FENOC

FirstEnergy Nuclear Operating Company

Lew W. Myers Chief Operating Officer 5501 North State Route 2 Oak Harbor, Ohio 43449

> 419-321-7599 Fax: 419-321-7582

NP-33-02-008-01

Docket No. 50-346

License No. NPF-3

May 6, 2003

United States Nuclear Regulatory Commission Document Control Desk Washington, D.C. 20555

Ladies and Gentlemen:

LER 2002-008-01 Davis-Besse Nuclear Power Station, Unit No. 1 Date of Occurrence – March 8, 2002

Enclosed please find Revision 1 to voluntary Licensee Event Report (LER) 2002-008, which is being submitted to provide additional information regarding degraded conditions identified on the Containment Air Coolers. The changes are marked with a revision bar in the margin. This revised LER is being submitted in accordance with the guidance of Sections 2.7 and 5.1.5 of NUREG-1022, Event Reporting Guidelines.

Very truly yours,

PSJ/s

Enclosures

cc: Mr. J. E. Dyer, Regional Administrator, USNRC Region III Mr. C. S. Thomas, DB-1 NRC Senior Resident Inspector Utility Radiological Safety Board

JE22

Docket Number 50-346 License Number NPF-3 NP-33-02-008-01 Attachment Page 1 of 1

.

COMMITMENT LIST

The following list identifies those actions committed to by the Davis-Besse Nuclear Power Station in this document. Any other actions discussed in the submittal represent intended or planned actions by Davis-Besse. They are described only as information and are not regulatory commitments. Please notify the Manager - Regulatory Affairs (419-321-8450) at Davis-Besse of any questions regarding this document or associated regulatory commitments.

COMMITMENTS

DUE DATE

None

None

NRC FORM 366 U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION APPROVED BY OMB NO. 3150-0104 EXPIRES 06/30/200 (1-2001) Estimated burden per response to comply with this mandatory infor									30/2001 orv informatio	٦ n														
	LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER)									collection request: 50 hrs. Reported lessons learned are incorporated into the licensing process and fed back to industry. Forward comments regarding burden estimate to the Records Management Branch (T-6 F33). U.S. Nuclear							e g							
										Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555-0001, and to the Paperwork							ĸ							
	(See reverse for required number of									Reduction Project (3150-0104), Office of Management and Budget, Washington DC 20503. If an information collection does not display a currently								ι, γ						
uigits/characters for each block) valid OMB control number, the NRC may not conduct or sponsor, and a per											r, and a perso	ήľ												
	FACILITY NAME (1) DOCKET NUMBER (2) PAGE (3)											╡												
Davis-	Davis-Besse Unit Number 1										ALT NU	11101	050003	46			1 OF 7							
											۶													
Cont	Containment Air Coolers Collective Significance of Degraded Conditions																							
EVE	NT D/	ATE (5)		Ļ	ER NU	MBER (6)		REPO	RT D	ATE	(7)			OTHE	R FACILITIES		UV	ED (8)					
MONTH	DAY	YEAR	Y	'EAR	SEQU NUI	Jential Mber	REVISION NUMBER	N	IONTH	DAY	1	YEAR	FACILITY NAME DOCKET				оскет і 05	NUMBER 5000						
03	80	2002	2	002	0	08	01		5	6	2	003	FACIL	.ITY	NAME		-	D	OCKET I 05	NUMBER 000				
OPERA	TING		ТН	IIS REI	PORT	IS SUB	AITTED P	UR	SUAN	TTOT	THE	REQ	UIREN	/EN	NTS OF 10 C	FR §: (Check	all the	at a	oply) (11)	า			
MODE	(9)			20.22	201(b)				20.22	03(a)(3)(i)				50.73(a)(2)(i)(C)		50.73(a)(2)(vii)						
POW	ER	000			201(d)				20.22	03(a)(3)(ii)				50.73(a)(2)	ii)(A)			50.73	(a)(2)(viii)(A)				
LEVEL	(10)	000		20.22	203(a)(1)		20.2203(a)(4)					_	50.73(a)(2)(ii)(B)			50.73(a)(2)(viii)(B)							
2			20.2203(a)(2)(i) 50.36			.36(c)(1)(i)(A)				50.73(a)(2)(iii)				50.73(a)(2)(ix)(A)		1								
No. Contraction of the second s							20.22	(03(a)	2)(ii)			50.36	(c)(1)(i	ii)(A))			50.73(a)(2)(iv)(A)			50.73	(a)(2)(x)	
				20.22	:03(a)(2)(iii)			50.36	(c)(2)					50.73(a)(2)(v)(A)			73.71	(a)(4)	٦			
			20.2203(a)(2)(iv)			50.46(a)(3)(ii)					50.73(a)(2)(v)(B)			73.71(a)(5)										
				20.2203(a)(2)(v)				50.73(a)(2)(i)(A)				50.73(a)		50.73(a)(2)((2)(v)(C)		X OTHER		R	٦				
	n ja		20.2203(a)(2)(v			2)(vi) 5			50.73(a)(2)(i)(B)					50.73(a)(2)(v)(D)		Specify in Abstract be		stract below or						
																		n NK	CForm	366A				
							LICE	NSE	E CO	NTAC	T FC	DR TH	IS LE	R ('	12)									
NAME	~ .														TELEPHONE	NUMBER (Include	Area Co	de)						
Peter	5. Jo	rdan – Re	egu	llatory	/ Affa	irs									(419) 32 ⁻	1-8260								
		and the state of the	CC	OMPLE			FOR EA	СН	COMP	ONEN	T F	AILUI	RE DE	SC		IS REPORT (13)				ᆌ			
CAUS	E	SYSTEM	Τ	COMPON	NENT	MAN FACTU	U- RER	REP	ORTABLE D EPIX			CA	USE	T	SYSTEM	COMPONENT	FA	MANU	j_ Rer	REPORTABLE TO EPIX				
	Ī																							
	العمروب	5						TE	D (14)					╈				нГ	DAY	YFAR	╡			
YES	YES (if yes, complete EXPECTED SUBMISSION DATE).					0			EXPECTED SUBMISSION DATE (15)															
ABSTR	ACT		0 sr	aces	i.e. an	emixona	tely 15 si	nole	space		writt	ten lin	es) (1	_ <u>_ال_</u> 6)			1				ᆌ			
Following unit shutdown for refueling on February 16, 2002, various degraded conditions were identified associated with the Containment Air Coolers (CACs). A review was performed of the collective significance of these degraded conditions adverse to quality. An engineering evaluation of structural integrity issues has been performed which concluded that corrosion and pitting that resulted from boric																								

