

C. Lance Terry Senior Vice President & Principal Nuclear Officer

2 H

TXU Energy

Electric Station P.O. Box 1002 (E01) Glen Rose, TX 76043

Tel: 254 897 8920 Fax: 254 897 6652 lance.terry@txu.com

Comanche Peak Steam

Ref: 10CFR50.90

CPSES-200301001 Log # TXX-03090 File # 00236

May 5, 2003

U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission ATTN: Document Control Desk Washington, DC 20555

SUBJECT: COMANCHE PEAK STEAM ELECTRIC STATION (CPSES) DOCKET NOS. 50-445 AND 50-446 SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION TO LICENSE AMENDMENT REQUEST (LAR) 02-11, REVISION OF OPERATING LICENSE, APPENDIX B, ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION PLAN

 REF: 1) TXU Energy Letter logged TXX-02198 from C. L. Terry to U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, "License Amendment Request (LAR) 02-11, Revision of Operating License, Appendix B Environmental Protection Plan," dated November 19, 2002.

Gentlemen:

The purpose of this letter is to provide supplemental information pertinent to TXU Generation Company LP's (TXU Energy's) previously submitted License Amendment Request LAR 02-11 (Reference 1).

In telephone conference calls on April 28 and 29, 2003, TXU Energy discussed with the NRR Project Manager for CPSES, Mr. David Jaffe, the desire to have a single, consolidated version of Appendix B "Environmental Protection Plan (EPP)" to be issued for both of the CPSES Operating Licenses (NPF-87 and NPF-89) instead of having a separate EPP version issued for (attached to) each Operating License as is the current status/practice. The reason for this action is administrative efficiency with respect to the pending and any future EPP amendments. The current EPP versions for each license are identical except for title page differences and a slight difference in the wording of one sentence stated in Section 2.1 "Aquatic issues". The difference in Section 2.1 is deemed to be minor and not materially significant.

A member of the STARS (Strategic Teaming and Resource Sharing) Alliance



TXX-03090 Page 2 of 3

10

3

Accordingly, in order to achieve consolidation and administrative efficiency, TXU Energy proposes to adopt the wording of the latest version of the Section 2.1 sentence in question, i.e., the version issued with the CPSES Unit 2 license (NPF-89), for both licenses.

The attachment to this letter proposes a change to resolve the above noted minor wording difference in Section 2.1 of the EPP for the current licenses. The attachment includes the appropriate page from the Unit 1 license (NPF-87) marked up to be equivalent to (consistent with) the wording given in the corresponding Section of the Unit 2 license (NPF-89). A new title page for the proposed consolidated EPP is also included.

This change is not considered significant and does not affect the No Significance Hazards Determination previously submitted with LAR-02.

Should you have any questions, please contact C. L. Wilkerson at (254) 897-0144.

I state under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed on May 5, 2003.

Sincerely,

TXU Generation Company LP

By: TXU Generation Management Company LLC, Its General Partner

> C. L. Terry Senior Vice President and Principal Nuclear Officer

By:

Roger D. Walker **Regulatory Affairs Manager**

CLW/clw

Attachment

c - E. W. Merschoff, Region IV
W. D. Johnson, Region IV
D. H. Jaffe, NRR
Resident Inspectors, CPSES



TXX-03090 Page 3 of 3

يوي ا

7

Mr. Authur C. Tate Bureau of Radiation Control Texas Department of Public Health 1100 West 49th Street Austin, Texas 78704

Attachment to TXX-03090

ç

Supplemental Information to LAR 02-11

- Proposed Title Page for consolidated Environmental Protection Plan (EPP) (Current Title Page for Unit 2 (NPF-89) marked up)
- Proposed change to page 2-1, Section 2.1 of EPP (NPF-87) (to be consistent with same page/section of EPP (NPF-89))

APPENDIX B TO FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE NOS. NPF-87 & NPF-89

. **

;

TXU GENERATION COMPANY LP COMANCHE PEAK STEAM ELECTRIC STATION UNITS 1 & 2 DOCKET NOS. 50-445 AND 50-446

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION PLAN (NON RADIOLOGICAL)

APRIL 6, 1993

Amendment No. 90

2.0 Environmental Protection Issues

In the FES-OL, dated September 1981, the staff considered the environmental impacts associated with the operation of the two-unit Comanche Peak Steam Electric Station (CPSES). Certain environmental issues were identified which required study or license conditions to resolve environmental concerns and to assure adequate protection of the environment.

2.1 Aquatic Issues

The aquatic issues identified by the State in the FES-OL were as follows:

- (1) Effects of the intake structure on aquatic biota during operation (FES-OL Section 5.5.2.3).
- (2) Effects of the circulating water chlorination system on aquatic biota during operation (FES-OL Sections 4.2.4.1, 5.3.4.1, and 5.11.3.1).

The second issue above, "Effects of the circulating water chlorination system on aquatic biota during operation (FES-OL Sections 4.2.4.1, 5.3.4.1, and 5.11.3.1)," no longer applies because the service water and circulating water chlorination system is no longer used at CPSES and the EPA NPDES permit no longer requires that such a study be performed.

Aquatic matters are addressed by the effluent limitations, monitoring requirements, and the Section 316(b) demonstration requirement contained in the effective NPDES permit issued by the U. S. Environmental Protection Agency (Region VI). The NRC will rely on this agency for regulation of matters involving water quality and aquatic biota.

2.2 Terrestrial Issues

The terrestrial issue identified by the staff in the FES-OL was as follows:

(1) Potential impacts resulting from the use of groundwater by the station during operation (FES-OL Section 5.3.1.2).

NRC requirements with regard to the terrestrial issue are specified in Subsection 4.2 of this EPP.