Existing Decommissioning Sites	Type of Site and Contamination		License Termination Rule Issues (●=provides benefit; ◯=addresses general questions; na=not applicable)									
Name, Location	Туре	Nuclides	Restricted Release	Unimportant Quantities	Alt. U/TH Standard	On-site Disposal ^{**}	Control of Solid Mat.	Realistic Scenarios	Financial Assurance *	Operational Changes**	Intentional Mixing	
C.E. Windsor/Windsor, CT	СОМ	Co-60, U	О	О	О	na	О			na		
Salmon River/Salmon, ID	FTL	Th	О	О	О	na	О	•	fna	na		
Jefferson Proving Ground/ Madison, IN	SDMP	DU	О	О	О	na	О	•	fna	na		
Watertown GSA/ Watertown, MA	SDMP	U	О	О	О	na	О	•	fna	na		
AAR Manufacturing/ Livonia. MI	SDMP, FTL	Th	•	О	О	na	О	•	fna	na		
DOW Chemical Co./Bay City, MI	SDMP	Th,Ra	О	О	О	na	О	•	•	na		
Mich Dept. Nat. Resources/ Kawkawlin MI	SDMP	Th	О	О	О	na	О	•	fna	na		
NWI Land Mgnt/MI	FTL	Th	О	О	О	na	О	•	fna	na		
SCA Services/ Kawkawlin, MI	SDMP	Th	•	О	О	na	О			na		
Recommended Actions			Guidance, RIS	RIS	RIS	RIS	RIS	Guidance, RIS	Rule, Guidance, RIS	Rule, Guidance, RIS	Commission Paper	

• - Recommendations could be beneficial by resolving site-specific issues, facilitating decommissioning; or minimizing the potential for future decommissioning funding shortfalls.

O - Recommendations address general questions of interest, but do not resolve site-specific issues.

na - Recommendations not applicable to the site. fna -fiancially not applicable because site is either not licensed, government owned, or without significant assets. Att SDMP: Site Decommissioning Management Plan sites; COM: Complex sites; FTL: Formerly Terminated Licensed Sites;

Attachment 11

* For those existing decommissioning sites where the financial assurance issue is marked by the symbol •, five of the eight recommendations apply (recommendations 7.3 to 7.7 in Attachment 10).

** Recommendations are designed to apply to <u>operating</u> sites to mitigate the potential for future legacy sites.

Existing Decommissioning Sites	Type of Site and Contamination		License Termination Rule Issues (•=provides benefit; O=addresses general questions; na=not applicable)									
Name, Location	Туре	Nuclides	Restricted Release	Unimportant Quantities	Alt. U/TH Standard	On-site Disposal**	Control of Solid Mat.	Realistic Scenarios	Financial Assurance *	Operational Changes**	Intentional Mixing	
Mallinkrodt Chem Inc/ St Louis, MO	СОМ	U, Th	О	О	О	na	0	•	•	na		
C.E. Hematite/ Festus Township, MO	СОМ	U, Th	О	О	О	na	0	•	•	na		
Heritage Minerals/ Lakehurst, NJ	SDMP	Th	О	О	О	na	О	•	•	na		
Shieldalloy Metallurigal Corp/Newfield, NJ	SDMP	U, Th	•	О	О	na	0	•	•	na		
Fansteel Inc./Muskogee, OK	SDMP	U, Th	О	О	О	na	О	•	•	na		
Kaiser Aluminum/Tulsa, OK	SDMP, FTL	Th	О	О	О	na	О	•	fna	na		
Kerr-McGee/Cimarron, OK	SDMP	U, Tc-99	О	О	О	na	О	•	•	na		
Kerr-McGee/Cushing, OK	SDMP	U. Th	О	О	О	na	О	•	•	na		
BWXT Inc./Vandergrift, PA	SDMP	Am-241, Pu, U, Co- 60, Cs-137	0	О	О	na	О	•	•	na		
Recommended Actions			Guidance, RIS	RIS	RIS	RIS	RIS	Guidance, RIS	Rule, Guidance, RIS	Rule, Guidance, RIS	Commission Paper	

• - Recommendations could be beneficial by resolving site-specific issues, facilitating decommissioning; or minimizing the potential for future decommissioning funding shortfalls.

O - Recommendations address general questions of interest, but do not resolve site-specific issues.

na - Recommendations not applicable to the site. fna -fiancially not applicable because site is either not licensed, government owned, or without significant assets.

--- Recommendations are not yet available for Issue 9 on intentional mixing, but are planned to be available in September 2003.

