

April 11, 2003 NG-03-0297

Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Attn: Document Control Desk Mail Station 0-P1-17 Washington, DC 20555-0001

Subject:	Duane Arnold Energy Center
	Docket No: 50-331
	Op. License No: DPR-49
	Response to Request for Additional Information Regarding
	Duane Arnold Energy Center Relief Requests NDE-R001,
	Revision 1 and NDE-R045
References:	1. March 29, 2002, NG-02-0268, K. Putnam (NMC) to NRC,
	Relief Requests NDE-R001 Revision 1, NDE-R028 Revision 2,
	NDE-R044 and NDE-R045
	2. March 14, 2003, D. Hood (NRC) to M. Peifer (NMC), Duane
	Arnold Energy Center - Request for Additional Information for
	Relief Requests NDE-R001 and NDE-R045 (TAC No. MB4800
	and MB4803)
File:	A-100, A-286

By letter dated March 29, 2002 (Reference 1), Nuclear Management Company, LLC (NMC) requested approval of four relief requests concerning the Duane Arnold Energy Center (DAEC) inservice inspection (ISI) program. By letter dated March 14, 2003 (Reference 2), the NRC requested additional information regarding two of these requests - NDE-R045 and NDE-R001, Revision 1. Additional information to support the Staff's review of NDE-R045 is included in the attachment.

After further review, NMC has decided to withdraw NDE-R001, Revision 1. A revised submittal requesting relief will be made at a later date.

April 11, 2003 NG-03-0297

.

Should you have any questions regarding this matter, please contact this office.

Sincerely,

Mark A. Peifer DAEC Site Vice President

- Attachments: 1. Response to Request for Additional Information Regarding Duane Arnold Energy Center Relief Requests NDE-R001, Revision 1 and NDE-R045
 - 2. Calibration Sheets and Record

cc: F. Dohmen

R. Severson C. Rushworth D. Hood (NRC-NRR) J. Dyer (Region III) NRC Resident Office IRMS

Attachment 1 to NG-03-0297 Page 1 of 2

Response to Request for Additional Information Regarding Duane Arnold Energy Center Relief Requests NDE-R001, Revision 1 and NDE-R045

By letter dated March 29, 2002, Nuclear Management Company, LLC (the licensee) forwarded four relief requests for the third 10-year interval of the Inservice Inspection (ISI) Program for Duane Arnold Energy Center and requested Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) staff review and approval. The NRC staff finds that additional information is needed for two of the four relief requests:

Relief Request NDE-R001, Revision 1 (TAC No. MB4800)

- 1. Please provide the non-destructive examination (NDE) reports for reactor vessel welds VCB-B004, HMA-B002, and VCB-C005, complete with sketches showing the limitations regarding the extent of examination coverage. (The licensee's letter of March 29, 2002, only provided data for one of the four reactor vessel welds, HCC-C001, for which relief is requested).
- 2. Is relief being requested in advance of performing the NDE on the three reactor vessel welds listed in item 1 above? This is different from the remainder of the relief requests where the examination is performed, reviewed against previous interval results and coverage calculated. If so, please provide sketches of the limitations on examination coverage and discuss the results found from testing of the welds during the second 10-year ISI Interval. Indicate whether any pattern of degradation was found and, if so, discuss this pattern. Also, identify the expected percentage of coverage.
- 3. What action will be taken if the amount of coverage for which relief is sought should not be obtained?
- 4. Page 3 of Attachment 1 to the licensee's letter of March 29, 2002, states that HCC-C001 (the vessel head to flange weld) was examined as follows:

A third of this weld was examined during the RFO14 (1^{st} period) with a weld coverage of 36.8 percent. The second third was examined during RFO17 (2^{nd} period) with a weld coverage of 70.54 percent. The third that was examined in RFO14 will be re-examined to obtain the 70.54 percent in the 3^{rd} period.

This infers that the final third of HCC-C001 (with partial coverage) will not be examined and it will be replaced by re-examining a portion of the weld examined during the 1st period. Please explain the limitation that prevents partial coverage of this final third.

DAEC Response:

The DAEC's request for approval of Revision 1 to NDE-R001 is withdrawn.

Attachment 1 to NG-03-0297 Page 2 of 2

Relief Request NDE-R045 (TAC No. MB4803)

1. This relief request addresses scram discharge weld SDN-CF010. Please indicate whether the NDE results were compared with previous data on this weld and whether there was any change in the results.

DAEC Response 1:

The NDE results have been compared with previous data; no indications were identified in either examination.

2. Please explain why the required coverage cannot be achieved using a two-sided or one-sided full Vee examination.

DAEC Response 2:

A two-sided examination was performed on the accessible areas. As shown on the attached calibration sheets and sketches, the maximum possible coverage was obtained with the configuration limitations. Methods used to obtain maximum coverage included reducing the dimension of the wedge edge-to-beam entry point, and increasing the beam angle.

3. In the "Basis for Relief," you state that the adoption of Code Case N-460 "permits a reduction in examination coverage of Class 1 welds..."; however, your relief request pertains to Class 2 welds. Please address the applicability of your request relative to Class 2 welds.

DAEC Response 3:

Code Case N-460 provides alternative examination coverage for both Class 1 and Class 2 welds; the aforementioned reference to Class 1 welds is a typographical error in the "Basis for Relief." The correct verbiage is "permits a reduction in examination coverage of Class 2 welds..."

Attachment 2 to NG-03-0297

•

.

•

.

