

Department of Energy

Yucca Mountain Site Characterization Project Office P. O. Box 98608 Las Vegas, NV 89193-8608

WBS 1.2.9.3 QA

JAN 04 1991

Carl P. Gertz, Project Manager, YMP, NV

VERIFICATION OF CORRECTIVE ACTION AND CLOSURE OF CORRECTIVE ACTION REQUEST (CAR) YM-91-011 RESULTING FROM YUCCA MOUNTAIN QUALITY ASSURANCE DIVISION (YMQAD) AUDIT 90-I-01

The YMQAD staff has verified the corrective action to CAR YM-91-011 and determined the results to be satisfactory. As a result, the CAR is considered closed.

If you have any questions, please contact either Catherine E. Hampton at 794-7973, or John S. Martin of Science Applications International Corporation at 794-7881.

Donald G. Horton, Di

Yucca Mountain Quality Assurance Division

YMQAD:CEH-1427

Enclosure: CAR YM-91-011

cc w/encl:

K. R. Hooks, NRC, Washington, Do

S. W. Zimmerman, NWPO, Carson City, NV

N. J. Brogan, SAIC, Las Vegas, NV, 517/T-08

cc w/o encl:

J. W. Gilray, NRC, Las Vegas, NV

9101150021 910104 PDR WASTE WM-11 PDR

YMP-5 140121 FULL TEXT ASCII SCAN

j02,7 Wm-11 NH03



OFFICE OF CIVILIAN RADIOACTIVE WASTE MANAGEMENT U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY WASHINGTON, D.C.

14CAR NO.: YM-91-011 DATE: 11/09/90 SHEET: 1 OF 2 QA WBS No.: 1.2.9.3

	WASH	inggron, D.C.		WBS No.: <u>1.2.</u>	9.3
CORRECTIVE ACTION REQUEST					
1 Controlling Document		2 Related Report No.			
QMP-06-04, Revision 1			Audit No. 90-I-01		
3 Responsible Organization		4 Discussed With			
Regulatory & Site Evaluat	ion Division	Ram Murthy			
10 Response Due 12/03/90	11 Responsibility for C D. Dobson	Corrective Action	12	Stop Work Order	Y or(N)
5 Requirement: QMP-06-04, Para 3.3 states: "A minor change is an alteration to an approved document such as an organizational title change; a change to the alpha-numeric identifier of the document; minor wording changes for clarity; editorial, typographical, grammar, punctuation, or spelling corrections; where the basic content of the document does not change."					
NOTE: Any other change is considered major.					
6 Adverse Condition:					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Contrary to the above, the do not meet the definition to AP-5.28Q.	e following ICNs we n of a minor change	re classified as bein . ICN #1 to BTP-QRB-	ng a minon	r change when in #2 to AP-5.28Q,	fact they and ICN #4
7 Recommended Action(s): Identify the remedial actions to be taken to correct the deficiencies noted in Block 6. Investigate the program, process, activities, or documentation to determine the extent and depth of similar conditions to those listed on the CAR. Identify these deficiencies and provide the measures					
8 Initiator Dat John S. Martin 10/26			. 10	laylost fo	Date:
15 Verification of Corrective Action Verification	pleted on 12/1 S. Murtin)	Tak & Mast . 13	19/90	chel verif	icution
16 Corrective Action Compresed	and Accepted: Date <u>/2-/9-</u>	17 Closure Appro	oved By:	up of	12.19.90



OFFICE OF CIVILIAN RADIOACTIVE WASTE MANAGEMENT U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY WASHINGTON, D.C.

CAR NO.:	YM-91-011
DATE:	11/09/90
SHEET: _	2 OF 2

CORRECTIVE ACTION REQUEST (continuation sheet)						
-	7 Recommended Action(s) (continued) required to correct them. Identify the cause of the condition and the planned corrective action to					
	required to correct them. prevent recurrence.	ruentity the cause of	the condition and the p	ranned Corrective action to		
	. •					

OFFICE OF CIVILIAN RADIOACTIVE WASTE MANAGEMENT U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY WASHINGTON, D.C.

CAR NO.YM-91-011		
DATE: 12	/3/90	
SHEET:	OF	

CORRECTIVE ACTION REQUEST (continuation sheet)

A. Investigative action performed was a review of all unincorporated ICNs to BTPs, QMPs and APs (see enclosure 1) to determine if any ICNs, including those referenced in the CAR, inadvertently classified changes as minor which were actually major in nature.

The investigation revealed that there were ICNs to the following procedures classifying changes as minor which should have been major.

AP-1.5Q AP-5.28Q BTP-QRB-001 BTP-SMF-001 BTP-SMF-005 BTP-SMF-006 BTP-SMF-006

- B. The causes of the deficiency was the result of a too liberal interpretation of the procedure definition of "minor" change.
- C. The procedures noted have all been revised to incorporate outstanding ICNs and were submitted for formal review so that all ICNs were reviewed in context with the entire procedure.

D. AP-6-04 has been revised to limit ICN use to very minor changes (usually pen and ink) that have no impact on procedural content.

Responsible Manager

P/Yo Date

Response Accepted

/2-/0-90 Date

Response Accepted

12-10-90 Date