50-390 CIVPet.al Staff Exhibit 50B-Rect 6/17/02 Official Transcript of Proceedings RAS 6158

## **NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION**

Title:

Interview of Ronald O. Grover

DOCKETED USHRC

**(** 

2003 MAR | | AM | |: 4|

OFFICE OF 1 1E SECRETARY
RULEMAKINGS AND
ADJUDICATIONS STAFF

**Docket Number:** 

50-390-CivP and 50-327-CivP

Location:

Chattanooga, Tennessee

Date:

Thursday, July 11, 1996

Work Order No.:

NRC-215

Pages 1-65

NEAL R. GROSS AND CO., INC. **Court Reporters and Transcribers** 1323 Rhode Island Avenue, N.W.

Template=SECY-028

SECY-02

ACLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION Official Exh No. Staff 50 8 Water No. 50-390 In the metter of TVA Staff\_ IDENTIFIED\_ Applicant . RECEIVED. REJECTED \_ Intervenor WITHDRAWN \_ Other \_ DATE due 17, 2007 Witness \_ BHM

Clerk \_\_

Washington, D.C. 20005 (202) 234-4433

	1
1	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
2	NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION
3	+ + + +
4	OFFICE OF INVESTIGATIONS
5	INTERVIEW
6	x
7	IN THE MATTER OF:
8	INTERVIEW OF : Docket Nos.
9	RONALD O. GROVER : 50-390-CivP
10	(CLOSED) : 50-327-CivP
11	x
12	Thursday, July 11, 1996
13	
14	
15	The above-entitled interview was conducted
16	at Chattanooga, Tennessee.
17	
18	BEFORE:
19	Special Agent David Van Bocker
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

## 2 1 PROCEEDINGS SPECIAL AGENT VAN BOCKER: This is Dave 2 3 Van Bocker, and today's date is 7/1/196. I'm talking with Ronald O. Grover in Chattanooga, Tennessee. 4 5 that how you pronounce your name, Grover? MR. GROVER: Yes. 6 7 SPECIAL AGENT VAN BOCKER: In regard to a Department of Labor complaint filed by Gary L. Fiser. 8 9 OIG File Number is 2D-169. We'll be discussing certain issues of Mr. Fiser's complaint, in which he 10 alleges TVA posted his job that they offered him as 11 12 settlement from a previous complaint in 1994. Fiser also had reason to believe that they 13 14 did so with malice, and that the posting was a smoke screen designed to hide their true intentions, which 15 16 was the pre-selection of another candidate for this 17 position. background 18 let Ron, me get some information on you first. 19 20 MR. GROVER: Okay. SPECIAL AGENT VAN BOCKER: Your full name 21 Is that correct? is Ronald O. Grover. 22 23 MR. GROVER: Correct.

SPECIAL AGENT VAN BOCKER:

And what is

your position?

24

1	MR. GROVER: Well, up to about two weeks
2	ago I was the Chemistry or I was the Manager of
3	Chemistry and Environmental Protection for TVA
4	Nuclear. And I said that because we've since had, you
5	know, an organizational change.
6	SPECIAL AGENT VAN BOCKER: Right. We'll
7	get into that in just a minute.
8	MR. GROVER: Okay. Okay.
9	SPECIAL AGENT VAN BOCKER: Yeah. Okay.
10	This is TVA Nuclear, Chattanooga. Your work number is
11	751
12	MR. GROVER: 7826.
13	SPECIAL AGENT VAN BOCKER: Your home
14	address?
15	MR. GROVER:
16	
17	
18	SPECIAL AGENT VAN BOCKER: And home phone?
19	MR. GROVER:
20	SPECIAL AGENT VAN BOCKER: Okay. Social
21	Security number.
22	MR. GROVER
23	SPECIAL AGENT VAN BOCKER: Okay. You said
24	you recently had a reorganization. Prior to that, you

1	served as Manager of Chemistry and Environmental
2	Protection.
3	MR. GROVER: Correct.
4	SPECIAL AGENT VAN BOCKER: Is that
5	correct?
6	MR. GROVER: Uh-huh.
7	SPECIAL AGENT VAN BOCKER: And you were
8	Gary's supervisor?
9	MR. GROVER: Correct.
10	SPECIAL AGENT VAN BOCKER: Is that right?
11	MR. GROVER: Uh-huh.
12	SPECIAL AGENT VAN BOCKER: You're his
13	direct supervisor?
14	MR. GROVER: Correct.
15	SPECIAL AGENT VAN BOCKER: How long have
16	you been in your position?
17	MR. GROVER: Since well, this
18	particular job classification since about July of
19	1994.
20	SPECIAL AGENT VAN BOCKER: '94. Okay.
21	Now were you here when Gary was given his present
22	position?
23	MR. GROVER: Yes.
24	SPECIAL AGENT VAN BOCKER: During the
25	settlement agreement?

