Michael T. Lesar, Chief, Rules & Directives Branch, Division of Administrative Services, Office of Administration, Mail Stop T-6D59, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555.

Mr. Lesar,

As a Savannah resident and a US citizen who is concerned about the health, safety and security impacts of Federal programs, I am deeply troubled by the DOE's proposed MOX facility at the Savannah river site.

I have read the recently released EIS and I am strongly opposed this proposal until the following issues can be reasonably addressed:

* Shouldn't terrorism be addressed in the report? (P. I-29)

* Shouldn't environmental justice impacts along transportation routes be evaluated? (more important than studying "visual impacts" - P. I-26)

* Shouldn't emergency preparedness in dealing with accident impacts in nearby communities be studied? (P. I-29)

* Should any deaths be considered "acceptable"? Why are some communities unfairly burdened with higher risks? (P. 4-57)

* Why aren't safer and cheaper options being studied? (P. 2-23)

* Shouldn't it be clearly stated what is really going to be done with surplus weapons plutonium stockpiles before the NRC approves the MOX facility?

Chris Miller 314 E. 65th St Savannah, GA 912-351-0649

Memplate - ADAL -013

E-RTDS = ADM -03 GAR = M. Harris (TEM) A. Lester (DC/1)

2/28/03 68FR 9728

Central Contraction