acid deposition was not sufficient to render the CACs structurally inoperable during or following a safe shutdown earthquake. An engineering evaluation has been performed of non-conservatisms utilized in piping stress analysis for the Service Water (SW) System that feeds the CACs. This evaluation concluded that the SW piping and CAC cooling coils would remain functional following postulated accidents. Notifications made to the licensee under Part 21 did not render the CACs inoperable. Fouling conditions have been identified for both air and water sides of the cooling coils. An engineering evaluation of thermal performance issues concluded that with the containment emergency sump available, the CACs, in conjunction with Containment Spray (CS), would perform their intended function. Conditions that may render the sump unavailable and consequences on operation of the CS are discussed in LER 2002-005-01. This information is being provided to the NRC on a voluntary basis.

NRC FORM 366A (1-2001)	U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION										
LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER) TEXT CONTINUATION											
FACILITY NAME (1)	DOCKET NUMBER (2)		PAGE (3)								
Davis-Besse Unit Number 1	05000346	YEAR	SEQUENTIAL NUMBER	REVISION NUMBER	2 OF 7						
		2002	008	01							

TEXT (If more space is required, use additional copies of NRC Form 366A) (17)

DESCRIPTION OF OCCURRENCE:

Davis Besse Nuclear Power Station (DBNPS) was designed with three Containment Air Cooling (CAC) units [BK]. During normal plant operation, two of three CAC units operate to provide cooling of the containment atmosphere and maintain containment air temperature within limits. The third unit is maintained in standby. Following a postulated accident, the operating CAC units receive a safety features actuation signal (SFAS) [JE] to shift the operating CAC units from high to low speed fan operation. The CAC units, in conjunction with the Containment Spray System (CS) [BE], are designed to ensure adequate containment heat removal capacity is available during post-loss of coolant accident (LOCA) conditions and to promote long term containment cooling. Service Water (SW) [BI] is provided to the CAC cooling coils [BK-CCL] to provide the heat transport mechanism. The heat removal capability of one CAC unit in conjunction with one operating CS train has been analyzed to adequately mitigate post-accident conditions. Each CS train includes a CS pump, spray headers, nozzles, valves, and piping. On February 16, 2002, DBNPS commenced the Thirteenth Refueling Outage (13RFO). The plant entered Mode 6 on February 22, 2002, to perform refueling activities.