1	MR. GROVER: Yeah. I came on board
2	February the 28 <sup>th</sup> , 19 27 <sup>th</sup> or 28 <sup>th</sup> . I believe it was
3	the 28 <sup>th</sup> , 1994. And Gary's settlement was still in
4	progress, and I believe he came on board subsequently,
5	I believe it was around April of '94 time frame. I
6	don't have the exact date but he was so I was here
7	probably a month and a half, two months before he
8	returned to work
9	SPECIAL AGENT VAN BOCKER: Okay.
10	MR. GROVER: in the capacity of Program
11	Manager, Chemical Engineer.
12	SPECIAL AGENT VAN BOCKER: Okay. Now when
13	he came on board in April then, or thereabouts
14	MR. GROVER: Uh-huh.
15	SPECIAL AGENT VAN BOCKER: what
16	position was he given at that time?
17	MR. GROVER: Yeah, he was given a PG8
18	Program Manager's position in Chemistry. Okay. At
19	the time, I hired him as a Chemistry Manager, we were
20	in a different organizational structure.
21	SPECIAL AGENT VAN BOCKER: Uh-huh.
22	MR. GROVER: Chemistry was a separate
23	was an organization within itself, and we had
24	Environmental Protection as a separate group. Okay.
25	So the Chem we had Chemistry as one group,

1	Environmental Protection as one group, and Radcon was
2	a third group. And then we had we were
3	basically our group is rolled up under an
4	organization called Chemical Support. Okay. Which
5	Wilson McArthur was the manager of at the time, and he
6	had other groups as part of that organization. I'm
7	sorry. It was called Technical Programs, not
8	Technical Support. Manager of Technical Programs.
9	SPECIAL AGENT VAN BOCKER: Technical
10	Programs.
11	MR. GROVER: Yeah.
12	SPECIAL AGENT VAN BOCKER: Under who?
13	MR. GROVER: Under Wilson McArthur. And
14	we had Fire Protection, Emergency Planning
15	Preparedness. We had the World Lab which is down in
16	Muscle Sholls (phonetic). Bill Rainey's (phonetic)
17	organization, and those are the other
18	SPECIAL AGENT VAN BOCKER: Is this
19	basically the organizational structure at that time?
20	MR. GROVER: Yes.
21	SPECIAL AGENT VAN BOCKER: And this is
22	Gary was given this position. Is that
23	MR. GROVER: Yeah, Gary was given this
24	position here.
25	SPECIAL AGENT VAN BOCKER: Okay.

	· '
1	MR. GROVER: And those two individuals
2	were
3	SPECIAL AGENT VAN BOCKER: This is you
4	right here. Is that correct?
5	MR. GROVER: Correct.
6	SPECIAL AGENT VAN BOCKER: You're under
7	McArthur.
8	MR. GROVER: Right.
9	SPECIAL AGENT VAN BOCKER: That's the
10	structure.
11	MR. GROVER: That was the structure when
12	· I hired in.
13	SPECIAL AGENT VAN BOCKER: Okay.
14	MR. GROVER: And then we reorganized. I
15	believe it was, you know, July time frame of that
16	year, same year, '94. And that's when we went to the
17	well, the structure up until the one we just went
18	to now. We were in that structure. Do you have an
19	org chart for that?
20	SPECIAL AGENT VAN BOCKER: I believe I do.
21	Let's see.
22	MR. GROVER: Okay.
23	SPECIAL AGENT VAN BOCKER: Here it is.
24	See if this is correct, and you can explain it to me.
25	Is that wrong?

