

Department of Energy

Washington, DC 20585

QA: QA

APR 24 2003

MEMORANDUM FOR: R. Dennis Brown (RW-3)

FROM:

Kerry M. Grooms, Acting Team Lead Assessments

Office of Quality Assurance

SUBJECT:

Closure of Deficiency Report (DR) ORD(O)-03-D-106 Regarding

Improper Supersession of Administrative Procedure 5.1Q

The Office of Civilian Radioactive Waste Management staff has evaluated DR ORD(O)-03-D-106 and determined that no condition adverse to quality exists. As a result, the DR is considered closed.

If you have any questions, please contact me at (702) 794-1367.

OQA:KMG-1062

Enclosure:

DR ORD(O)-03-D-106

cc w/encl:

James Blaylock, DOE/OQA (RW-3), Las Vegas, NV

N. K. Stablein, NRC, Rockville, MD

Robert Latta, NRC, Las Vegas, NV (2 cys)

S. W. Lynch, State of Nevada, Carson City, NV

L. W. Bradshaw, Nye County, Pahrump, NV

W. J. Glasser, NQS, Las Vegas, NV

D. G. Opielowski, NQS, Las Vegas, NV

B. M. Terrell, DOE/ORD (RW-40W), Las Vegas, NV

M. E. Van Der Puy, DOE/ORD (RW-30W), Las Vegas, NV

URIGINAL 1219 19 A RED STAMP

AP-16.1Q.1

OFFICE OF CIVILIAN RADIOACTIVE WASTE MANAGEMENT U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY WASHINGTON, D.C.

| |
|------------------------|
| 8. X DEFICIENCY REPORT |
| SEPORT |
| NO. ORD(0)-03-D-106 |
| PAGE 1 OF 1 |

Rev. 03/25/2002

| THE SAME COME | WASHINGTON, D.C. | PA | GE 1 OF 1 |
|--|--|--|---|
| DEFICIENC | Y-REPORT/CORRECTIVE | ACTION REPORT | all is to return a for |
| Controlling Document: (Document ID and MP-5.1Q, Rev. 00, ICN 00 | d Revision or Date) | 2. Related Rep | ort No.: |
| 3. Responsible Organization: ORD Project Support | 4. Discussed-With: Denny Brown | | Alither works sale |
| | described in the implementing docume stopped. | nt, or accomplishment of | ocument Con effect |
| 2.2.12.A.4Ensure indoctrination and traini | | | |
| 6.2.5.C - The disposition of obsolete or sup | eiseded documents shan de conhoned | to ensure that they are no | of used to perform work. |
| 6. Description of Condition: MP-5.1Q, Processing of Procedures became Procedure Preparation, Review and Approving the processing of changes to Administrative AP-5.1Q is cancelled, MP-5.1Q will be used As a result, MP-5.1Q is inconsistent with the 1. The Applicability statement is contrary to procedure (i.e., AP-5.1Q is replaced by MP with DOE policy and past practices. 2. QARD Sect. 2.2.12.A.4 has not been met not been trained for new procedure develop: 3. QARD Sect. 6.2.5.C states that the dispose perform work; however MP-5.1Q states that the dispose perform work because the dispose perfor | rail. MP-5.1Q, Section 2.0, Applicability Procedures (APs) and Line Procedured to process changes to existing APs are QARD recommended based on the for QARD Sect. 5.2.4 because it allows 1-5.1Q) which is inconsistent with the Ast in that development of the training mement or procedure changes that are in sition of superseded documents shall be twork can be performed to a supersed | ty, states that AP-5.1Q wes (LPs) until such time and LPs if such changes and lowing: project activities to be per AP-5.1Q definition of suppodule for MP-5.1Q is still progress as of 2/14. | rill continue to be used for s it is cancelled. Once re needed. rformed to rerseded inconsistent I in progress and staff have |
| Mark VanDerPuy | 4 / 3 | work condition exist? No N/A | |
| Printed NameSignature | | | THE PERSON |
| 10. Recommended Actions: None. 11. QA Review: | กำรงปรับสถาก (1.2) สถาชัยบากสอบประชาการ | भागम्ब पृथिद्धाः विति भरत्य यद्य ॥ | र रास्त्रीच्यान्य ६ सं ५७०७ वर्षः हे 🚅 ल्या हे |
| 11. QA Review: | Terminate Trace 10 12. Response | Due Date: Transition | すけ、ひこう (gran) |
| Printed Name Signature: | 13/21/03 | 10 Working Days aft | er Issuance |
| 13. QAM Issuance Approval: Printed Name R. Dennis Brown | Signature James | Sharghol fr | Date 3/26/03 |
| A. Corrective Actions Verified/Closure OAR Printed Name — Signature | Date 15. QAM Clos | KDemie Diow | Date |