Following unit shutdown on February 16, 2002, various degraded conditions were identified associated with the CACs which were documented in condition reports (CRs). The issues were related to structural integrity (seismic adequacy, boric acid corrosion, and post accident thermal stress); maintenance, test, and configuration control; thermal performance; and 10 CFR 21 reports.

The CAC units are currently being thoroughly refurbished/replaced because of their degraded condition.

A decision was made to collectively review these degraded conditions to assess past operability of the CAC units. CRs written since the beginning of 13RFO through mid-November 2002 were reviewed to identify those Condition Reports (CRs) which documented conditions adverse to quality from previous operation of the CAC units. One additional CR was identified in early 2003 which potentially affected CAC operation (SW blockage). This CR was also included in the review.

This information is being provided on a voluntary basis since no condition of CAC degradation alone has been identified which would have rendered the CACs inoperable during periods of plant operation.

APPARENT CAUSE OF OCCURRENCE:

A number of the conditions adverse to quality related to corrosion, pitting, and rusting of the CAC unit components and SW piping resulted from boric acid deposition. These conditions could have challenged the seismic integrity of the CAC units. The boric acid deposition was the result of leaking reactor coolant, the causes of which have been documented in the Root Cause Analysis Reports on Failure to Identify Reactor Vessel Head Degradation provided to the NRC on

	·····								
U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION (1-2001) LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER)									
		. <u> </u>							
FACILITY NAME (1)	DOCKET NUMBER (2)		SEQUENTIAL	(6) REVISION	PAGE (3)				
Davis-Besse Unit Number 1	05000346	YEAR	NUMBER	NUMBER	3 OF 7				
		2002	008	01					
TEXT (If more space is required, use additional copies of NRC Form 366A)) (17)	<u>.</u>			<u> </u>				
APPARENT CAUSE OF OCCURRENCE (continued)	:								
April 18, 2002, and August 21, 2002. Ir corrosion (MIC) was identified on SW ret disassembly of the flanges. No evidence	addition, mic urn flow manif of prior leak	robiolo old fla age was	ogical ir anges fol s observe	fluenced lowing d.	1				
Concerns identified relative to thermal result of apparent non-conservative orig basis or reference for these assumptions	stress and sei ginal design mo s could be loca	smic de deling ted.	esign wer assumpti	e the .ons. No)				
Several issues were identified which rel configuration control activities or cond administrative in nature or did not affe their design function during previous op	Several issues were identified which related to maintenance, test, and/or configuration control activities or conditions. These conditions were either administrative in nature or did not affect the ability of the CACs to perform their design function during previous operation.								
A limited number of conditions which could result in degraded thermal performance were identified. Water-side fouling of one cooling coil from CAC #3 was identified. It consisted of limited hard blockage of cooling coil tubes and accumulation of zebra mussel shells. Since the service conditions for CACs #1 and #2 are similar to CAC #3, the degraded conditions on CAC #3 are considered to be representative of the other cooling coils. The presence of microbiological organisms was attributed to lack of effective biocide treatment on the SW System prior to and during 13RFO. Air-side (cooling fin) fouling was identified as the result of boric acid deposition. The cause of this condition is described above. While conducting inspection and cleaning of CAC #2 SW piping, a piece of plywood measuring approximately 5 inches by 7 inches was discovered in the 8-inch diameter supply line upstream of the transition to two 6-inch pipes, each of which supplies SW to one of two independent cooling coil manifolds. There are no intervening pipe fittings or valves between the as- found location of the foreign material and the two 6-inch transitions. This condition is believed to be an isolated condition that occurred during 12RFO.									
ANALYSIS OF OCCURRENCE:									
The Containment Cooling System consists Specification 3.6.2.2 requires that at 1 during Modes 1, 2, and 3. The basis for ensure 1) the containment air temperatur during normal operation and 2) adequate one CAC is operated in conjunction with conditions. In order to mitigate postul and each train of CS is designed to remo hour. The total design heat removal cap accident analyses incorporate degraded of conservatively determine CAC performance can be met by operation of one CAC unit seismic event concurrent with a loss of	of CACs and the east two CAC us this CAC oper will be main heat removal contrain of Contrain of Contrain ated accident ove 75E6 Britis pability is 150 conditions for the design in conjunction coolant accide	e CS Sy nits mu ability tained apacity S durin condits h Thern E6 BTU CAC ope heat re with cont	vstem. T ist be op v require within 1 v is avain g post-I lons, eac hal Units per hour eration t emoval ca one CS tr CA) is no	echnical perable ment is imits lable who OCA th CAC ur (BTU) p (BTU) p (BTU) p (BTU) p ability cain. A pt assume	to nen nit per ver,				

۰.