1	MR. GROVER: Yeah, this is still the old
2	see, this was the Technical Programs organization.
3	SPECIAL AGENT VAN BOCKER: Oh, that's the
4	old one.
5	MR. GROVER: Yeah, that's the old one.
6	This is just basically, this is a subset of this
7	one.
8	MR. GROVER: Okay.
9	SPECIAL AGENT VAN BOCKER: Okay. I think
10	I have the present here. Oh, here it is.
11	MR. GROVER: Oh, you got it?
12	SPECIAL AGENT VAN BOCKER: Yeah. Okay.
13	So you reorganized in July of 1994.
14	MR. GROVER: Correct.
15	SPECIAL AGENT VAN BOCKER: And did you
16	combine then Chemistry
17	MR. GROVER: Yeah, we combined Chemistry
18	and Environmental Protection because we had you
19	know, this was the time when they offered the
20	incentive plan. We had to reduce the numbers, and we
21	combined the two groups, Chemistry and, you know
22	basically, Technical Programs was split up, and we
23	combined Chemistry and Environmental, and several
24	other groups were split out from Technical Support.
25	And Technical Support basically didn't exist any more.

1	SPECIAL AGENT VAN BOCKER: Okay. During
2	this reorganization, you also downsized somewhat?
3	MR. GROVER: Yes.
4	SPECIAL AGENT VAN BOCKER: You remember
5	how about the cut back
6	MR. GROVER: I think we had between
7	Chemistry and Environmental, I think there were like
8	eight there were eight or nine people, and we
9	downsized to what, six, including myself? Yeah, six
10	including myself.
11	SPECIAL AGENT VAN BOCKER: Six people?
12	MR. GROVER: Yeah.
13	SPECIAL AGENT VAN BOCKER: Okay. Now
14	these positions
15	MR. GROVER: There were like nine total,
16	because we had lost three that were had they
17	were in positions.
18	SPECIAL AGENT VAN BOCKER: Okay. You lost
19	three people.
20	MR. GROVER: We lost three people.
21	SPECIAL AGENT VAN BOCKER: Okay.
22	MR. GROVER: So we went from
23	SPECIAL AGENT VAN BOCKER: Okay. Now
24	these positions at that time, were they the job
25	description rewritten, and they had to

1	MR. GROVER: Right. What we did was we
2	rewrote the job, and we basically combined functions
3	between Chemistry and Environmental, and wrote one
4	well, actually we wrote two position descriptions.
5	Okay. We wrote one for all the PG8 level positions,
6	and then we wrote a separate one. We had one PG7
7	position, so we had the positions that reported to me,
8	we had four PG8s, and one PG7.
9	SPECIAL AGENT VAN BOCKER: Uh-huh.
10	MR. GROVER: Now at the time we wrote the
11	job descriptions and they were hayed out, that's how
12	they hayed out. I'm just prefacing it by saying that
13	this was the level. We didn't know the level at the
14	time, but we wrote we had two groups. Okay.
15	SPECIAL AGENT VAN BOCKER: Uh-huh.
16	MR. GROVER: Four in one group, and one in
17	another group.
18	SPECIAL AGENT VAN BOCKER: Okay. It was
19	during this time then that Gary he had his position
20	or I'm getting his position when he came on
21	board then, he was given this position. That's
22	correct?
23	MR. GROVER: Correct.
24	SPECIAL AGENT VAN BOCKER: And then he
25	reorganized, and then they rewrote the job

1	description, so he had to re-apply for one of these
2	positions. Is that correct?
3	MR. GROVER: That's correct. And that was
4	you know, that was because we combined
5	SPECIAL AGENT VAN BOCKER: I understand.
6	MR. GROVER: We rechanged the whole job.
7	SPECIAL AGENT VAN BOCKER: He was selected
8	along with these other with Harvey, Sorrell and
9	whatever
10	MR. GROVER: And Chandra.
11	SPECIAL AGENT VAN BOCKER: How do you
12	pronounce his
13	MR. GROVER: Chandra.
14	SPECIAL AGENT VAN BOCKER: Chandra.
15	MR. GROVER: Yeah, C-H-A-N-D-R-A.
16	SPECIAL AGENT VAN BOCKER: Okay.
17	MR. GROVER: And we had a fifth individual
18	who filled the PG7 spot.
19	SPECIAL AGENT VAN BOCKER: Okay. Now is
20	this correct, that this is basically the same thing
21	you're doing now, but reversing it? In other words,
22	you started here as separate.
23	MR. GROVER: Uh-huh.
24	SPECIAL AGENT VAN BOCKER: Then they
25	combined them here.

