UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D.C. 20555

IE Bulletin No. 79-14 Date: July 2, 1979 Page 1 of 3 & Revision /

SEISHIC ANALYSES FOR AS-BUILT SAFETY-RELATED PIPING SYSTEMS

Description of Circumstances:

Recently two issues were identified which can cause seismic analysis of safetyrelated piping systems to yield nonconservative results. One issue involved algebraic summation of loads in some seismic analyses. This was addressed in show cause orders for Beaver Valley, Fitzpatrick, Maine Yankee and Surry. It was also addressed in IE Bulletin 79-07 which was sent to all power reactor

The other issue involves the accuracy of the information input for seismic analyses. In this regard, several potentially unconservative factors were discovered and subsequently addressed in IE Bulletin 79-02 (pipe supports) and 79-04 (valve weights). During resolution of these concerns, inspection by IE and by licensees of the as-built configuration of several piping systems revealed a number of nonconformances to design documents which could potentially affect the validity of seismic analyses. Nonconformances are identified in Appendix A to this bulletin. Because apparently significant nonconformances to design documents have occurred in a number of plants, this

The staff has determined, where design specifications and drawings are used to obtain input information for seismic analysis of safety-related piping systems, that it is essential for these documents to reflect as-built configurations. Where subsequent use, damage or modifications affect the condition or configuration of safety-related piping systems as described in documents from which seismic analysis input information was obtained, the licensee must consider the need to re-evaluate the seismic analyses to consider the as-built configuration.

7908020458

IE Bulletin No. 79-14 Revision 1 July 18, 1979 Page 2 of 3

Action to be taken by Licensees and Permit Holders:

All power reactor facility licensees and construction permit holders are requested to verify, unless verified to an equivalent degree within the last 12 months, that the seismic analysis applies to the acutal configuration of safety-related piping systems. The safety related piping includes Seismic Category I systems as defined by Regulatory Guide 1.29, "Seismic Design Classification" Revision 1, dated August 1, 1973 or as defined in the applicable FSAR. The action items that follow apply to all safety related piping 2 1/2-inches in diameter and greater and to seismic Category I piping, regardless of size which was dynamically analyzed by computer. For older plants, where Seismic Category I requirements did not exist at the time of licensing, it must be shown that the actual configuration of *thété* safetyrelated systems, <u>utilizing piping 2 1/2 inches in diameter and greater</u>, meets design requirements.

Specifically, each licensee is requested to:

- 1. Identify inspection elements to be used in verifying that the seismic analysis input information conforms to the actual configuration of safetyrelated systems. For each safety-related system, submit a list of design documents, including title, identification number, revision, and date, which were sources of input information for the seismic analyses. Also contained in each document. Identify systems or portions of systems which are planned to be inspected during each sequential inspection identified in Items 2 and 3. Submit all of this information with 30 days of the date of this bulletin.
- 2. For portions of systems which are normally accessible*, inspect one system in each set of redundant systems and all nonredundant systems for conformance to the seismic analysis input information set forth in design documents. Include in the inspection; pipe run geometry; support and restraint design, locations, function and clearance (including floor and wall penetration); embedments (excluding those covered in IE Bulletin 79-02); pipe attachments; and valve and valve operator locations and weights (excluding those covered in IE Bulletin 79-04). Within 60 days of the date of this bulletin, submit a description of the results of this inspection. Where nonconformances are found which affect operability of any system, the licensee will expedite completion of the inspection described in Item 3.

*Normally accessible refers to those areas of the plant which can be entered during reactor operation.

2001250480

IE Bulletin No. 79-14 Date: July 2, 1979 Page 3 of 3

3. In accordance with Item 2, inspect all other normally accessible safetyrelated systems and all normally inaccessible safety-related systems. Within 120 days of the date of this bulletin, submit a description of the results of this inspection.

4. If nonconformances are identified:

1.

