By 06/15/91)

A clarification will be added to REECo's Quality Procedures for Control of Processes (QP 9.0, QP 9.1, and QP 9.2) to identify that in the absence of a clearly defined applicable code, i.e. year and addenda, the organization using a governing code (i.e., ASME, AWS, ANSI,...) shall use the current year and addenda and clearly identify on the documentation what specific code was used in the performance of the process. This requirement will also be included in any future procedures prepared for the Control of Processes. The clarification will be implemented through a Quality Procedure Change notices by June 15, 1991.

| Response | Approved: | Brue Saralle For RF | P. 4/12/91 | |
|----------|-----------|---------------------|------------|---|
| • | | Responsible Wanager | Date | |
| RESPONSE | ACCEPTED: | Cold Mant | 4-15-81 | - |
| | | QAR | Date | |
| RESPONSE | ACCEPTED: | Cother Theorem. | k- x 1.91 | |
| | | 00A | ? Date | |

CAR NO.: YM-91-029
DATE: 09-05-91
SHEET: 1 OF 2

CORRECTIVE ACTION REQUEST (continuation sheet)

AMENDED RESPONSE

CORRECTIVE ACTION RESPONSE: CAR YM-91-029

1. CORRECTIVE ACTION FOR DEFICIENT CONDITION # ALL

A. Extent of Deficiency:

REECo has reviewed the identified adverse condition, and after review of our current quality procedures, considers that the condition is limited to those activities governed by quality criteria IX, Control of Processes. REECo's procedures for this criteria contain a general requirement that special processes be conducted in accordance with applicable codes, standards, specifications, criteria, and other special requirements. The NNWSI Volumes I through III make reference to the governing codes but have not provided a reference to the actual code used. In general, the required codes to conduct an activity are set as part of a license application or by the design agency as part of a design specification. However, for the qualification of nondestructive test personnel, Supplement 2S-2 of NQA-1-1989 edition has specified SNT-TC-1A, June 1980 edition as the specific requirement. This appears to be the only specific code which has an effect on Control of Processes; i.e., nondestructive testing. REECo currently does not perform quality related nondestructive testing on the YMP project.

B. Root Cause:

The welding organization, in the absence of a defined code of record, did not recognize a need to identify the specific code used to determine the acceptability of the activity.

C. <u>Remedial Actions:</u> (Complete)

A statement has been added to the Procedure Qualification Records (PQRs) addressed on CAR, YM-91-028, to identify the date of issue and code or standard title utilized for generation of the welding document. This action is complete.

Ata dtd 9/6/91-580-01-633

CAR NO.: <u>YM-91-029</u>
DATE: <u>09-05-91</u>
SHEET: <u>2</u> of <u>2</u>

CORRECTIVE ACTION REQUEST (continuation sheet)

CORRECTIVE ACTION RESPONSE: CAR YM-91-029 (Continued)

- 1. CORRECTIVE ACTION FOR DEFICIENT CONDITION # ALL
 - D. <u>Corrective Action to Prevent Recurrence:</u> (Actions To Be Completed By 11/01/91)

The current YMP welding program is scheduled to be superseded by the REECo Welding Manual which is presently under development. This program is comprehensive and will meet or exceed all YMP program requirements. The REECo Welding Manual will state that new or revised Welding Procedure Specifications (WPSs) are and will continue to be qualified in accordance with the latest version or addenda of the governing code. Issuance of the REECo Welding Manual is scheduled for October 1, 1991, and further review and acceptance by YMP to be completed by 11/01/91. Quality Procedures (QP 9.0, QP 9.1, and QP 9.2) have been revised to require that in the absence of a clearly defined applicable code; i.e., year and addenda, the organization using a governing code (i.e., ASME, AWS, ANSI,...) shall use the current year and addenda.