MR. GROVER:

SPECIAL AGENT VAN BOCKER: Rewrote the job description, and now you're going back and you're splitting it again, and everybody is having -- and are rewriting the job description, and --

Right.

MR. GROVER: Well, in some ways it's yes, and in some ways it's no, because here we had a distinct -- two distinct functional areas.

SPECIAL AGENT VAN BOCKER: Uh-huh.

MR. GROVER: We had an Environmental area, and we had a Chemistry area, and we married the two.

Okay. With the intent of over time -- and with one PD, with the intent of over time --

SPECIAL AGENT VAN BOCKER: Uh-huh.

MR. GROVER: -- working people in, because you know, what you had, you didn't have anyone with -- that was equally adept in the Environmental side as you -- and the Chemistry side at the same time. You had the Chemistry, you know, with people that their main focus was Chemistry, and their main emphasis was Chemistry. And then you had people where their main emphasis was Environmental, so the plan -- the objective was -- with this organization was to go to one combined PD, and then cross-train -- over time, we would cross-train and cross-fertilize individuals,

13 such that you would basically be able to function and 1 2 carry out, you know, the needs of the plants and so 3 forth with a smaller staff, but they're trained and 4 capable, and over time they build up their expertise 5 to do the work in both sides of the house. And you 6 can just -- you know, you should be able 7 interchange all your individuals. 8 SPECIAL AGENT VAN BOCKER: Right. 9 MR. GROVER: So that was the long term 10 objective of that organization there. 11

SPECIAL AGENT VAN BOCKER: Okav.

MR. GROVER: Here in this particular reorg, the decision was made to basically keep -reduce, or split out the Environmental function. Okay. At first, you know, it was -- the decision was we'd just delete it all together, and not have an Environmental presence in Corporate --

SPECIAL AGENT VAN BOCKER: Uh-huh.

MR. GROVER: -- and then shift -- just put all the burden back to the site. I think that was rethought after several discussions, and it was decided to combine it with the Rad Waste position in the Radcon side, and for a year, and then drop that function all together. That's what I --

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

1 SPECIAL AGENT VAN BOCKER: So you broke 2 off the Environmental function. 3 MR. GROVER: Broke off Environmental, but 4 kept the Chemistry, but keep the Chemistry function and reduce the number of people you've got 5 Chemistry. But the direction was that -- to now take 6 7 just the two Chemistry positions that we want to keep, 8 write them specific to, you know, basically the 9 functions that we want to align ourselves with, and 10 that's basically having a BWR specialist and a PWR 11 specialist. Okay. 12 SPECIAL AGENT VAN BOCKER: Now --That's the type of plant. 13 MR. GROVER: 14 BWR is Boiling Water Reactor, and that's -- we had --15 that's Brown's Ferry. SPECIAL AGENT VAN BOCKER: Boiling Water 16 17 Reactor. 18 MR. GROVER: Yeah. And the PWR is a 19 Pressurized Water Reactor. Okay. And that's just --20 we got Sequoia and Watts Bar are PWRs, and 21 Fitzpatrick -- I'm sorry, not Fitzpatrick. 22 Ferry is a BWR, so the idea was to align -- the direction was to align -- rewrite the job descriptions 23 and align them such that you have one position 24 description that is -- the focal point is have a BWR 25

point of contact, and then the other one have a PWR point of contact. And they -- you know, they would be the specialist in those areas, but all the other tasks under those -- under that first bullet would be -basically would capture the current task that we're doing, you know, that we're currently doing anyway. SPECIAL AGENT VAN BOCKER: Uh-huh. MR. GROVER: And that doesn't mean that the person that's a BWR, and at Brown's Ferry, that that's all they're going to be doing, is supporting,

you know, just doing things down at Brown's Ferry. They're going to be doing other things at Sequoia and Watts Bar, the same thing as the PWR person would be doing things at Brown's Ferry too. So they still would work across the board at all the plants based on how we split out the tasks, because you got -- you know, you've got a set number of tasks, so you've got to balance them accordingly. See, it would be unbalanced if you just say okay, we'll all split it by plant because you've got two in one and one in the other.

> SPECIAL AGENT VAN BOCKER: Sure.

MR. GROVER: So you're still going to -you know, and based on the expertise of the individual quy. I mean, essentially we got it split

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

out now based on, you know, expertise and what people can do, and where you can get -- provide the best support.

