

### **Department of Energy**

Yucca Mountain Project Office P. O. Box 98608 Las Vegas, NV 89193-8608 JUN 0 5 1990

WBS 1.2.9.3 QA

Richard J. Herbst Technical Project Officer for Yucca Mountain Project Los Alamos National Laboratory University of California N-5, Mail Stop J521 P.O. Box 1663 Los Alamos, NM 87545

ACCEPTANCE OF RESPONSES TO STANDARD DEFICIENCY REPORTS (SDRs) 511, 512, 513, AND 515, REVISIONS 0, RESULTING FROM YUCCA MOUNTAIN PROJECT OFFICE (PROJECT OFFICE) QUALITY ASSURANCE (QA) AUDIT 90-01 OF LOS ALAMOS NATIONAL LABORATORY (LOS ALAMOS)

The Project Office QA staff has evaluated and accepted your responses to SDRs 511, 512, 513, and 515, Revisions 0, generated as a result of Project Office QA Audit 90-01 of Los Alamos. The SDRs will be closed after verification of satisfactory completion of the specified corrective actions. Copies of the SDRs are enclosed for your information.

Verification of completion of your corrective action will be performed after the effective dates that were provided. Any extension to these due dates must be requested in writing with appropriate justification prior to the due date. Please send copies of the extension request to Nita J. Brogan, Science Applications International Corporation, 101 Convention Center Drive, Las Vegas, Nevada 89109, and Ralph W. Gray, U.S. Department of Energy, P.O. Box 98518, Las Vegas, Nevada 89193.

If you have any questions, please contact either Catherine E. Hampton at (702) 794-7973 or FTS 544-7973 or Stephen R. Dana at (702) 794-7176 or FTS 544-7176 of the Yucca Mountain Project QA staff.

Donald G. Horton, Director Quality Assurance Yucca Mountain Project Office

YMP:CEH-3552

Enclosures: SDRs 511, 512, 513 and 515

9006180121 900605 PDR WASTE WM-11 PDC

102.7 WM-11 NH03

ì								Ť	ORIG	INA	L	
ар Х	· ·	YMPO ST	ANDAR	D DEF	ICIE	NCY	REP	ORT			N-QA 4/89	-038
	1 Date 03/30/90		2 Seve	rity Leve	əl	□ 1	2	□ 3	Pag	<b>e</b> 1		23
Organization	3 Discovered During YMP Audit 90-1	3a Identif M. R. Dia T. W. No.	ΞZ,						4 SDR N 511		v. <u>0</u>	- International Contraction
	5 Organization Los Alamos		erson(s) C Nunes	Contacte	d				7 Respo 20 W Date	orking	ue Date Days fr Ismittal	e is rom
Originating QA	8 Requirement (Audit Checklist Reference, if Applicable) QAP/88-9, Rev. 4, Sect. 1, Para. 1.0 states in part, "The organizational structure, lines of communication, authority and duties of persons and organizations performing activities affecting quality shall be clearly											
þ	9 Deficiency An implementing procedure that clearly describes the authority and responsibility of each position in the Quality Assurance organization, in effect as of March 27, 1990, does not exist.											
Completed	10 Recommended Action(s): A Remedial Investigative A Corrective Identify the remedial action(s) to be taken to correct the deficiencies noted in Block 9. Identify the cause of the condition and the planned											
Aprvl.	1 QAE/Lead Auditor/D Hario for 4	990	12 Division	Manag				13 Pi	oject Qua	ality/Mg	gr./Date 	.90
5 X	14 Remedial/Investigativ	ve Action(s	5)	•			15 E	ffective	e Date	July	1, 199	5
zation in Block	Refer to T	age 30	5F3									
Organiza	16 Cause of the Condition & Corrective Action to Prevent Recurrence 17 Effective Date - July 1, 1990											
β	Refer to	Page	30f3									
Completed	18 Signature/Date		Aun				18/9	-				
Ŀ.	19 Response Accepted	Mario La	1 Auditor/D ぬいられ	ate	Divis	ion M N/A	anagei	r/Date			y Mgr./I	Date
QA Org.	20 Corrective Action Verif. Satisfactory		d Auditor/D				anagei		Projec	t Qualit	y Mgr.(	Date
Orig.	21 Remarks Respons	SE 5/17/4	io TWS	- 665-	13-	05-0	0-0	66				
Comp. by												
ŏ	QAE/L	ead Audito	or/Date	Division	Mai	nager/	Date	PQI	W/Date			

•

. •

.

ENCLOSURE

YMPO STANDARD	DEFICIENC	Y REPORT
CONTINU	ATION SHE	ET

;

1 ·

.

