



RECEIVED

2003 MAY -8 AM 8:48

Rules and Directives  
Branch  
USNRC

2/21/03

68FR 8530

(21)

E-REDS = ADM-03


United States Nuclear Regulatory Commission

Template = ADM-03


F.S. Murphy (752)  
Call = A. Snyder (7453)

FROM:

S. LANGTON  
96 MANCHESTER ST.  
SAN FRANCISCO  
CA 94110.



Chief, Rules Review and Directives Branch  
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
Mail Stop T-6-D-59  
Washington, DC 20555-0001



### Comment Regarding Package Performance Study Test Protocols



I want to see:

- The NRC commit to changing the licensing procedures as needed to address any shortcomings that arise out of the full-scale tests it conducts, which include puncture, crush, and 1450°F (> 1hour) fire tests.
- Full-scale testing to failure (radionuclide release or compromised shielding) to determine the physical limits of the casks.
- A comparison of stresses applied to the cask during the most severe credible accident to the experimentally determined failure limits.
- In general, full-scale physical testing for any cask design to be used for HLW/SNF transport.

I do not want to see:

- The full-scale tests advertised or implied by the DOE or NRC as regulatory tests, or claim, explicitly or implicitly, that the tests satisfy the public demand for full-scale testing of casks if the tests are not the regulatory tests.
- Computer models used for regulatory licensing.
- The PPS become a public relations campaign for the NRC solely to instill public confidence in the NRC and HLW/SNF transport.