SPECIAL AGENT VAN BOCKER: Uh-huh.

MR. GROVER: So it's a little bit different in that we -- the direction we've given was to do it that way. Okay. Which in my opinion, made it real challenging because you really -- in doing it that way, you really didn't change the actual --- you know, on the working level basis, you didn't change from a practical level -- let me back that up.

From a practical level, you didn't change the basic functions of that PWR position. Okay. Because we didn't get a chance to fully implement this organization and really, in practicality, in having the people merge and, you know, get into the Environmental and that sort of thing.

SPECIAL AGENT VAN BOCKER: Uh-huh.

MR. GROVER: So on paper, the position description captured Chemistry and Environmental. Okay. But the Chemistry types, Fiser, Harvey and Chandra, basically were -- they were involved mostly with their primary area of expertise, Chemistry. And we were just beginning -- you know, up until a couple of months ago, we were -- you know, we were just

beginning to try to get them starting to merge into Environmental and so forth. SPECIAL AGENT VAN BOCKER: Uh-huh. MR. GROVER: So I say that to say that when you look at it from a practical standpoint, from the old PD to the new PD, from a practical standpoint, it functionally didn't change for Gary. Now on paper it changed, because we had to split out the Environmental, but from a functionality standpoint, most of his duties in that PWR position does not change, as far as what they're going to be doing day-to-day, from this organizational structure to the new organizational structure. SPECIAL AGENT VAN BOCKER: Well, then he's claiming it shouldn't have been advertised, but it needed to be advertised and be fair to everybody, or -- this new position? MR. GROVER: Well, I mean, you know, it's a call that HR and my management, you know, decided and had to make, this, that and the other, based on what we were trying to do. Now, you know, you have to I mean, my understanding is that, you talk to HR.

know, the job has to change significantly to be -- to

warrant, you know, advertisement. If not, then -- and

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

1 you have to reduce numbers, you do -- you run a 2 retention roster. 3 SPECIAL AGENT VAN BOCKER: Right. 4 MR. GROVER: Okay. The problem is from 5 the functionality of it, you know, from what the 6 individual is doing, as far as what they do day-to-7 day, it would be, you know, it's no different. 8 SPECIAL AGENT VAN BOCKER: But they --9 MR. GROVER: The only other way -- my 10 understanding now. I'm not --11 SPECIAL AGENT VAN BOCKER: Okay. 12 MR. GROVER: I'm not the expert, but my 13 understanding is, if you don't significantly change 14 the job, then you have to run a retention roster. Or 15 what you do is you have to rewrite it, and it's got to 16 be a significant change. Like for example, if we took -- now since we went -- when we went to one group, 17 Radcon from Environmental, so if you just took and say 18 19 okay, we're going to write the PDs and just go to one 20 PD, and combine all functions. And then now, you do 21 that, now you reclassified the job, redefined the job. 22 SPECIAL AGENT VAN BOCKER: Uh-huh. 23 MR. GROVER: Now you've got to post that, 24 kind of like we did here, and then have everybody 25 interview for it, and this, that and the other.

1	SPECIAL AGENT VAN BOCKER: Right.
2	MR. GROVER: So that's my understanding.
3	Now I'm not you know, maybe there's some
4	flexibility in that, for whoever to make the call, to
_ 5	determine this, that and the other.
6	SPECIAL AGENT VAN BOCKER: Uh-huh.
7	MR. GROVER: But as far as on paper is
8	concerned, you know, you've got
9	SPECIAL AGENT VAN BOCKER: They did drop
10	the Environmental part.
11	MR. GROVER: Right. We did for paper
12	we did drop the Environmental part. But in
13	practicality, it didn't affect what the individual in
14	that position is doing from a day-to-day standpoint
15	between the old organization and the new organization.
16	Now that's my you know, my perspective on it.
17	Because when they hire in and fill a new position,
18	those individuals will basically be doing the same
19	functions. Now there may be a little we have
20	you know, you split things and move things around a
21	little bit
22	SPECIAL AGENT VAN BOCKER: Sure.
23	MR. GROVER: based on because you're
24	repeating
25	SPECIAL AGENT VAN BOCKER: Uh-huh.

