

#### **Department of Energy**

Yucca Mountain Project Office P. O. Box 98608 Las Vegas, NV 89193-8608

WBS 1.2.9.3 QA

NOV 07 1990

Thomas E. Blejwas
Technical Project Officer
for Yucca Mountain Project
Sandia National Laboratories
P.O. Box 5800
Organization 6310
Albuquerque, NM 87185

ACCEPTANCE OF RESPONSES TO STANDARD DEFICIENCY REPORTS (SDRS) 575, 576, AND 578, REVISION 0, RESULTING FROM YUCCA MOUNTAIN PROJECT OFFICE (PROJECT OFFICE) QUALITY ASSURANCE (QA) AUDIT 90-04 OF SANDIA NATIONAL LABORATORIES (SNL)

The Project Office QA staff has evaluated and accepted your responses to SDRs 575, 576, and 578, Revision 0, generated as a result of Project Office QA Audit 90-04 of SNL. The SDRs will be closed after verification of satisfactory completion of the specified corrective actions. Copies of the SDRs are enclosed for your information.

Verification of completion of your corrective action will be performed after the effective dates that were provided. Any extension to these due dates must be requested in writing with appropriate justification prior to the due date. Please send copies of the extension request to Nita J. Brogan, Science Applications International Corporation, 101 Convention Center Drive, Las Vegas, Nevada 89109.

If you have any questions, please contact either Catherine E. Hampton at 794-7973 or FTS 544-7973, or John S. Martin at (702) 794-7881 or FTS 544-7881 of the Yucca Mountain Project QA staff.

Donald G. Horton, Director

Quality Assurance

Yucca Mountain Project Office

OA: CEH-721

Enclosure:

SDRs 575, 576, and 578

9011130207 901107 PDR WASTE WM-11 PDC 1/1 102,7 Wm-11

### HIS IS A RED STAMP

	· Y	MPO STANDARD DE	FICIENCY REF	PORT	N-QA-038 4/89
	1 Date 8/22/90	2 Severity Le	vel 🗆 1 🗵 2	□3 Pag	e 1 of 2
ed by Originating QA Organization		3a Identified By J. Martin & C. Prater		4 SDR N 575	
	5 Organization SNL	6 Person(s) Contact Jim Voigt	ted	20 Wo	nse Due Date is orking Days from of Transmittal
	8 Requirement (Audit Checklist Reference, if Applicable)				
	9 Deficiency Contrary to the above requirement, the following surveillances were not issued as procedurally mandated: CBM-90-1, CBM-90-2, and CBM-90-3.				
Completed	10 Recommended Action(s): A Remedial Investigative Corrective  Identify the remedial action(s) to be taken to correct the deficiencies				
	noted in Block 9. Identify the cause of the condition and the planned				
Aprvl.	11 PAE/Leach Audito/Da	1	ager/Date	13 Project Qua	ality Mgr./Date
5	1 Remedial/Investigative	e Action(s)		Marking Data	Complete
Block	The three subject surveillance reports have been  15 Effective Date  issued, no additional reports were found to be discrepant. No further remedial				
in	action is considered necessary.				
Organization	Investigation of the three reports, identified them as surveillances of various SNL contractors (Geomatrix, SAIC, and Parsons Brinkerhoff). The (Continued)				
aniz	16 Cause of the Condition & Corrective Action to Prevent Recurrence				
Org	17 Effective Date 11-30-90 Procedure QAP 10-1, Rev D, paragraph 3.5.2, "Report Distribution" is too				
à	restrictive a requirement, in particular when the surveillance is performed by a SNL Contractor. In the identified deficient surveillances, all three				
eted	surveillances were performed within a 20 day time period and issued to the SNL QA				
Completed	Coordinator within the required 15 days, However, resolution (Continued)  18 Signature/Date				
ပိ	Momes 2 (Segues 10-12-90				
_	19 Response Accepted	ONE/Leach Augitor/Date	Division Manage		t Quality Mgr./Date
Org.	<del></del>	QAE/Lead Auditor/Date	Division Manage		t Quality Mgr./Date
ð	21 Remarks				
Orig.					
by					
Comp.					
ŏ	22 QA CLOSURE QAE/Le	ead Auditor/Date Division	n Manager/Date	PQM/Date	

## YMPO STANDARD DEFICIENCY REPORT CONTINUATION SHEET

N-QA-038 2/89

SDR No. 575 Page 2 of 2

8 Requirement (continued)

surveillance to:

- o The organization surveilled,
- o the individual within the SNL NWRT Department responsible for the item or activity surveilled,
- o concerned management personnel,
- o the SNL NWRT QA Coordinator, and
- o the Records Management System.
- 10 Recommended Actions (continued) action to prevent recurrence.

Block 14 Remedial Actions (Continued):

surveillance reports when issued by the Team Leader, also an SNL Contractor (MACTEC), met the 15 working day issuance requirements. (See table below). However, Contractor issued reports go through review by an SNL staff member.