Response Accepted:

14CAR NO.: YM-91-030 DATE: 03.07.91

SHEET: 1 OF 2

| | WASH | NGTON, D.C. | WBS No.: 1.2.3.3 | | | |
|---|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|---|--|--|--|
| CORRECTIVE ACTION REQUEST | | | | | | |
| | CORRECTIVE | ACTION REQUE | | | | |
| Controlling Document American Society of Mechan | nisal Pasissass Sas | tv | 2 Related Report No. AUDIT NO. 91-02 | | | |
| | mical Engineers, sec | | AUDIT NO. 91-02 | | | |
| 3 Responsible Organization REECo QSD | | 4 Discussed With S. Archuleta, J. D | Danaldean | | | |
| 10 Response Due | 11 Responsibility for Co | | 12 Stop Work Order Y or N | | | |
| 20 Days After Issue | R. F. Pritchett | OHECHYE ACTION | No | | | |
| 5 Requirement: | | | | | | |
| Procedure NWP, Revision 0 "NNWSI Standard Welder, Welding Operator, Brazer and Brazing Operator, Certification Specification," Para. 2.2 references that "ANSI/AIME, Section IX (American Society of Mechanical Engineers), "Welding and Brazing Qualifications." be utilized for welder certification. ASME Section IX, Part QW, "Welding," paragraph QW-322, "Renewal of Qualification," states, "The performance qualifications of a welder or welding operator shall be affected under the following conditions: (a) when he has not welded with a process during a period of 3 months or more, his qualifications or that process shall be expired; except when he is welding with another process, the period may be extended to 6 months; (b) when he has not welded with any process during a period of 3 months, all his qualifications shall be expired including any which may extend beyond 3 months by virtue of (a) above;" | | | | | | |
| | 7G, and N-3914, with | | fications renewed for weld of any welding process for a | | | |
| 7 Recommended Action(s): Identify the remedial action(s) to be taken to correct the deficiencies noted in Block 6. Investigate the program process, activities or documentation to determine the extent and depth of similar deficient conditions on the CAR. Identify these deficiencies and provide the | | | | | | |
| 8 Initiator / Date | e: 9 Severity Level | . 13 Approved By | Date: | | | |
| 5. S./Warsing/ 02/26/9 | | · / / / / | wo fe 311.91 | | | |
| 15 Verification of Corrective Actio | n: | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| 16 Corrective Action Completed a | and Accepted: | 17 Closure Approv | ved By: | | | |
| | | 2.332.57.4 | | | | |
| QAR | Date | OQA | | | | |

CAR NO.: YM-91-131 DATE: 03:07-91 SHEET: 2 OF 2

CORRECTIVE ACTION REQUEST (continuation sheet)

7 Recommended Action(s) (continued)
measures required to correct them. Identify the cause of the condition and the
planned corrective action to prevent recurrence.

CAR NO. YM-91-030

DATE: 04-01-91

SHEET: 1 OF 2

CORRECTIVE ACTION REQUEST (continuation sheet)

CORRECTIVE ACTION RESPONSE: CAR YM-91-030

1. CORRECTIVE ACTION FOR DEFICIENT CONDITION # 1

A. Extent of Deficiency:

At the time of subject YMP Audit, there was one active YMP welder, Z-001.

B. Root Cause:

The format that had historically been followed in the non-YMP program, from which the YMP procedure NWP-2 was developed, determined that the "Three Month" requirement would be adequately satisfied if the specified "Three Month" period was interpreted to be established by three successive calendar months beginning on the first day of the month following the month in which the welder was qualified in or used the specific process. Thus, if a welder used a process on any day in January his qualification for that process did not expire until the end of the third month after January, which would be April 30th. Documented usage of the process anytime before April 30th was considered to be within the required three month time frame. No attempt to extend one process through the usage of a second process was made. The YMP procedure NWP-2 failed to properly define and justify this interpretation.

C. Remedial Actions: (All Actions Are Completed)

The YMP qualifications for welder Z-001 has been rescinded. No welding has been performed on this project to date.

D. <u>Corrective Action to Prevent Recurrence</u>: (Actions To Be Completed By 09/09/91)

Research of ASME Section IX Code cases was conducted to determine if a committee interpretation of this question had been performed. No decision by the ASME code committee addressing the three month period was found. Two active members of the AWS D1.1 committee were contacted and neither member could shed light on the intended interpretation by their committee. Thus, it is a matter of interpreting the intent of the author in deciding if a deficiency exists or not; the only people who can decide this is the committee that wrote the requirement and that committee has been superseded by the 1989 committee for ASME Section IX and the 1990 committee for AWS D1.1. ASME Section IX was changed to specify a "Six Month" period by the winter 1990 addenda.

