

Department of Energy

Yucca Mountain Project Office P. O. Box 98608 Las Vegas, NV 89193-8608

WBS 1.2.9.3 QA

SEP 18 1990

Larry R. Hayes Technical Project Officer for Yucca Mountain Project U.S. Geological Survey 101 Convention Center Drive Suite 860 Las Vegas, NV 89109

ACCEPTANCE OF RESPONSE TO STANDARD DEFICIENCY REPORT (SDR) 553, REVISION 0, RESULTING FROM YUCCA MOUNTAIN PROJECT OFFICE (PROJECT OFFICE) QUALITY ASSURANCE (QA) AUDIT 90-03 OF U.S. GEOLOGICAL SURVEY (USGS)

The Project Office QA staff has evaluated and accepted your response to SDR 553, Revision 0, generated as a result of Project Office QA Audit 90-03 of USGS. The SDR will be closed after verification of satisfactory completion of the specified corrective actions. A copy of the SDR is enclosed for your information.

Verification of completion of your corrective action will be performed after the effective date that was provided. Extensions to due dates must be requested in writing with appropriate justification prior to the due date. Please send copies of extension requests to Nita J. Brogan, Science Applications International Corporation, 101 Convention Center Drive, Las Vegas, Nevada 89109.

If you have any questions, please contact Catherine E. Hampton at 794-7973 or or Kenneth T. McFall at 794-7280 of the Yucca Mountain Project QA staff.

Quality Assurance

Yucca Mountain Project Office

OA: CEH-5035

Enclosure: SDR 553, Revision 0

cc w/encl:

D. H. Appel, USGS, Denver, CO

S. W. Zimmerman, NWPO, Carson City, NV

K. R. Hooks, NRC, Washington, 5443

9009250113 FOR WASTE FDC WM-11

Larry R. Hayes

cc w/o encl:

N. J. Brogan, SAIC, Las Vegas, NV, 517/T-08

S. R. Dippner, SAIC, Las Vegas, NV, 517/T-08

K. T. McFall, SAIC, Las Vegas, NV, 517/T-06

D. D. Porter, SAIC, Golden, CO

J. W. Gilray, NRC, Las Vegas, NV

ORIGINAL THIS IS A RED STAMP

YMPO STANDARD DEFICIENCY REPORT N-QA 4/89						
	1 Date 7/2/90	2 Severity Level 1 2 2 Severity Level 1 2 3 Page 1 of	of 24			
Organization	3 Discovered During Audit YMP-90-03 3a Identified By K. T. McFall 4 SDR No. 9/12/553 Rev. 0					
. 1	5 Organization USGS	6 Person(s) Contacted Larry Hayes John Stuckless 7 Response Due 20 Working Day Date of Transm	ys from			
Originating QA	8 Requirement (Audit Checklist Reference, if Applicable) 1. YMP-USGS-QMP-3.05, Rev. 2, Para. 5.3 states in part, "Review of Criteria Letters shall be performed by the USGS QA Manager and the Chief, Branch of YMP or their delegates, for technical completeness, accuracy, clarity of statement					
à	9 Deficiency 1. Contrary to the above requirement, the Criteria Letter titled "Criteria Letter For Water Sampling At Well UE 25p#1", dated 4/17/90 was not submitted to the USGS QA Manager for review.					
Completed	10 Recommended Action(s): A Remedial A Investigative A Corrective Identify the remedial actions to be taken to correct the deficiencies noted in Block 9. In addition, review the criteria letters issued since the effective					
	11 QAE/Lead Auditor/Da	Date 12 Division Manager/Date 13 Project Quality Mgr./I	/Data			
Aprvl.	Kensel Mage	7-16-90 DA Otherwood And American	7-17-9C			
5	14 Remedial/Investigativ	14 Remedial/Investigative Action(s)				
Block		15 Effective Date				
in B	See attached response dated 8-20-90.					
		- -				
Organization	16 Cause of the Condi	lition & Corrective Action to Prevent Recurrence				
Org		17 Effective Date				
p) (q						
Completed	18 Signature/Date Sam R. Hann 8/20/90					
	19 Response Accepted	QAE/Lead Auditor/Date Division Manager/Date Project Quality M				
A Org.	20 Corrective Action Verif. Satisfactory	QAE/Lead Auditor/Date Division Manager/Date Project Quality M	<i>A.910/90</i> /lgr./Date			
O.	21 Remarks					
Orig.	Response, Hayes to Horton, 8-20-90					
à						
Comp.						
ပိ	22 QAE/Lead Auditor/Date Division Manager/Date PQM/Date					