- a. Evaluate the effect of the nonconformance upon system operability under specified earthquake loadings and comply with applicable action statements in your technical specifications including prompt reporting.
- b. Submit an evaluation of identified nonconformances on the validity of piping and support analyses as described in the Final Safety Analysis Report (FSAR) or other NRC approved documents. Where you determine that reanalysis is necessary, submit your schedule for: (I) completing the reanalysis, (II) comparisons of the results to FSAR or other NRC approved acceptance criteria, and (III) submitting descriptions of the results of reanalysis.
- c. In lieu of b, submit a schedule for correcting nonconforming systems so that they conform to the design documents. Also submit a description of the work required to establish conformance.
- d. Revise documents to reflect the as-built conditions in plant, and describe measures which are in effect which provide assurance that future modifications of piping systems, including their supports, will be reflected in a timely manner in design documents and the seismic analysis.

Facilities holding a construction permit shall inspect safety-related systems in accordance with Items 2 and 3 and report the results within 120 days.

Reports shall be submitted to the Regional Director with copies to the Director of the Office of Inspection and Enforcement and the Director of the Division of Operating Reactors, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation, Washington, D.C. 20555.

Approved by GAO (R0072); clearance expires 7/31/80. Approval was given under a blanket clearance specifically for generic problems.

APPENDIX A

usiation the design of the second second

PLANTS WITH SIGNIFICANT DIFFERENCES BETWEEN ORIGINAL DESIGN AND AS-BUILT CONDITION OF PIPING SYSTEMS

Plant	Difference	Remarks
Surry 1	Mislocated supports. Wrong Support Type. Different Pipe Run Geometry.	As-built condition caused majority of pipe overstress problems, not algebraic summation.
Beaver Valley	Not specifically identified. Licensee reported "as-built conditions differ signifi- cantly from orginal design."	As-built condition resulted in both pipe and support overstress.
Fitzpatrick	IE inspection identified differences similar to Surry.	Licensee is using as built configuration for reanalysis.
. _lgrim	Snubber sizing wrong. Snubber pipe attachment welds and snubber support assembly nonconformances.	Plan shutdown to restore original design condition.
Brunswick 1 and 2	Pipe supports undersize.	Both units shutdown to restore original design condition.
Ginna	Pipe supports not built to original design.	Supports were repaired during refueling outage.
St. Lucie	Missing seismic supports. Supports on wrong piping.	Install corrected supports before start up from refueling.

APPENDIX A

Plant

Page 2

Difference

Nine Mile Point

Missing seismic supports.

Indian Point 3

Davis-Besse

Support location and support construction deviations.

Gussets missing from main Steam Line Supports.

Remarks

Installed supports before startup from refueling.

Licensee performing asbuilt verification to be completed by July 1.

Supports would be overstressed. Repairs will be completed prior to startup.

IE Bulletin No. 79-14 July 2, 1979

LISTING OF IE BULLETINS ISSUED IN LAST TWELVE MONTHS

No.	Subject	Date Issued	Issued To
78-11	Examination of Mark I Containment Torus Welds	7/21/78	BWR Power Reactor Facilities for action: Peach Bottom 2 and 3, Quad Cities 1 and 2, Hatch 1, Monti- cello and Vermont Yankee
78-12	Atypical Weld Material in Reactor Pressure Vessel Welds	9/26/78	All Power Reactor Facilities with an Operating License (OL) or Construc- tion Permit (CP)
78-12A	Atypical Weld Material in Reactor Pressure Vessel Welds	11/24/78	All Power Reactor Facilities with an Operating License (OL) or Construc- tion Permit (CP)
78-12B	Atypical Weld Material in Reactor Pressure Vessel Welds	3/19/79	All Power Reactor Facilities with an Operating License (OL) or Construc- tion Permit (CP)
78-13	Failures in Source Heads of Kay-Ray, Inc., Gauges Models 7050, 7050B, 7051, 7051B, 7060, 7060B, 7061 and 7061B	10/27/78	All General and Specific Licensees with Kay-Ray Gauges
	•		Enclosure Page 1 of 4