Calibration Sheets and Record

(4 Pages)

ULTRASONIC CALIBRATION DATA SHEET (MANUAL EXAMINATION)								
Site .: DUNE ARNO	Calibration Sheet No.: C-0012 Linearity Sheet No.: L-0001							
Procedure No.: 12.11.	Procedure No.: 1211.19 Revision: 2							
Instrument PANAN Manufacturer Search Unit KBA Manufacturer Cable <u>RG-175</u> Type	EPOCH II Model No S.O MHZ Freq No of Connect	45/	91040 , Senal No <u>\$4674</u> , Inciden	. 35 * 1 to wedge front				
Calibration Standard	TE-06 Senal No		Maleri Maleri	S 8"7 Sizen A Thermo	hickness	72 Temp 166599	°F	
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$				INSTRUMENT SETTINGS INSTRUMENT SETTINGS DAC Construction Sensitivity Gain-Axial Scan Y_4 Gain-Circ. Scan Y_4 Gain-Circ. Scan Y_4 Pulse H16H Pulse H16H Damping Yelocity Rep Rate F1K. Velocity Yelocity Filter N Sweep 2.2C Frequency Sweep Reject O'/. / OFF Jack K R T				
Field Simulator: Rompas S/N LMT= 107			לי					
REFLECTOR:	10	00	end 1D	Initial Calibration Ti	me	13:01	Verificati	on Times
MAX AMPLITUDE:	80	35	20	Final Verification Ti	me	14.22	P/A	NIA
SWEEP: 3.0		6.0 44	9.0 44	_				
WELDS EXAMINED REPORT NO. SDN-CF010 T01143			COMMENTS: 42 MEASURED ANGLE					
					·			
When the series The series The series Series								
							Page	_1of

NG-142Z Rev. 2

:

•

ULTRASONIC CALIBRATION DATA SHEET (MANUAL EXAMINATION)						
Site : DUANE ARNO	LD		Calibration S Linearity She	Sheet No.: (C.0013	
Procedure No.: 17 14 19 Revision: 2						
Instrument PANAM Manufacturer Search Unit KBA Manufacturer Cable RG-1- Type Calibration Standard Couplant HUMEK	ETRICS 	3 . 2.5 Size Lengt Materi 1 9 5 0 Batch No	EPOCHIL Model No Freq Angle/Mag	910402 Serial No Serial No Serial No Serial No Temp Serial No	<u> </u>	
A 100 M 90 P 80 L 70 I 60 T 50 U 40 D 30 E 20 10 Sweep: 0 - 10 =	10 11 11 11 11 12 12 12 12 12 12	1.925	INSTRUMENT SETTINGSDAC ConstructionSensitivityGain-Axial Scan $\angle 5$ Gain-Axial Scan $\angle 5$ Gain-Circ. Scan \angle /A Pulse $H1GH$ Range 2.42 Damping $400A$ Rep Rate $F \setminus X$ Filter $0 N$ Sweep 2.42 Frequency 2.25 Reject $07.70FF$ Jack $\square T$			
Field Simulator: ROMPAS S/N_LAT-107			CALIBRATION VERIFICATION			
REFLECTOR:	١D	00	Initial Calibration Time	13:11	Verificati	on Times
MAX AMPLITUDE:	80	20	Final Verification Time	1420	٨/٢	N/A
SWEEP:	4.0	8.0				
GAIN: (dB)	65	65				
WELDS EXAMINED	RE	PORT NO.	COMMENTS: 50 MEASURED ANGLE			
SDN.CF010	I	01143				
					· · · • • • · · · · · ·	
			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
				<u>_</u> <u>_</u>		
<u>W.L. THOMAS TO 02/08/01</u> <u>Hand Miney 2/19/01</u> <u>Willerin Mullan 321.01</u> Examiner Level Date Level III Review Date Date Date Page of						
		<u> </u>				

NG-142Z Rev. 2

- •

ULTRASONIC CALIBRATION DATA SHEET (MANUAL EXAMINATION)							
Site .: DUANE ALNO	.D		Calibration Sheet No.: <u>C-0014</u> Linearity Sheet No.: L-0001				
Procedure No.: (2.11.1	Procedure No : 11 H LG Revision: 7						
Procedule No $I \ge TT$							
Couplant HUMEX	Serial No	Mater 19565 Batch No	A Size/Thicknes	s Temp er <u>16659</u> Secal No	9		
A 100 M 90 P 80 L 70 I 60 T 50 U 40 D 30 E 20 10 Sweep: 0 - 10 =	.С ,464 =,6° =,6° =,6°	1.937	INSTRUMENT SETTINGSDAC ConstructionSensitivityGain-Axial Scan $(1.4')$ Gain-Axial Scan $(1.4')$ Gain-Circ. Scan $\sqrt{/4}$ Gain-Circ. Scan $\sqrt{/4}$ Pulse $H16H$ Range $2.4'1$ Damping 4004 Delay $0,0'$ Rep Rate $F1X$ Velocity $.1270$ Filter $0.0'$ Sweep $2.4'1$ Frequency $1.25'$ Resolution $\sqrt{/a}$ Reject $0/2$ $00'FF$ Jack $[2] R$ T				
Field Simulator: ROMPAS S/N_LMT.107			CALIBRATION VERIFICATION				
REFLECTOR:	ıD	oD	Initial Calibration Time	0115	Venficati	on Times	
MAX AMPLITUDE:	80	20	Final Verification Time	1220	1600 N/A	1005 N/A	
SWEEP:	4.0	8.0					
GAIN: (dB) 61.4		61.4					
WELDS EXAMINED REPORT NO			COMMENTS: 60 MEASURED ANGLE, USED				
5 DN- CF010 I01143			MEWE MODEL TO GAIN AHIGHER COVERAGE				
			CONFIGURATION.				
We Thomas TT 2/13/01 Hack Stimen 2/19/01 Certhen Mulli- 3-3/01							
Examiner Leve		Level III KØV	NOW (Dale '		Page	/of	

NG-142Z Rev. 2

- -