•

.

N-QA-038 2/89

SDR No. 511 Page 2	
8 Requirement ( continued )	CHPY
established and delineated in writing." Para. 2.3 states in part, "The QA responsibilities of all organizational elements depicted on organization charts shall be described."	
10 Recommended Actions ( continued )	
action to prevent recurrence.	
	·····

#### 14. Remedial/Investigative Action(s)

**Remedial Actions:** LANL management will revise the Quality Assurance Program Plan to describe the organizational structure with reporting authorities, lines of responsibility, and duties properly described in the revision.

Investigative Actions: None required.

### 16. Cause of the Condition and Corrective Action to Prevent Recurrence

**Cause of the Condition:** LANL organization was changed before the audit, and no steps were taken to formally record this change in either the LANL Quality Assurance Program Plan or a new implementing procedure.

**Corrective Action to Prevent Recurrence:** A revision to the LANL Quality Assurance Program Plan will be prepared describing the LANL Yucca Mountain organizational structure and submitted to the Yucca Mountain Project Office for approval.

	•				i	(	ORIG	INAL	MD		
	Y	MPO STA	NDARD DE	FICIENCY	REPO	ORT		N- 4/8	QA-038 39		
	1 Date 03/30/90		2 Severity Le	evel 🗆 1	2 🛛	□ 3	Page	1 of	<b>X</b> 3		
Organization	3 Discovered During 3a Identified By YMP Audit 90-1 F.D. Peters							_ Rev	Othoy		
	5 Organization Los Alamos		son(s) Contac bbart, B.M. (				7 Response Due Date is 20 Working Days from Date of Transmittal				
Originating QA	8 Requirement (Audit Checklist Reference, if Applicable) (T-67, T-112, T-116) Los Alamos procedure TWS-QAS-QP-03.2, Rev. 0, Para. 6.2.1, requires reviewers to be technically qualified and certified per QP-02.1 (for Los Alamos YMP and Los Alamos YMP subcontractor personnel) or										
bу	reviews of doc										
Completed	10 Recommended Acting Identify the read in Block 9. In-	emedial ac	tions to be	taken to d	correct	the	deficienc				
Aprvl.	11 QAE/Lead Auditor/Da	ate 4/12/90 12	Division Man	ager/Date	2-99	13 Pro	ject Quali	ly Mgr./[	Date 90		
< 5	14 Remedial/Investigativ	e Action(s)			15 Ff	factivo	Date	June 29	0.1990		
Block	$\frown$	$\square$									
in											
ization		$\bigcirc$									
niza	16 Cause of the Condi	tion & Corr	ective Action	to Prevent	Recurre	псе		July 31, 1990			
Orgar					17 Ef	fective	Date	Julys	1,1990		
ру	D.C.	- L B	ge 305	3							
			5								
Completed	18 Signature/Date							<u> </u>			
ပိ		=#	Munos	5/18/	190						
	19 Response Accepted	QAE/Lead	Auditor/Date			Date	Riroject (	Juality M			
A Org.	20 Corrective Action Verif. Satisfactory	QAE/Lead	Auditor/Date	Division	lanager/			Quality M			
g. QA	21 Remarks Response	2e 5717/9	O-TWS-EE	5-13-05-	90 - 06	6					
Orig.											
م م											
Comp.											
Ö	22 QA CLOSURE	ead Auditor	/Date Divisi	on Managei	r/Date	PQN	V/Date				

ENCLOSURE

;