IE Bulletin No. 79-14 July 2, 1979

78-14	Deterioration of Buna-N Components in ASCO Solenoids	12/19/78	All GE BWR facilities with an Operating License (OL) or Construction Permit (CP)
79-01	Environmental Quali- fication of Class IE Equipment	2/8/79	All Power Reactor Facilities with an Operating License (OL) or Construction Permit (CP)
79 - 01A	Environmental Qualificat of Class IE Equipment	ion 6/6/79	All Power Reactor Facilities with an Operating License (OL) or Construction Permit (CP)
'9-02	Pipe Support Base Plate Designs Using Concrete Expansion Anchor Bolts	3/8/79	All Power Reactor Facilities with an Operating License (OL) or Construction Permit (CP)
79-02 (Rev. 1)	Pipe Support Base Plate Designs Using Concrete Expansion Anchor Bolts	6/21/79	All Power Reactor Facilities with an Operating License (OL) or Construction Permit (CP)
79-03	Longitudinal Weld Defects In ASME SA-312 Type 304 Stainless Steel Pipe Spools Manufactured by Youngstown Welding and Engineering Company	3/12/79	All Power Reactor Facilities with an Operating License (OL) or Construction Permit (CP)
79-04	Incorrect Weights for Swing Check Valves Manufactured by Velan Engineering Corporation	3/30/79	All Power Reactor Facilities with an Operating License (OL) or Construction Permit (CP)

ί

Enclosure Page 2 of 4

			IE Bulletin No. 79-14 July 2, 1979
79-05	Nuclear Incident at Three Mile Island	4/1/79	All Power Reactor Facilities with an Operating License (OL) or Construction Permit (CP)
79-05A	Nuclear Incident at Three Mile Island	4/5/79	All Power Reactor Facilities with an Operating License (OL) or Construction Permit (CP)
79-05B	Nuclear Incídent at Three Mile Island	4/21/79	All B&W Power Reactor Facilities with an Operating License (OL)
79-06	Review of Operational Errors and System Misalignments Identified During The Three Mile Island Incident	4/11/79	All Pressurized Water Power Reactor Facilities Except B&W Facilities
79-06A	Review of Operational Errors and System Misalignments Identified During the Three Mile Island Incident	4/14/79	All Westinghouse PWR Facilities with an Operating License (OL)
79-06A (Rev. 1)	Review of Operational Errors and System Mis- alignments Identified During the Three Mile Island Incident	4/18/79	All Pressurized Water Power Reactor Facilities of Westinghouse Design with an Operating License (OL)
79-06B	Review of Operational Errors and System Misalignments Identified During The Three Mile Island	4/14/79	All Combustion Engineer- ing PWR Facilities with an Operating License (OL)
79-07	Seismic Stress Analysis of Safety-Related Piping	4/14/79	All Power Reactor Facilities with an Operating License (OL) or Construction Permit (CP)

÷

For an and the second second

Enclosure Page 3 of 4



LISTIED STATES NUCLEAR RECULATORY COLDISSION MACHINITICAL, D. C. STAT

AL 17 53

MENGRANDUM FOR: B. H. Grier, Director, Region 4 J. P. O'Railly, Director, Region 1 J. G. Koppler, Director, Region 17 K. V. Seyfrit, Director, Region 47 R. H. Engelken, Director, Region 4

FROM:

Norman C. Hoselay, Director, Division of Reactor Operatives. Inspection, IE

SUBJECT:

REVISION 1 TO IE BULLETIN 79-14

Page 2 of IE Bulletin 79-14 should be revised as indicated on the encioner and transmitted as soon as possible to all facilities with an operating icense or a construction permit. The draft letter is store top permit holdors is also enclosed.

- Applean

Norman C. Hoseley, Director Division of Reactor Operations Inspection Office of Inspection and Enforcement

Enclosura: As stated

CONTACTS: R. Moodruff, IE H. Mong. IE 49-28180

(Event letter to all facilities with an operating license or a construction permit)

IE Culletin No. 79-14 Revision 1

Addresses:

IE Cullatin 79-14 is revised to limit the scope of work required. The changes are indicated on the enclosed replacement page for the bulletin If you casire additional information regarding this matters please contact this office.

Sincerely.

Signatura (Ragional Director) AL BUITETIN NO. 79-14 Revision 1

Action to be taken by Licensees and Permit Holders:

All power reactor facility licensees and construction permit holders are equested to verify, unless verified to an equivalent degree within the ast 12 months, that the seismic analysis applies to the actual configur. tion of safety-related piping systems. The safety related piping includseismic Category I systems as defined by Regulatory Guide 2.29, "Seismi esign Classification" Revision 1, dated August 1, 1973 or as defined if he applicable FSAR. The action items that follow apply to all safety related ioing 2 1/2-inches in diamater and greater and to seismic Category I pipincoordiess of size which was dynamically analyzed by computer. For olde lants, where Seismic Category I requirements did not exist at the time icensing, it must be shown that the actual configuration of fluid safety elated systems, utilizing piping 2 1/2 inches in diamater and greater esign requirements.