1	MR. GROVER: you know, but you
2	basically be doing the same thing. And they're, you
3	know, they're senior engineering types, and senior
4	specialist types.
5	SPECIAL AGENT VAN BOCKER: Uh-huh.
6	MR. GROVER: They've done work across the
7	board, and that's
8	SPECIAL AGENT VAN BOCKER: Okay.
9	MR. GROVER: It's not a draw back or a
10	limitation.
11	SPECIAL AGENT VAN BOCKER: Well, going
12	back to 1994, when you reorganized at that time.
13	MR. GROVER: Uh-huh.
14	SPECIAL AGENT VAN BOCKER: I forget which
15	one it was. This one here?
16	MR. GROVER: When we went from this one to
17	this one. Yeah.
18	SPECIAL AGENT VAN BOCKER: Okay.
19	MR. GROVER: Well, no. We went from this
20	one to this one.
21	SPECIAL AGENT VAN BOCKER: Okay. When you
22	reorganized and they rewrote the job descriptions
23	again
24	MR. GROVER: Uh-huh.

1	SPECIAL AGENT VAN BOCKER: everybody
2	re-applied. Is that correct?
3	MR. GROVER: Correct.
4	SPECIAL AGENT VAN BOCKER: Did Gary raise
5	any issue at that time? In other words, that's
6	basically the same thing there, his job function
7	really didn't change that much, but they did rewrite
8	the job description. They made you did downsize.
9	You went through you lost a few people.
10	MR. GROVER: Uh-huh.
11	SPECIAL AGENT VAN BOCKER: And did he
12	raise any issue at that time?
13	MR. GROVER: Well, no, because see, the
14	difference is you combined two distinct groups into
15	one.
16	SPECIAL AGENT VAN BOCKER: Right.
17	MR. GROVER: Okay. That's what you did,
18	you combined you took several you took two
19	distinct functions, functional areas and put them into
20	one organization. And with the understanding with the
21	PD, that based on how the PD would be written, that
22	those individuals in that organization, you're going
23	to have to work across the board.
24	SPECIAL AGENT VAN BOCKER: Uh-huh.

MR. GROVER: At some point in time, you will be given Environmental responsibilities.

SPECIAL AGENT VAN BOCKER: Uh-huh.

MR. GROVER: You see what I'm saying? Now you can't start that off, because you can't -- you know, you've got to get the person -- the person has got to get adequate training. He's got to get -- you know, you've got to transition into that.

SPECIAL AGENT VAN BOCKER: So because they combined the two, they had to advertise it. Is that correct?

MR. GROVER: Yes. You completely re -you know, the job position description changed more than what, 60, 70 percent, or whatever the magic number is, or 50 percent. Whatever it is, it significantly change enough where we had to do that. But like I said, that was -- the intent there was to get everyone cross-trained to the point where everyone could do everything. You know, could work in both sides of the house, and do -- you know, and it would balance it out, you know. And we started getting into that, because some of the Environmental guys had Chemistry past duties, and some of the -- and there were Environmental tasks given to the Chemistry guys,

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

so that was starting to happen, but it wasn't full 1 2 scale. You with me? 3 SPECIAL AGENT VAN BOCKER: Uh-huh. 4 MR. GROVER: It wasn't to the point where 5 you wanted to get to. 6 SPECIAL AGENT VAN BOCKER: Right. 7 MR. GROVER: So it was different there. I mean, it was a different situation. 8 It was well 9 explained. Everybody knew what was the intent behind 10 it, and et cetera, et cetera. 11 SPECIAL AGENT VAN BOCKER: Sure. 12 MR. GROVER: Plus, you know, several years 13 passed, and I understand they were combined. 14 know, that Chemistry and Environmental was together 15 before at some point. SPECIAL AGENT VAN BOCKER: 16 MR. GROVER: You know, but they had more 17 18 people, so -- see, it's different when you combine the but you keep all the functional areas 19 20 Here we did something different. 21 combined them and said now, instead of you doing 22 Chemistry, now you're going to have to do some 23 Environmental too. SPECIAL AGENT VAN BOCKER: Uh-huh.