N-QA-038
2/89

		CONTINUATION SHEET		2/89	3
SC	RN	No. 512 Pag	<b>e</b> 2	of	13
	_				dery
8 1	-	irement ( continued )			
		horized by a Los Alamos Group Leader on a Reviewer Qualification f r persons "not associated with the Project").	orm		
	tec to rev	Alamos procedure TWS-QAS-QP-03.5, Rev. 0, Para. 4.8, provides for chnical reviewers of laboratory notebooks, field notebooks, and log have the training and experience to understand and repeat the work riewed, but does not specifically require documentation or certific the reviewer's qualification basis.	books being		
91	Defi	ciency ( continued )			
		licable Los Alamos procedures for the reviews and for the activition of reviewed.	95		
	1.	Report LBL-27173A, "Solubility Studies of Transuranic Elements for Nuclear Waste Disposal: Principles and Overview" was technically reviewed by a Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory (LLNL) employ			
	2.	Paper, "Basaltic Volcanic Episode of the Yucca Mountain Region" for 1990 International High Level Waste Management conference was technically reviewed by a DOE/YMP employee.	or the		
	3.	Field notebooks for volcanism studies (WBS 1.2.3.2.5; SP 8.3.1.8. 8.3.1.8.5.1) were technically reviewed by a DOE/YMO employee.	1.1,		
	QP- 0, and and The	MENTS: 02.1, referencd by QP-03.2, has been superseded by TWS-QAS-QP-02.5 TWS-QAS-QP-02.6, Rev. 0, and TWS-QAS-QP-02.9, Rev. 0. QP-02.5, Q QP-02.9 apply only to Los Alamos YMP Personnel (Los Alamos employed Los Alamos subcontractors working under the Los Alamos YMP QA pro- e procedures do not apply to DOE/YMP personnel or employees of other oject participants.	P-02.6, ees) gram.		
	Obs obs qua	similar condition was previously identified during YMP Audit 89-07 servation No. 89-07-04. The Los Alamos response clarification to t servation stated "Training files for non-employees who have perform ality related work will be updated in accordance with approved chan e program."	hat ed		
10	Rec	commended Actions ( continued )			
	SDR. Iden	ermine the extent and depth of similar deficient conditions to thos Identify these deficiencies and provide the measures required to htify the cause of the condition and the planned corrective action arrence.	correc	t t	hem.

### 14. Remedial/Investigative Actions

**Remedial Actions:** R. Morley will review the documentation files for Report LBL-27173A, "Basaltic Volcanic Episode of the Yucca Mountain Region," and Volcanism field notebooks for compliance with the appropriate implementing procedural requirements. The reviewer documentation files will be updated to include qualification and training information on the reviewers involved with the above listed items.

**Investigative Actions:** LANL QALs will review the documentation for internal technical reviews for fiscal years 1989 and 1990 to ascertain if any non-LANL YMP personnel performed technical reviews. Those personnel will be cross checked against the qualification files to ensure that they are qualified and trained for this function. The appropriate files will be updated based on the results of this review, and each QAL will send a written report of actions, if any, to the QAPL.

### 16. Cause of the Condition and Corrective Action to Prevent Recurrence

Cause of the Condition: The LANL QA procedural requirements were unclear for non-LANL reviewers conducting technical reviews.

**Corrective Action to Prevent Recurrence:** The appropriate procedures will be revised to clearly define reviewer qualifications and training for both LANL and non-LANL personnel.

*		····		ORIGINAL HIS IS A RED STAND				
ŀ		YMPO STANDARD DE	FICIENCY REPORT	N-QA-038 4/89				
	1 Date 03/30/90	2 Severity Le	vel 🛛 1 🗆 2 🗆 3	Page 1 of 24				
Organization	3 Discovered During YMP Audit 90-1 M.R. Diaz			4 SDR No. 513 Rev0				
	5 Organization Los Alamos	6 Person(s) Contact H. Nunes	ted	7 Response Due Date is 20 Working Days from Date of Transmittal				
Originating QA	Los Alamos YMP QAPL or his ap	<b>Checklist Reference, if A</b> QAPP, Rev. 4.3, Sect. pointee shall conduct this QAPP for all Los	2, para. 2.1.1 state internal audits of al	l phases of the				
by	-	ne above requirements:						
eted		and external audits of						
Completed	10 Recommended Action(s): I Remedial I Investigative I Corrective Identify the remedial actions to be taken to correct the deficiencies noted in Block 9. Investigate the program, process, activities, or documentation to							
Aprvl.	11 QAE/Lead Auditor/Date 12 Division Manager/Date 13 Project Ordality Mgr./Date Alis tay 4/9/90 N/ACTIVICAC Ation of the 4.0.20							
5	14 Remedial/Investigativ			Date MAY 31, 199 D				
ation in Block	15 Effective Date MAY31, 1990 Refer to Tage 4 of 4							
Organiza	16 Cause of the Condition & Corrective Action to Prevent Recurrence 17 Effective Date June 29, 1990							
Completed by (	Referdo Page dof4							
Comp	18 Signature/Date APMIMON 5/18/90							
	19 Response Accepted	QAE/Lead Auditor/Date	Division Manager/Date	Project Quality Mgr./Date				
QA Org.	20 Corrective Action Verif. Satisfactory	QAE/Lead Auditor/Date	Division Manager/Date	Project Quality Mgr./Date				
Comp. by Orig. Q	21 Remarks RESPONS	SE 5/17/90 TWS-E8	ES - 13 - 05 - 90 - 066					
	22 QA CLOSURE	ead Auditor/Date Divisio	on Manager/Date   PQN	VDate				