Specifically, each licensee is requested to:

Identify inspection elements to be used in verifying that the seismic analysis input information conforms to the actual configuration of cafe related systems. For each safety-related system, submit a list of desic documents, including title, identification number, revision, and cate which were sources of input information for the seismic analyses. All submit a description of the seismic analysis input information which contained in each document. Identify systems or portions of system which are planned to be inspected during each sequential inspection identified in Items 2 and 3. Submit all of this information with days of the date of this bulletin.

For portions of systems which are normally accessible*, inspect one system in each set of redundant systems and all nonredundant systems for conformance to the seismic analysis input information set forth in desigiocuments. Include in the inspection: pipe run geometry; support or restraint design, locations, function and clearance (including file and wall penetration); embedments (excluding those covered in IE Bulletin 79-02); pipe attachements; and valve and valve operator locations and weights (excluding those covered in IE Bulletin 79-04, within 60 days of the date of this bulletin, submit a description of the results of this inspection. Where nonconformances are found which affect operability of any system, the licensee will expedite completion of the inspection described in Item 3.

*Non: ily accessible refers to those areas of the plant which can be entered during reactor operation.

IE Bulletin No. 79-14 (Revision 1) · eismic Analyses for As-Built Safety-Related Systems Distribution: Herbert Fontecilla, Technical Assistant, OCH (Hendrie) H-1149 George Eysymontt, Technical Assistant, OCH (Gilinsky) H-1149 John C. Guibert, Technical Assistant, CCH (Kennedy) 11-1149 Hugh ___ Thompson, Technical Assistant, OCH (Bradford H-1149 George U. Sauter, Technical Assistant, OCH (Ahearne) H-1149 SECY H-1149 C. Kammerer, Director, OCA H-1159 A. P. Kenneke, Acting Director, PE H-1007 - Bickwit, General Counsel H-1047 R. F. Fraley, ACRS H-1016 L. V. Gossick, EDO KNBB-6209 J. R. Shea, Dir., OIP KK88-8103 J. J. Fouchard, Director, PA NC183-3709 N. M. Haller, Director, MPA MXBB-12105 G. Wayne Kerr, Asst. Dir., SA:SP KC3B-7210A J. Lieberman, ELD MIBB-9604 R. B. Minogue, Dir., SD NL-5650 G. A. Arlotto, Dir, DES:SD W. J. Dircks, Dir., NMSS NL-5650 SS-958 S. Levine, Dir., RES SS-1130 H. R. Denton, Dir., NRR Ph11-428 D. Vassalo, Acting Dir., DPM;NRR Ph11-268 U. F. Ross, Deputy Director, DPM:NRR Phi1-278 P. 7. Collins, Chief, OLB:DPH:NRR P-357 J. R. Miller, DOR:NRR Ph11-216 U. G. Elsephut, Acting Dir., DOR:NRR Ph11-266 R. H. Vollmar, Asst. Dir., SP:DOR:NRR P-514 G. J. Lainas, Chief, PSB:DOR:NRR D. L. Grimes, Asst. Dir., E/P:DOR:NRR Ph11-416 Ph11-370 R. J. Mattson, Dir., DSS:NRR Ph11-202 R. M. Satterfield, Chief, ICSB:DSS:NRR---Ph11-722 W P. Haass, Chief, QAB: OAO:NRR P-320 V. Stello, Director, IE D. Thompson, Act. Deputy Director, IE _ G. C. Gower, XOOS:IE R. C. Paulus, XCOS:IE L. N. Underwood, XCOS:IE (Original) H. D. Thornburg, RCI:IE G. W. Reinmuth, RCI:IE N. C. Moseley, ROI:IE E. L. Jordan, ROI:IE S. E. Bryan, ROI:IE J. H. Sniezek, FFMSI:IE L. B. Higginbotham, FFMSI:IE E. M. Howard, SI:IE L. I. Cobb, XOMA: IE R. Woodruff/H. Wong, ROI:IE **IE Files** NRC Central Files Phi1-016 IE Reading Files Mike Atsalinos, DSB:TIDC:ADH Ph11-050