SURVEILLANCE REPORT NO.	DATE PERFORMED	TEAM LEADER ISSUES REPORT	REVISED & REISSUED	FINAL SNL DISTRIBUTION
CBM 90-1	4-19-90	5-1-90	• • •	6-6-90
CBM 90-2	4-19-/20-90	5-1-90	5-25-90	6-12-90
CBM 90-3	5-9/10-90	5-16-90	5-23-90	6-12-90

Block 16 Corrective Actions (Continued):

of comments on the surveillance reports and subsequent SNL distribution of the surveillance report extended the issue date beyond 15 days.

QAP 10-1, Rev D will be revised to provide more flexibility in the time period for final distribution of the surveillance report.

THIS IS A RED STAMP

		YMPO STANDARD D	EFICIENCY	REPORT	N-QA-038 4/89
	1 Date 8/24/90	2 Severity	Level 🗌 1	<b>№</b> 2 □3	Page 1 of 2
Completed by Originating QA Organization	3 Discovered During Audit 90-04	3a Identified By J. Martin & C. Prater		B	4 SDR No. 576 Rev. 0
	5 Organization SNL	6 Person(s) Conta	acted		7 Response Due Date is 20 Working Days from Date of Transmittal
	8 Requirement (Audit Checklist Reference, if Applicable)				
	did not contain the required schedule for completion, personnel responsible				
	10 Recommended Action(s): A Remedial Allowestigative A Corrective  Identify the remedial actions to be taken to correct the deficiencies noted in Block 9. Investigate the program, process, activities, or documentation				
Aprvl.	11 @AEIDean Apdito/ft	1 4.31	nager/Date D	13/Pr	pject Quality Mgr./Date
Completed by Organization in Block 5	The Deviation Reports identified in the SDR have 15 Effective Date 10-31-90 been closed. No further remedial action is considered necessary.  21 deviation reports are currently in process. Completed DRs were not investigated. 10 of these DRs are in process of initiating a response, and do not apply to this investigation. (Continued)  16 Cause of the Condition & Corrective Action to Prevent Recurrence  17 Effective Date 11-30-90  The cause of the condition involves staff inattention to procedural detail. The DR form also may not be user friendly, in that:  1) it requires staff to either memorize requirements to be included in the DR				
Comp. by Orig. QA Org.	Verif. Satisfactory  21 Remarks				
	QA CLOSURE QAE/L	Lead Auditor/Date Divi	sion Manager/D	Date PQN	M/Date

## YMPO STANDARD DEFICIENCY REPORT CONTINUATION SHEET

N-QA-038 2/89

**SDR No.** 576

Page 2

of 2

8 Requirement (continued)

implementation of these actions and a schedule for completion of the disposition." In addition, it is stated, "Identify who shall verify completion of the disposition."

9 Deficiency (continued)

verification of completion of the corrective action. Typical examples include DR 90-52, DR 90-68, and DR 90-69.

10 Recommended Actions (continued)

to determine the extent and depth of similar deficient conditions to those listed on the SDR. Identify these deficiencies and provide the measures required to correct them. Identify the cause of the condition and the planned corrective action to prevent recurrence.

Block 14 Remedial Actions (Continued):

Of the remaining 11 DRs, 5 were found lacking in 1 or more of the cited requirements, however, a positive trend was observed, with 6 of 7 of the latest DRs meeting all requirements (See next page).

3 of 5 DRs were corrected, the remaining 2 will be corrected by 10-31-90. Responsible parties are:

- DR 90-70 R. E. Finley and J. V. Voigt
- DR 90-86 R. J. Glass and J. V. Voigt

Block 16 Corrective Actions (Continued): the DR section being worked on.

To prevent recurrence:

- 1) The Deviation Report form will be assessed and recommendations made as to how the form may be modified to be more user friendly. A revision to the procedure base on acceptable recommendations will be initiated.
- 2) A QA Bulletin will be issued to the QA staff that identifies the specific conditions to be met in DRs to be reviewed prior to completing the Significant Adverse Condition evaluation. DRs that do not meet the conditions will either be rejected back to the disposition preparer or corrected with the disposition preparer's concurrence.

#### **OPEN DEVIATION REPORTS**

	COMPLETION	IMPLEMENTATION	VERIFICATION
DR No.	DATE	RESPONSIBILITY	ASSIGNMENT
90-51	Yes	Yes	No*
90-65	Yes	Yes	No*
90-66	Yes	Yes	No*
90-70	Yes	Yes	No
90-72	Disposition is	in process	
90-73	Disposition is	in process	
90-74	Disposition is	in process	
90-75	Disposition is	in process	
90-76	Disposition is	in process	
90-77	Disposition is	in process	
90-78	Disposition is	in process	
90-82	Yes	Yes	Yes
90-84	Yes	Yes	Yes
90-86	No	No	No
90-88	Yes	Yes	Yes
90-89	Yes	Yes	Yes
90-90	Yes	Yes	Yes
90-91	Yes	Yes	Yes
90-92	Disposition is	in process	
90-93	Disposition is	in process	
90-01	Disposition is	•	

<sup>\*</sup> Deficient Condition as noted was corrected.