ĩ

-

•

2

,

SDR No. 513 Page 2	of 3∕4
6 Persons contacted ( continued )	CHP+1
8 Requirement ( continued )	
quality."	
quarry.	
Section 18, para. 18.2.1, 18.2.2, and 18.2.3 state in part, "Internal and external QA audits shall be scheduled annually to provide complete coverage of QA program activities. The audit schedule shall be prepared annually as evaluated periodically and revised as necessary to ensure that coverage is maintained current. Los Alamos shall perform or arrange for annual evaluations of suppliers. The audit schedule, including dates and any revisions thereof, shall be sent to the PQM.	
All applicable elements of Los Alamos' internal QA program shall be audited at least annually or at least once during the life of the activity, whichever is shorter.	i
Applicable elements of an external organization's QA program shall be audited at least annually or once during the activity, whichever is the shorter period.	
The justification for not performing audits of vendors whose activities are less than four months in duration shall be documented, approved by the QAP and sent to the PQM."	
9 Deficiency ( continued )	
Alamos QAPP for all YMP activities affecting quality during 1989 were not conducted. Consequently, it was not possible to verify the adequa- of the following evaluations performed by Los Alamos during internal/external audits:	су
a) Compliance of the QA program.	
b) Adequacy of the QA program.	
c) Effectiveness of the QA program.	
d) Continuing implementation of the QA program.	
2. The following specific notation to the audit program requirements were found:	
a) The audit schedule was rescinded during May 1989. It was never formally reissued. Documented evidence of the event was not sent to the PQM.	
b) Audit commitments were reinstated to start on June 1989. However only two of the audits were conducted and portions of the QA	r
<ul> <li>documentation of those audits was found inadequate as previously identified on SDR 470.</li> <li>c) With the disruption of the audit schedule, there was no evaluation of the remainder of the schedule to assure complete coverage of Q program activities. The emphasis of the two audits focused on</li> </ul>	•

N-QA-038 2/89

SDR No. 513 of 24 Page 3 dipu 9 Deficiency ( continued ) implementation of activities without consideration that the development and approval process of procedures fall within QA program purview. d) Two subcontractors, EG&G and University of Texas, El Paso were not audited in accordance with program requirements; furthermore, neither is a subcontractor at the present time to Los Alamos. No documentation exists to justify cancellation of these audits. Applicable elements of all external organization's QA program were e) not audited. The conditions described above are indicative that the audit f) schedule needed to be revised; however, this action never took place. 10 Recommended Actions ( continued ) determine the extent and depth of similar deficient conditions to those listed on the SDR. Identify these deficiencies and provide the measures required to correct them. Identify the cause of the condition and the planned corrective action to prevent recurrence.

Remedial Actions: Issue a new audit and survey schedule.

Investigative Actions: The Verification Manager will review the revised audit and survey schedules to ensure that the appropriate criteria and activities are covered-- complete audit coverage of the LANL program (full criteria coverage), complete coverage of the LANL subcontractors (applicable criteria), and ongoing surveys for program implementation. The Verification Manager will issue a written report to the QAPL for review and action. These immediate actions are documented in LANL Deficiency Report No. LANL - 0017, which has been judged to be a significant condition adverse to quality. The first audit in the new schedule is set for June 4-8, 1990, at the LANL Test Manager's Office in Las Vegas and will include TMO functions and Volcanism studies.

#### 16. Cause of the Condition and Corrective Action to Prevent Recurrence

**Cause of the Condition:** LANL activities to achieve a fully qualified program conflicted with the execution of the audit and survey program. The LANL QAPL directed QA staff efforts towards achieving program qualification not executing the internal audit and survey program. The LANL TPO notified the PQM, letter TWS-EES-13-90-088, Herbst to Horton, that the LANL audit and survey program was not implemented:

"The Los Alamos audit and survey program has not been fully implemented because our resources were fully committed to finishing the QA program and obtaining the Project Office approval of the program. We will now start the annual cycle of audit and survey March 1990. A new schedule will be transmitted to you. Project Office personnel are welcome to observe any audit or survey. Completion of the proposed procedural revisions and subsequent internal audits of the completed program will allow Los Alamos audit staff to assess the effectiveness of the quality program."

This action was prompted by the YMP No. 89-7, SDR No. 469 and SDR No. 470. Because these two SDRs defaulted the LANL internal audit program, the QAPL decided to redirect efforts towards correcting the audit procedure, including additional staff training to the revised procedure, instead of continuing with an unacceptable audit program. This action was extended to the survey program at the verbal direction of the QAPL.