| Submittal Page 1 of 2 TROGERICATE OF THE OFFICE OF (| CIVILIAN | 1. DR/CAR NO. ORD(O)-03-D-106 PAGE 2 OF |
|--|--|--|
| 2. Check if Amended Check if also Initial Response RADIOACTIVE WAST | 1 T 1 A 1 1 T 1 T 1 T 1 T 1 T 1 T 1 T 1 | PAGE 2 OF QA: QA |
| 3. Extended Processing No Yes (If yes, submit Extended Processing request) WASHINGTO | ON. D.C. | in land |
| DEFICIENCY REPORT/CORRECTIVE ACT | ION REPORT, COM | PLETE RESPONSE |
| 4. Extent of Condition: (Amended response will be required if all Extenderein) | nt of Condition investigation | ns are not complete and documented |
| None required, no condition adverse to quality exists. See the contin | uation sheet for details. | · · · · · |
| | | ₁ (-1) |
| Accepted to the second | en e | , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , |
| | १ हालेक र ३६०९ इ.स. १९४० | |
| 5. Impact: (Provide an impact statement relative to waste isolation and | d safety, and impact to oth | er work, if any) |
| None required, no condition adverse to quality exists. See the contin | | 1 , , , , , , |
| 7.351 | |) i |
| , 19 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | • | , |
| The state of the s | y w mongh dayan 4 | 0 1 2 2 |
| | | 12 11 2m = 12 21 21 |
| 6. Remedial Actions: (Document all actions necessary to address the | | ndition) c = ; \$ 3 %, 45 = 1 |
| None required, no condition adverse to quality exists. See the continu | uation sheet for details | |
| ت مرور (عادون) | , | |
| | , 2 | * 4. |
| Francisco Company of the state | . 10 | 2 (25) |
| 7. Root Cause (For a significant CAQ, attach root of formal root | ot cause determination pre | pared in accordance with AP-16.4Q) |
| Apparent Cause | 7.4 F. 1 | (* \) (|
| A review of the applicable QARD requirements and implementing pro- | ocedures determined that a | condition adverse to quality does not |
| exist. See the continuation sheet for more detail. | | · |
| | · * |) |
| 1 2 (m) 4 (m) 1 (m) 4 (m) | | · 1 · 17.20 · |
| 8. Action to Preclude Recurrence: (Address those actions necessary | to prevent the identified car | use from recurring) |
| None required, no condition adverse to quality exists. See the continu | nation sheet for details. | 3/4 |
| | | 177 |
| | | |
| | | |
| | to be about a common to the second of the se | and the second s |
| 1 2 | Responsible Manager R. D. Brown | in Mrs. 1/12/22 |
| 1 6 1 15 | | ignature Date |
| The distribution of the copt o | 2. QAM Concurrence: | |
| Re-evaluated for significance | | A some from the same |
| | R. D. Brown KDin | nin Blown 4/17/03 |
| Printed Name Signature Date | Printed Name \ S | |
| (4), (3) | e pros Togodija i se | Rev. 03/25/2002 |

· :

| | | | | _ |
|------------------|---|-----|---|---|
| | _ | | ~ | |
| Culturation Dago | 7 | ~ 6 | | |

OFFICE OF CIVILIAN RADIOACTIVE WASTE MANAGEMENT U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY WASHINGTON, D.C.

BANK TO THE PARTY OF THE PARTY

| DR/CAR/QO |
|-----------|
| swo |

NO ORD(O)-03-D-106 PAGE 4 OF

QA: QA

CONDITION ADVERSE TO QUALITY CONTINUATION PAGE

The Director, Office of Quality Assurance, has determined that the condition identified on this Deficiency Report (DR) is not a condition adverse to quality.

Conditions (1) and (3)

The basis for this Deficiency Report (DR) is that AP-5.1Q, Plan and Procedure Preparation, Review, and Approval, was superseded by MP-5.1Q, Processing of Procedures. Both procedures describe a process for the preparation, review, approval, and revision of procedures. However, the scope of AP-5.1Q and MP-5.1Q are not the same. AP-5.1Q applies to Administrative Procedures (APs) and Line Procedures (LPs) whereas MP-5.1Q applies to Management Procedures (MPs), Office Procedures (OPs), and Team Procedures (TPs).

The Change History of MP-5.1Q does state that it supersedes AP-5.1Q. The intention was to transition from the APs and LPs, controlled under AP-5.1Q, to MPs, OPs, and TPs controlled under MP-5.1Q as part of the overall procedure transition effort. Eventually, when all APs and LPs had been replaced, AP-5.1Q would have been cancelled and MP-5.1Q would have 'superseded' it.

However, since the scope of the procedures is not the same, work could continue to the approved implementing document of MP-5.1Q as appropriate) and the QARD requirement to control superseded or obsolete procedures was not violated. No condition adverse to quality exists.

Condition (2)

Even though MP-5.1Q was released for use, no work was or will be performed to MP-5.1Q. Therefore, no training of the required and no condition adverse to quality exists.