Ltr dtd 4/1/91-580-01-337

CAR NO. YM-91-030 DATE: 04-01-91 of 2 SHEET:

CORRECTIVE ACTION REQUEST (continuation sheet)

CORRECTIVE ACTION RESPONSE: CAR YM-91-030 (Continued)

- 1. CORRECTIVE ACTION FOR DEFICIENT CONDITION # 1
 - D. Corrective Action to Prevent Recurrence: (Continued)

The REECo YMP welding program shall be revised and approved by September 9, 1991. The new YMP program will implement a six month expiration period; i.e., day of month to day of month six months later. Further details of controls to be implemented in the YMP welding program revision are defined in response to YM-91-28, "Corrective Action To Prevent Recurrence".

| Response Approved: | 117 Pritihest | 4/1/91 |
|----------------------|---------------------|---------------|
| • " | Responsible Manager | Date |
| Response Accepted: | MA. | |
| 7 | QAR | Date |
| | | |
| Response Accepted: 👝 | String by for | <u>4-8-9/</u> |
| | OQA | Date |

122 Right

CAR NO.: YM-91-030
DATE: 09-05-91
SHEET: 1 OF 2

CORRECTIVE ACTION REQUEST (continuation sheet)

AMENDED RESPONSE

CORRECTIVE ACTION RESPONSE: CAR YM-91-030

1. CORRECTIVE ACTION FOR DEFICIENT CONDITION # _1_

A. Extent of Deficiency:

At the time of subject YMP Audit, there was one active YMP welder, Z-001.

B. Root Cause:

The format that had historically been followed in the non-YMP program, from which the YMP procedure NWP-2 was developed, determined that the "Three Month" requirement would be adequately satisfied if the specified "Three Month" period was interpreted to be established by three successive calendar months beginning on the first day of the month following the month in which the welder was qualified in or used the specific process. Thus, if a welder used a process on any day in January his qualification for that process did not expire until the end of the third month after January, which would be April 30th. Documented usage of the process anytime before April 30th was considered to be within the required three month time frame. No attempt to extend one process through the usage of a second process was made. The YMP procedure NWP-2 failed to properly define and justify this interpretation.

C. Remedial Actions: (All Actions To Be Completed By 11/01/91)

The YMP qualifications for welder Z-001 has been rescinded. No welding associated with quality affecting activity has been performed on the YMP to date.

Stadth 9/4/91- 580-01-633

CAR NO.: YM-91-030
DATE: 09-05-91
SHEET: 2 of 2

CORRECTIVE ACTION REQUEST (continuation sheet)

CORRECTIVE ACTION RESPONSE: CAR YM-91-030 (Continued)

- 1. CORRECTIVE ACTION FOR DEFICIENT CONDITION # 1
 - D. <u>Corrective Action to Prevent Recurrence:</u> (Actions To Be Completed By 11/01/91)

Research of ASME Section IX Code cases was conducted to determine if a committee interpretation of this question had been performed. No decision by the ASME code committee addressing the three month period was found. Two active members of the AWS D1.1 committee were contacted and neither member could shed light on the intended interpretation by their committee. Thus, it is a matter of interpreting the intent of the author in deciding if a deficiency exists or not; the only people who can decide this is the committee that wrote the requirement and that committee has been superseded by the 1989 committee for ASME Section IX and the 1990 committee for AWS D1.1. ASME Section IX was changed to specify a "Six Month" period by the winter 1990 addenda.

The REECo Welding Manual, which is currently being revised by the Welding Operations Support Facility Supervisor, shall include for implementation, a six month expiration period; i.e., day of month to day of month six months later to comply with the current edition of ASME.

Response Approved: Sum Stable For RFP 9/6/91
Responsible Manager Date

Response Accepted:

9-9-91

Response Accepted:

<u>9-13-91</u>