**Corrective Action to Prevent Recurrence:** Establish a separate verification organization to maintain the required implementing procedures (QP-18.1, QP-18.2, and QP-18.3) and to execute the audit and survey schedules. This organization will not be charged with any program development or training responsibilities except those directly related to audits or surveys. This group will commence audits as required by LANL Deficiency Report No. LANL - 0017.

	٠			ORIGINAL			
-	YM	IPO STANDARD DE		ORT 4/89	)38		
_	1 Date 3-29-90	2 Severity Le	vel 🗌 1 🖾 2	□ 3 Page 1 of -2			
Organization	3 Discovered During 3a Audit 90-1	a Identified By L. Maudlin		4 SDR No. 0	ten -		
	5 Organization Los Alamos	6 Person(s) Contac T. Moran, S. Sebr		7 Response Due Date 20 Working Days fro Date of Transmittal			
Originating QA	8 Requirement (Audit Checklist Reference, if Applicable) TWS-QAS-QP-04.1, R2, Para. 6.4 states in part: "The requestor supplements the PR with additional documentationthe requestor particularly considers the following points and requires only those that are appropriate						
β	9 Deficiency No modification has been made to the existing Lawrence Berkeley contract to describe rights of access by DOE, pass-through of QA requirements to sub-tier contractors, and control of supplier-issued nonconformances.						
Completed	-	dial actions to be t	aken to correct	brrective the deficiencies noted in es, or documentation to			
Aprvl.	11 QAE/Lead Auditor/Date	1	ager/Date	13 Project Quality Mgr./Date +-12-9 C	9		
ر <del>5</del>	14 Remedial/Investigative	Action(s)	15 Ef	fective Date June 29, 1990	D		
ation in Block	Refer to Page 30f3						
Organiza	16 Cause of the Condition & Corrective Action to Prevent Recurrence 17 Effective Date <u>July 31, 1990</u>						
Ą	Refer	to Page 30	f_3				
Completed	18 Signature/Date	- APMunes	5/18/20				
g.	19 Response Q. Accepted	AE/Lead Auditor/Date	Division Manager/	Date Project Quality Mgr./Da	~		
QA Org.	Verif. Satisfactory	AE/Lead Auditor/Date	Division Manager/		ate		
Comp. by Orig. Q	21 Remarks Response	e 1/17/90-TUS-EE	5-13-05-90-06	٢			
ŏ	22 QA CLOSURE	d Auditor/Date Divisio	on Manager/Date	PQM/Date			

.

.

. . .

N-QA-038 2/89

SDR No. 515

Page 2 of 2 HPM

8 Requirement ( continued )

right-of-access provision which allows designated Los Alamos and Department of Energy (DOE) personnel entry to suppliers facilities...Subcontracting Requirements...Any subcontracts must include a pass-through of appropriate QA requirements...Control of supplier-issued nonconformances...".

10 Recommended Actions ( continued )

determine the extent and depth of similar deficient conditions to those listed on the SDR. Identify these deficiencies and provide the measures required to correct them. Identify the cause of the condition and the planned action to prevent recurrence.

### 14. Remedial/Investigative Actions

**Remedial Actions:** The Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory procurement will be modified to include provisions for DOE right of access, subcontractor pass through requirements, and nonconformance reporting.

**Investigative Actions:** All service procurements will be reviewed by the appropriate QAL to ensure that the appropriate contractual commitments have been made. Each QAL will issue a written report of the review, including copies of the revised scope of work where appropriate.

### 16. Cause of the Condition and Corrective Action to Prevent Recurrence

**Cause of the Condition:** The LANL staff has failed to modify the existing contracts to include the new Yucca Mountain Project contractual commitments.

**Corrective Action to Prevent Recurrence:** The LANL implementing procedure for preparation of procurements for services will be revised. The revision will require the use of standard language to cover these specific Yucca Mountain requirements and a method for QAL verification that this standard language is included in all procurements for special services.

Richard J. Herbst

JUN 0 5 1990

cc w/encls: Ralph Stein, HQ (RW-30) FORS D. E. Shelor, HQ (RW-3) FORS K. R. Hooks, NRC, Washington, D H. P. Nunes, LANL, Los Alamos, NM S. W. Zimmerman, NWPO, Carson City, NV cc w/o encls:

-2-

J. W. Hines, NWQA, AL A. R. Chernoff, MSD, AL H. E. Valencia, LAAO J. W. Gilray, NRC, Las Vegas, NV N. J. Brogan, SAIC, Las Vegas, NV, 517/T-08 J. E. Clark, SAIC, Las Vegas, NV, 517/T-12 S. R. Dana, SAIC, Las Vegas, NV, 517/T-06 S. R. Dippner, SAIC, Las Vegas, NV, 517/T-08