*

YMPO STANDARD DEFICIENCY REPORT CONTINUATION SHEET

N-QA-038 2/89

SDR No. 553

Page 2

of 24

8 Requirement (continued)
and applicable QA requirements...."

9/13/90

- 2. Additional requirements of YMP-USGS-QMP-3.05, Rev. 2
 - A. Para. 5.1 Section "e" requires the criteria letter to include the description of location.
 - B. Para. 5.1 Section "f" requires definition of specific criteria, requirements, and applicable procedures for work to be performed by NTS Contractors.
 - C. Para. 5.1 Section "g" requires that equipment to be provided by the USGS be specified.
 - D. Para. 5.2 requires the assignment and inclusion of a unique control number and that the control number be located in the upper right-hand corner of each page along with the page numbering system.
- 9 Deficiency (continued)
 - 2. Contrary to the above requirement in Block 8:
 - A. There was no location description included in the criteria letter.
 - B. There were no specific criteria, requirements, or applicable procedures for work to be performed by NTS Contractors.
 - C. There was no listing of the equipment to be provided, if any, by the USGS.
 - D. The was no assignment of a unique control number or its location in the upper right-hand corner of each page along with the page numbering system.
- 10 Recommended Actions (continued)
 date of YMP-USGS-QMP-3.05, 6/5/89, for similar deficiencies and provide the
 measures required to correct them.

Page 3 of 4

USGS RESPONSE TO YMPO STANDARD DEFICIENCY REPORT (SDR) NO. 553 Page 1 of 1

BLOCK 14: REMEDIAL/INVESTIGATIVE ACTION(S):

USGS Nonconformance Report (NCR) 90-28 was generated during YMPO Audit 90-03 to document that the Criteria Letter (cited in Block 9 of this SDR) had not received YMP-USGS QA review as required by QMP-3.05, R2. Corrective actions for this Criteria Letter will be handled specifically by the NCR.

An investigation is underway to assess the scope/extent of the deficiency regarding criteria letters generated since June 5, 1989, that have not been reviewed by QA. This investigation will be completed and the results forwarded in a supplemental response to the Project Office by August 30, 1990.

Specific remedial actions for deficient criteria letters will be outlined in the Supplemental Response.

BLOCK 15: KFFECTIVE DATE: August 30, 1990 - Provide Supplemental Response

BLOCK 16: CAUSE OF THE CONDITION & CORRECTIVE ACTION TO PREVENT RECURRENCE:

The specific cause and preventive action(s) will be based upon the results of the investigation, and will be outlined in the supplemental response.

BLOCK 17: KFFECTIVE DATE: August 30, 1990 - Provide Supplemental Response

Page 4 of 4

USGS SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO YMPO STANDARD DEFICIENCY REPORT (SDR) NO. 553 PAGE 1 OF 1

The following information is provided to supplement the 8/20/90 response to this SDR:

BLOCK 14 REMEDIAL/INVESTIGATIVE ACTION(S):

The investigation of criteria letters issued by the USGS since 6/5/89 has been conducted. The results of the investigation indicate that the QA review was completed and documented as required by QMP-3.05 for the criteria letters listed below.

YMP-USGS-3332G-01-C1,	RO	YMP-USGS-3360G-01-C1, RO
YMP-USGS-3331G-01-C1,	RO	YMP-USGS-3343G-01-C1, RO
YMP-USGS-3310G-01-C2,	RO	YMP-USGS-123233G-01-C2, RO
YMP-IISGS-3242G-01-C1	RO	

By-passing the required QA review for criteria letters is an isolated occurrence. The one criteria letter, originally cited as a deficiency in this SDR, will be evaluated and necessary actions completed in accordance with the approved disposition for YMP-USGS-NCR-90-28.

BLOCK 15 EFFECTIVE DATE: Complete - Investigation.

BLOCK 16 CAUSE OF THE CONDITION & CORRECTIVE ACTION TO PREVENT RECURRENCE:

The isolated incident was caused by an oversight. The PI and Technical Project Officer are aware of the QMP-3.05 requirements. In fact, a recent criteria letter (123233G-01-G2, RO noted in block 14) that met the requirements of QMP-3.05 was prepared by the PI and approved by the TPO and QA Manager. No additional preventive actions are warranted.

BLOCK 17 EFFECTIVE DATE: Complete.

Block 18 2 R. Hayes, YMP-USGS Technical Project Officer

August 30, 1990

At dtd 8/30/90 - Nages to Harton