NRC FORM 366A U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION (1-2001) LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER) **TEXT CONTINUATION** LER NUMBER (6) DOCKET NUMBER (2) FACILITY NAME (1) PAGE (3) SEQUENTIAL REVISION YEAR NUMBER NUMBER Davis-Besse Unit Number 1 4 OF 7 05000346 2002 -- 008 ---01 TEXT (If more space is required, use additional copies of NRC Form 366A) (17) ANALYSIS OF OCCURRENCE (continued): However, loss of direct connection of the SW System to Lake Erie is assumed. As previously noted, a number of CRs documented various deficiencies associated with the CAC units. These were broken down into four general categories, as follows: Structural Integrity Maintenance, Test, and Configuration Control Thermal Performance 10 CFR 21 Structural Integrity: Structural integrity issues encompassed corrosion, pitting, and rust resulting from boric acid deposition on CAC components and associated SW piping and their effect on seismic adequacy and post-accident thermal stresses. A number of CRs were written to document degraded conditions on the CAC units and their associated SW piping resulting from boric acid deposition. These conditions could result in diminished capability of the CAC units to perform their intended function following a LOCA or seismic event. An engineering evaluation, included with DBNPS CR 02-02943, was conducted of the potential impact of the collective conditions to determine if the CAC units would have been structurally adequate. This evaluation concluded that the corrosion and pitting that resulted from boric acid deposition was not sufficient to render the CACs structurally inoperable during or following a LOCA or safe shutdown earthquake (SSE). As part of the effort to determine the extent of condition related to leaks of boric acid, the CACs were inspected in May and June 2002. Moderate to severe corrosion was observed. The inspection was performed by a certified Seismic

Corrosion was observed. The inspection was performed by a certified seismic Capability Engineer. The assessment included the CAC structural frames, the cooling coils and their support frames, the fan motors, and motor supports. Based on the assessment of observed conditions, the CACs were determined to have been structurally adequate. While corrosion and pitting was observed, it has been concluded that the "as-found" condition would not have been sufficiently degraded to prevent the CACs from performing as designed during and following an SSE in combination with other imposed design loads. Therefore, the CACs are considered to have been degraded but operable relative to the collective conditions of corrosion, rusting, and pitting that resulted from boric acid deposition.

٩.

FACILITY NAME (1)	ENT REPORT (LE	R)							
FACILITY NAME (1)	ONTINUATION								
FACILITY NAME (1)		<u></u>			<u></u>				
	FACILITY NAME (1) DOCKET NUMBER (2) LER NUMBER (6)								
vis-Besse Unit Number 1	05000346	YEAR	NUMBER	NUMBER	5 OF 7				
		2002 008 -		01					
 ANALYSIS OF OCCURRENCE (continued): Apparent non-conservatisms were identities that was applied to the SW piping supproved it was concluded that although the isse care were not rendered inoperable base. Thermal Performance: Inspections of the CACs revealed coolid (cooling fin) side and the water side. resulted from an accumulation of zebra silting. Four of 28 tubes on one coil with hard deposits and another three to service conditions for CACs #1 and #2 conditions on CAC #3 were considered to during the previous refueling outage (was conducted of the CAC SW supply and annulus, and no other foreign material have no generic implications relative Air side degradation consisted of bori the heat transfer characteristic of the An engineering evaluation of the care system, wou with respect to the long term post-acc 	ified in the stree oly and return li of stress intens application of po and seismic loadi with DBNPS CR 02- sues result in a ed on these non-c Mater side deg Mater side de	ss anal nes for ificati st-acci ng. An 05563, degrade onserva conditi radatic rust fr e disco lly obs AC #3, ive of 8-inch onditic n conta s condi aterial nd dirt graded the CA ered th al capa	ysis met the CAC on facto dent the n enginee was perf ed condit atisms. ons both on appare agments, overed to structed. the degr the othe SW suppl on that co condition inment a tion is Exclusi which m CAC oper ded the acts, when he CACs i bility f	chodology cs. The prs, ermal loa ering cormed, a cion, the sourced and be plug since raded er CAC believed on Progr ay imped ration wa effects operation wa	air ged the SW tion l to ram. le sof .e				