1	MR. GROVER: It's the same thing if we
2	combined and went to one PD in Radon Chemistry and
3	Environmental in this organization up here. Okay.
4	Now, you know, John Doe, you're going to have not
5	only is Chemistry we recognize Chemistry is your
6	expertise, but now you're going to do some
7	Environmental, and we're going to ask you to do some
8	Radcon tasks as well. See, so that's a difference.
9	SPECIAL AGENT VAN BOCKER: Right.
10	MR. GROVER: Versus me saying okay, we're
11	combining, going to one organization. You're doing
12	Chemistry now, and you're going to be doing Chemistry
13	when you come into this new organization. So see,
14	it's a difference in the day-to-day functions or what
15	you're going to be asked to do.
16	SPECIAL AGENT VAN BOCKER: Right. Okay.
17	MR. GROVER: So that's the distinct
18	difference in them.
19	SPECIAL AGENT VAN BOCKER: Okay. I'm glad
20	you clarified that.
21	MR. GROVER: Yeah.
22	SPECIAL AGENT VAN BOCKER: Okay. Let's
23	switch over to, starting to where I asked you to
24	review this, starting in March of 1996.
25	MR. GROVER: Okay.

SPECIAL AGENT VAN BOCKER: You held a staff meeting. What, the budget was underway.

MR. GROVER: Right. We were in -- you know, the budget process had been kicked off, and I think it was prior to that date we had been working with the budget there for at least a couple of weeks prior to that time frame, or just getting, you know -- getting the information together. And prior to that, Tom McGrath had called a staff meeting, and presented, you know, the information and the targets, and you know, what we were asked to --

SPECIAL AGENT · VAN BOCKER: Was this basically your target, to decrease your budget by 15 to 17 percent?

MR. GROVER: Yes. That was part of the information package that he had put out at the meeting, and he didn't say that, you know, that's a definite number. He said these are the targets. These are the guide -- you know, these are -- I guess targets is the right word, but these are what we should initially be looking at doing. Okay. And he basically added to that, but if I see we can exceed that or we can get more, then that's what I expect you, you know, to come with. If you can do 50 percent, that we can do 50 percent, you know.

1 SPECIAL AGENT VAN BOCKER: So he 2 encouraged you to --3 MR. GROVER: Right. You had suggestions, you know, and the bottom line is whatever you come 4 5 back with, you have to justify it. If you come back 6 with less than those targets, you have to justify 7 But I mean, it wasn't something -- I mean, that. 8 that's what he verbalized at the meeting. 9 MR. GROVER: So he said that, you know, he 10 challenged everyone. And he wanted to look at it, and I'm going to make the determination whether that's 11 acceptable, or I'm going to ask you to do more, or 12 13 whatever, so we had to come back with the first cut, 14 you know, the first presentation. SPECIAL AGENT VAN BOCKER: 15 Okay. He --Gary said shortly after the meeting that Charles Kent 16 and Gordon Rich initiated a verbal request to you to 17 18 transfer Harvey -- Sam Harvey's position to Sequoia, 19 stating they had a slot for him. Do you recall that 20 at all? MR. GROVER: Yeah. What happened, I was 21 22 out at Sequoia. We had a meeting going out there and 23 Charles had approached me, and Gordon Rich. Charles is the Radcon Chemistry Manager -- Charles Kent is the 24 Radcon Chemistry Manager at Sequoia, and Gordon Rich 25

is the Chemistry Manager, and they had approached me and said that we would -- you know, we understand what's going on down at Corporate, this, that and the And we have -- we would like to -- for his position -- for Sam to be -- you know, see if we can work out a situation where he could be transferred out there, because Sam's primary role was to -- his lead plant was Sequoia. You know, we were aligned where each Program Manager had a lead plant responsibility. Okay. Sequoia was Sam Harvey's lead. He was the Lead Engineer or Lead Contact for Sequoia. Gary's was Watts Bar, and Chandra was aligned with Brown's Ferry. And they worked across. They did other things at other plants, but that was their main -- you know, their chief responsibility was to provide primary support for those respective plants.

SPECIAL AGENT VAN BOCKER: Uh-huh.

MR. GROVER: So their concern -- you know, they wanted -- you know, because we've done a lot of work with Sam, and spent a lot of time. We worked together. WE worked to try to help turn some things around in Sequoia, and they expressed to me they didn't want to lose his expertise, this, that and the other. And they had -- they would like -- they had a slot there, and they would -- wanted to see what I

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

could do about -- what we had to do to initiate getting him transferred out at Sequoia. And, you know, basically my response to them was well, you know, I'm -- you know, I'm for it. Whatever we need to do to support -- because I said, it's no loss if we keep him in the family. That's -- you know, I knew how their relationship was, this, that and the other, so I mean, they weren't telling me anything new as far as his level of support and everything.