ORIGINAL THIS IS A RED STAMP

	YMF	PO STANDARD DE	FICIENCY REF	PORT		4/89
-	1 Date 8/24/90	2 Severity Le	evel 🗌 1 🖾 2	□ 3	Page 1	of 2
♣ Organization	3 Discovered During Audit 90-04 SDR No. Audit 90-04 C. Prater 4 SDR No. 578 Rev. 0					
	5 Organization SNL	6 Person(s) Contact Jim Voigt/Curtis			<ul><li>7 Response</li><li>20 Working</li><li>Date of Transaction</li></ul>	Days from
Originating QA	8 Requirement (Audit Checklist Reference, if Applicable) Audit Checklist Item No. 18-5, Question No. 2: SNL-NWRT-QAPP, Revision E, Section 18.5.1 states in part, "The audit report shall be compiled by the audit team,and issued within 30 calendar					
by	9 Deficiency Contrary to the above requirement, audit reports are not being issued within 30 calendar days of the audit. These are Audit Numbers: PB-A90-1 performed 12/14-15/89 and issued 2/8/90					
Completed	10 Recommended Action(s): A Remedial Investigative A Corrective  Identify the remedial action(s) to be taken to correct the deficiencies noted in block 9. Identify the cause of the condition and the planned					
Aprvl.	11 @AELead Addito/Date	12 Division Man	ager/Date	13 Pro	ject Quality I	Mgr./Date
tion in Block 5	No remedial actions are required. Audit reports 15 Effective Date					
leted by Organization	16 Cause of the Condition & Corrective Action to Prevent Recurrence  17 Effective Date 11-1-90  Cause: The reports are prepared by an SNL contractor (MACTEC), reviewed by SNL QA and technical management, finalized by the contractor and issued via SNL QA by the SNL Contract Monitor to the contractors.  Experience shows that the required activities and interfacing normally exceeds the 30 days.  (Continued)					
Completed	18 Signature/Date Dejua 10-12-90					
g.	19 Response QAI Accepted	Effeat Andifor Tate	Division Manage	er/Date	Project Gua	lity Mgr./Date
Orig. QA Org.	Verif. Satisfactory	E/Lead Auditor/Date	Division Manage	er/Date	Project Qua	dity Mgr./Date
	21 Remarks					
þ						
Comp.						
	QA CLOSURE QAE/Lead	Auditor/Date Division	on Manager/Date	PQM	/Date	

# YMPO STANDARD DEFICIENCY REPORT CONTINUATION SHEET

N-QA-038 2/89

**SDR No.** 578

Page 2

of 2

```
8 Requirement ( continued )
  days....
9 Deficiency ( continued )

LTA-A90-1 performed 6/20/90 is not yet issued

AGA-A90-1 performed 6/13/90 and issued 7/18/90

BNI-A90-1 performed 1/24-25/90 and issued 3/5/90

RE/SPEC-A90-1, Audit Report Designator RES-A89-2
  performed 10/17-18/89 and 11/1-2/89, and issued on 12/5/89.
```

10 Recommended Actions (continued) action to prevent recurrence.

Block 14 Investigative Actions (Continued):
FY 90 audits shows an average of 77 days. This span can be reduced somewhat and is offset by other audit activities pending report issuance. (Contractors are always advised during the audit close-out meeting of results requiring corrective action and are requested to initiate action to correct identified adverse findings.) The QAPP "shall" requirement is obviously unrealistic and unnecessary; the 30 day span should be an objective as indicated in the YMP QA Plan, NNWSI/88-9, Section XVIII. The "should" requirement is consistent with ASME NQA-1, Appendix 18A-1 although this appendix is not invoked by the DOE's QARD, DOE/RW-0214.

#### Corrective Actions:

- 1) Revise SNL-NWRT QAPP Section 18.0 to state that audit reports <u>should</u> be issued within 30 days of the audit. Revision request date: 10/19/90
- 2) Issue written adverse audit findings to the audited organization and SNL 6310 for initiation of corrective action prior to receipt of the audit report if the report cannot be issued in a timely manner. Effective date: 11/1/90
- 3) Monitor the responsible organizations' progress in correcting reported adverse conditions prior to their receipt of the audit report when necessary and based on the significance of the condition. Effective date: 11/1/90

cc w/encl:

R. R. Richards, SNL, 6310, Albuquerque, NM S. W. Zimmerman, NWPO, Carson City, NK. R. Hooks, NRC, Washington, Dec. 512, T. Co.

N. J. Brogan, SAIC, Las Vegas, NV, 517/T-08

cc w/o encl:

J. H. Hines, OQD, AL

J. W. Gilray, NRC, Las Vegas, NV