7

NRC FORM 366A (1-2001)		U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY	COMMISSION							
LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER) TEXT CONTINUATION										
FACILITY NAME (1)	DOCKET NUMBER (2)	LER NUMBER (6)	PAGE (3)							
Davis-Besse Unit Number 1	05000346	YEAR SEQUENTIAL REVISION NUMBER NUMBER	6 OF 7							
		2002 008 01								
ANALYSIS OF OCCURRENCE (continued): 10 CFR 21: By letter dated April 1, 2002, Fischer their vendor manual regarding valve ste specified valves with 1-1/4 inch diamet notification was entered into the DBNPS CR 02-02239. DBNPS concluded that it d referenced valve assemblies. A review safety-related assets fit the applicabi have similar Fischer valve assemblies, Type EWD and EWS Valve Maintenance," wa torque values for the plug to stem conn By letter dated May 20, 2002, Howden Bu	Controls notifie of the DBNPS Ast lid not have a very of the DBNPS Ast lity criteria. procedure DB-MM s reviewed and ection for all a affalo notified b	ed DBNPS of a deviation e requirements for cert This Part 21 ion Program as endor manual for the set Database indicated However, since DBNPS of -09334, "Fischer Contro validated to identify stem sizes. DBNPS that the Reliance	no lid bls							
motors provided as part of the CAC fan stator winding which resulted from a ve could result in winding failure during Operation of the CAC units at DBNPS is operation. No winding failures or anom startup. At the time of receipt of the Mode 6 (shutdown) and the CAC motors we overall CAC refurbishment. There was n	assemblies had a endor engineering motor startup of directly into h malies were expen- e Part 21 notifie ere being refurb no past operabil	a deficiency with the g error. The deficienc r speed changes. igh fan speed for norma rienced during fan cation, the plant was i ished as part of the ity issue.	ey 11 .n							

The overall corrective action to resolve the physical degradation of the CAC units is the refurbishment/replacement of the units prior to plant restart. This activity will be supported by appropriate engineering design documentation to ensure the design and installation of the new CAC units is consistent with their design basis.

FAILURE DATA:

7

There have been no LERs in the previous two years involving inoperability of the CAC units.

Energy Industry Identification System (EIIS) codes are identified in the text as [XX].

NP-33-02-008-01

NRC FORM 366A (1-2001)

τ

2

U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER)

FACI	LITY NAME (1)	DOCKET NUMBER (2)		PAGE (3)						
avis-Besse Unit Number	r 1	05000346	YEAR	SEQUENTIAL NUMBER	REVISION NUMBER	7 OF 7				
				2002	008	01				
EXT (If more space is required	I, use additional copies of NRC F	orm 366A)	(17)							
Structural Inte	earity									
	<u></u>									
CR 02-01139	CR 02-02172	CR	02-02943	CR 0	2-04361					
CR 02-01191	CR 02-02179	CR	02-03273	CR 0	2-04364					
CR 02-01363	CR 02-02194	CR	02-03670	CR 03	2-04414					
CR 02-01378	CR 02-02269	CR	02-03703	CR 03	2-04587					
CR 02-01642	CR 02-02294	CR	02-03765	CR 02	2-04906					
CR 02-01730	CR 02-02318	CR	02-03848	CR 03	2-04969					
CR 02-01748	CR 02-02330	CR	02-04036	CR 0	2-04980					
CR 02-01841	CR 02-02394	CR	02-04350	CR 02	2-05235					
CR 02-02108	CR 02-02409	CR	02-04351	CR 02	2-05373					
CR 02-02165	CR 02-02414	CR	02-04358	CR 02	2-05563					
	CR 02-02864			CR 02	2-09595					
Maintenance, Te	est, and Configurati	on								
CR 02-01178	CR 02-04363	CR	02-05981	CR 02	2-08235					
CR 02-01450	CR 02-04930	CR	02-06091	CR 02	2-08389					
CR 02-01783	CR 02-04985	CR	02-06093	CR 02	2-08398					
CR 02-02236	CR 02-05109	CR	02-06595	CR 02	2-08452					
CR 02-02767	CR 02-05448	CR	02-07075	CR 02	2-08671					
CR 02-03245	CR 02-05459	CR	02-07130	CR 03	2-08780					
CR 02-03849	CR 02-05712	CR	02-07723	CR 0	2-08810					
CR 02-04345	CR 02-05779	CR	02-07758	CR 03	2-09404					
CR 02-04354	CR 02-05885	CR	02-07781							
Inermal Perior	nance									
CR 02-03337	CR 02-03963	٩D	02-05516	CP 0	3-00120					
CR 02-03960	CR 02-04419	CR	02-07516	CR 0	3-00418					
				•						

10 CFR 21

CR 02-02191 CR 02-02239

NRC FORM 366A (1-2001)