SDMP: Site Decommissioning Management Plan sites; COM: Complex sites FTL: Formerly Terminated Licensed Sites;

* For those existing decommissioning sites where the financial assurance issue is marked by the symbol •, five of the eight recommendations apply (recommendations 7.3 to 7.7 in Attachment 10).

** Recommendations are designed to apply to operating sites to mitigate the potential for future legacy sites.

Existing Decommissioning SitesType of Site and Contamination			License Termination Rule Issues (●=provides benefit; ○=addresses general questions; na=not applicable)									
Name, Location	Туре	Nuclides	Restricted Release	Unimportant Quantities	Alt. U/TH Standard	On-site Disposal**	Control of Solid Mat.	Realistic Scenarios	Financial Assurance *	Operational Changes**	Intentional Mixing	
BWXT-SLDA/Vandergrift, PA	SDMP	U, DU, Am-241, Pu, Th	О	О	О	na	О	•	•	na		
Cabot Corp./Reading, PA	SDMP	U, Th	О	О	О	na	О	•	•	na		
Kiski Valley Water Pollution Control Authority/Vandergrift, PA	СОМ	U	О	О	О	na	О	•	fna	na		
Molycorp Inc./Washington, PA	SDMP	U, Th	О	О	О	na	О	•	•	na		
Molycorp Inc./York, PA	SDMP	U, Th	О	О	О	na	О	•	•	na		
Permagrain Products/Media, PA	SDMP	Sr-90	О	О	na	na	О	•	fna	na		
Safety Light Corp./Bloomsburg, PA	SDMP	Ra-226, Cs-137, Sr- 90, Am-241	О	О	na	na	О	•	fna	na		
Superbolt/Carnegie, PA	FTL	U	О	О	О	na	О	•	fna	na		
Westinghouse Electric/Waltz Mill, PA	SDMP	Sr-90, CS-137	О	О	О	na	О	•	•	na		
Recommended Actions			Guidance, RIS	RIS	RIS	RIS	RIS	Guidance, RIS	Rule, Guidance, RIS	Rule, Guidance, RIS	Commission Paper	

• - Recommendations could be beneficial by resolving specific issues, facilitating decommissioning; or minimizing the potential for future decommissioning funding shortfalls.

O - Recommendations address general questions of interest, but do not resolve site-specific issues.

na - Recommendations not applicable to the site. fna -financially not applicable because site is either not licensed, government owned, or withoout significant assets.

--- Recommendations are not yet available for Issue 9 on intentional mixing, but are planned to be available in September 2003.

SDMP: Site Decommissioning Management Plan sites; COM: Complex sites FTL: Formerly Terminated Licensed Sites.

* For those existing decommissioning sites where the financial assurance issue is marked by the symbol •, five of the eight recommendations apply (recommendations 7.3 to 7.7 in Attachment 10).

** Recommendations are designed to apply to <u>operating</u> sites to mitigate the potential for future legacy sites.

Existing Decommissioning Sites Name, Location	Type of Site and Contamination		License Termination Rule Issues (•=provides benefit; O=addresses general questions; na=not applicable)								
	Туре	Nuclides	Restricted Release	Unimportant Quantities	Alt. U/TH Standard	On-site Disposal**	Control of Solid Mat.	Realistic Scenarios	Financial Assurance *	Operational Changes**	Intentional Mixing
Whittaker Corp./Greenville, PA	SDMP	U, Th, Ra	О	О	О	na	О	•	•	na	
Union Carbide/Lawrenceburg, TN	СОМ	U	О	О	О	na	О	•	fna	na	
West Valley, NY	СОМ	Numerous radionuclid es including: Cs-137, Sr- 90, Pu, Am, U, others	•	0	0	na	0	•	fna	na	
Number of Existing Sites Benefited			4-● 26-○	0-● 30-○	0-● 30-○	na	0-● 30-◯	30-● 0-○	17-● 13-fna	na	
Future Decommissioning Sites			•	0	0	•	О	•	•	•	

• - Recommendations could be beneficial by resolving site-specific issues, facilitating decommissioning; or minimizing the potential for future decommissioning funding shortfalls.

O - Recommendations address general questions of interest, but do not resolve site-specific issues

na - Recommendations not applicable to the site. fna - financially not applicable because site is either not licensed, government owned, or without significant assets.

--- Recommendations are not yet available for Issue 9 on intentional mixing, but are planned to be available in September 2003.

SDMP: Site Decommissioning Management Plan sites; COM: Complex sites FTL: Formerly Terminated Licensed Sites.

* For those existing decommissioning sites where the financial assurance issue is marked by the symbol •, five of the eight recommundations apply (recommendations 7.3-7.7 in Attachment 10).

** Recommendations are designed to apply to <u>operating</u> sites to mitigate the potential for future legacy sites.