

## Department of Energy

Yucca Mountain Site Characterization **Project Office** P. O. Box 98608 Las Vegas, NV 89193-8608

WBS 1.2.9.3 QA.

DEC 20 1990

Thomas E. Blejwas Technical Project Officer for Yucca Mountain Site Characterization Project Sandia National Laboratories P.O. Box 5800 Organization 6310 Albuquerque, NM 87185

ACCEPTANCE OF RESPONSE TO STANDARD DEFICIENCY REPORT (SDR) 577, REVISION 0, RESULTING FROM YUCCA MOUNTAIN QUALITY ASSURANCE DIVISION (YMQAD) AUDIT 90-04 OF SANDIA NATIONAL LABORATORIES (SNL)

The YMQAD staff has evaluated and accepted your response to SDR 577, Revision 0, generated as a result of YMQAD Audit 90-04 of SNL. The SDR will be closed after verification of satisfactory completion of the specified corrective actions. A copy of the SDR is enclosed for your information.

If you have any questions, please contact either Catherine E. Hampton at (702) 794-7973 or FTS 544-7973 or John S. Martin of Science Applications International Corporation at (702) 794-7881 or FTS 544-7881.

Donald G. Horton, Director,

Yucca Mountain Quality Assurance Division

YMQAD: CEH-1333

Enclosure: SDR 577, Revision 0

cc w/encl:

K. R. Hooks, NRC, Washington, DC

S. W. Zimmerman, NWPO, Carson City, NV

R. R. Richards, SNL, 6319, Albuquerque, NM

N. J. Brogan, SAIC, Las Vegas, NV, 517/T-08

cc w/o encl:

J. H. Hines, OQD, AL

J. W. Gilray, NRC, Las Vegas, NV

FUIL TEXT ASON SCAN

YMP-5

9101070033 901220 PDR WASTE WM-11 FDR

YMPO STANDARD DEFICIENCY REPORT  N-QA-038 4/89							
	1 Date 8/24/90	2 Severity Le	vel 🛮 1	⊠ 2	□ 3	Page 1	of 2
Organization		Identified By Martin & Prater				4 SDR No.	lev. <u>0</u>
	5 Organization SNL	6 Person(s) Contact J. Voigt/C. Barne				7 Response I 20 Working Date of Tra	Days from
Originating QA	8 Requirement (Audit Checklist Reference, if Applicable) Audit Checklist Item No. 18-3, Question No. 2: SNL-NWRT-QAPP, Revision E, Section 18, Para. 18.1 states in part: "Audits shall be performed in accordance with a written procedure using						
þ	9 Deficiency Contrary to the above requirement; review of SNL audits indicated that checklists are not retained as Quality Records within their Local Records Center (LRC). To not utilize or make the checklists part of the audit						
plet	10 Recommended Action(s): 🛛 Remedial 🖾 Investigative 🖾 Corrective						
Completed	Identify the remedial actions to be taken to correct the deficiencies not in Flock 9. Investigate the program, process, activities, or documentated as a second sec						
Aprvl.	11 AE/Lead Author/Date	12 Division Mana	ager/Date		13 Pro	oject Quality N	/lgr./Date
₽	Lat 1 Met 9-10	.90 N.A.			BHA	Thista	Jan 9-10.90
ာ	Remedial/Investigative Action(s)						
	None Required. Audit checklists are not identified 15 Effective Date or required as QA records by the YMP QA Plan, NNWSI/88-9, Section XVIII, SNL-NWRT-QAPP, Section 18.0 and/or SNL procedure QAP 18-1, QA Audits. Note also that neither NNWSI/88-9, Appendix E nor SNL-NWRT-QAPP Appendix F include checklists as Quality Assurance QA records. NQA-1, which was a basis for the NNWSI/88-9						
	requirements, and DOE	<del>be QA recor</del> e	ls.				
	16 Cause of the Condition & Corrective Action to Prevent Recurrence  17 Effective Date						
Ora	None required.						
Completed by							
Comp	18 Signature/Date    Momo	z -90	<b>,</b>	1			
g.	19 Response Accepted	E/Lead Auditor/Date	Division N	A		SHEY	lity Mgr./Date
QA Org.	20 Corrective Action Verif. Satisfactory	.E/Lead Auditor/Date	Division N	lanage	r/Date	Project Qua	lity Mgr./Date
Comp. by Orig. G	21 Remarks accepted 12.4.90 sours Response based upon Project Offices determination that Andit Checklists would not be considered as QA records. John 12.4.90						
Col	QAE/Lead Auditor/Date Division Manager/Date PQM/Date						

N-QA-038 2/89

## YMPO STANDARD DEFICIENCY REPORT CONTINUATION SHEET

SDR No. 577 Page 2 of 2

8 Requirement ( continued )
 checklists...."

SNL-NWRT-QAPP, Revision E, Section 17, Para. 17.1.2 states in part: "A document or other item is not considered a QA Record until it satisfies the definition of a QA Record as defined below. The term "records", used throughout this section, is to be interpreted as QA Records. QA Records include 1) individual documents that have been executed, completed, and approved and that furnish evidence of the quality and completeness of data (including raw data), and activities affecting quality; 2) documents prepared and maintained to demonstrate implementation of quality assurance programs (e.g., audit, surveillance, and inspection reports)..."

In addition, SNL-NWRT-QAPP, Revision E, Para. 18.4 states in part:

"Objective evidence shall be examined to the depth necessary to determine if these elements are adequate for effective control and to determine whether or not they are being implemented effectively..."

9 Deficiency (continued)

report or a QA record, the audit report must stand alone and state in detail what was specifically examined. For example: audit report SNL-A90-1 did not list any documents observed or specific criteria examined and audit report PB-A90-1 did list documents examined although it did not state in detail what those documents (specific criteria) were examined for. If audit checklists are not to be retained as QA records, the reports must contain sufficient detail to identify what specific criteria each document was examined to.

10 Recommended Actions (continued)

to determine the extent and depth of similar deficient conditions to those listed on the SDR. Identify these deficiencies and provide the measures required to correct them. Identify the cause of the condition and the planned corrective action to prevent recurrence.

Block 14 Remedial Actions (Continued):

The content of an audit report is specified by the above referenced QAP/QAPP Sections and the SNL QA procedure. The audit report (SNL-A90-1) cited as an example of a deficient report complies with these requirements. The auditor's interpretation of audit report content is at variance with stated content requirements. Checklists are retained for record purposes, both historical and planning, as "personal working files" (SNL DOP 17-1, para. 3.16) by SNL's contractor (MACTEC) and/or by SNL QA. Checklists are maintained temporarily in the contractor's files and periodically submitted for retention by SNL QA. The Audit report cited had just been completed and forwarded to SNL when the YMP audit started; the checklists were still at the contractor's facility.

A review of the contractor's files was made soon after the audit (YMP) and checklists not previously forwarded were forwarded to and are retained by SNL QA. Checklists are necessary for planning and performing audits and serve as a basis for preparing the audit report. These lists are essential to managing an audit program and their content and use for each audit is the lead auditor's responsibility in conducting and reporting an audit.