SPECIAL AGENT VAN BOCKER: Uh-huh.

MR. GROVER: But, you know, I said it -personally it wasn't a problem with me. I support is because we're keeping -- if we keep him in the organization -- because we try to work very closely with the site, so it wasn't a loss, as far as if he's at site, or versus Corporate. The same with any of the guys, I mean, we've still got them in the organization structure, and they're still providing the support that's needed to the site. And I told them, I said, let me talk with Sam because it's Sam's decision. I mean, it's not a forced situation or anything like that, so you know, the same time Sam was out there, and I pulled him aside and we had a little discussion. And, you know, I told Sam, I said this is what was asked of me. You know, what's your feelings

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

on it? And he liked the idea, this, that and the I said well -- you know, I told him up front. I said, "Sam, this is your decision. It's your call. You're not being forced to take this", or this, that and the other. My only intent is to make sure everybody, you know, in our situation we're going through, this, that and the other. I want to make sure -- you know, my intent is just to work to -- so everybody has a job -- but this is your call to make. You can -- you know, if you want me to proceed with this, that's fine. Or if you want to just remain down in Corporate and see how that transitions, that's fine too.

SPECIAL AGENT VAN BOCKER: Uh-huh.

MR. GROVER: So I said, "Think about it. Talk with Charles and Gordon again, and let me know, because I'm not going to do anything. And then I'll get back with Gordon and Charles and make sure that this is what everybody wants to do. And, you know, I'll check with HR and proceed, and find out, and talk with the organization downtown and see what we have to do." And so he came back, and I think we got back together the next day, and he said that, "Yeah, I'd like to pursue this." And I said, "Did you talk with Charles and Gordon to make sure this is -- you know,

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1 this is a solidified situation because I don't want 2 you to, you know, get into something and then find out 3 oh, it's not going to work out", this, that and the 4 other, you know. 5 SPECIAL AGENT VAN BOCKER: Right. 6 MR. GROVER: So my concern was with him as 7 an employee and making sure that this was something 8 that would work out and he's comfortable with, you 9 know. 10 SPECIAL AGENT VAN BOCKER: Uh-huh. 11 MR. GROVER: Because it was no -- it 12 wasn't a directive or anything like that, so he did 13 that, and we checked it all out. And they said, "Yeah, let's go with it", this, that and the other. 14 15 So I went and talked with Ben Easley down in HR to 16 find out, you know, a little bit of information, 17 because I told --(End of Side A) 18 19 MR. GROVER: Okay. I wanted to find out 20 what the proper HR procedure is, and then we can go 21 from there. Okay. And so I did talk with Ben Easley, 22 and he told me what -- the way this would have to be 23 initiated.

SPECIAL AGENT VAN BOCKER:

24

Uh-huh.

1	MR. GROVER: I mean, it can be something
2	that can done, but the way it would have to be
3	initiated would be for Sequoia, and probably Charles
4	Kent to initiate a request memorandum, directing it to
5	Tom McGrath. Okay. And then they would have to get
6	it, and then they would proceed from there, and what
7	has to take place. Okay. Because I wasn't familiar
8	whether they had a position available, whether you
9	know, it was a little not quite clear whether they
ro	were wanting to just transfer a whole position out
L1	there.
12	SPECIAL AGENT VAN BOCKER: Uh-huh.
13	MR. GROVER: Versus they had a position
14	and they wanted to transfer him in there, since he
15	you know, he spent a lot of time out there. We were
16	going to get all that worked out.
17	SPECIAL AGENT VAN BOCKER: Uh-huh.
18	MR. GROVER: So I found that out, and I
19	called Charles back and Gordon, and let them know.
20	And then I went and talked to Tom McGrath
21	SPECIAL AGENT VAN BOCKER: Uh-huh.
22	MR. GROVER: because I had thought he
23	was aware of their interest, this, that and the other,
24	because Wilson had McARthur had told me that he,
25	you know, in so many words that he was aware of it,

and that Charles Kent had asked Wilson to find out what was going on about it, this, that and the other.

And he had discussed it with me a couple of times, so I just went ahead and, you know, just tried to do leg work, that sort of thing.

SPECIAL AGENT VAN BOCKER: Okay.

MR. GROVER: So I went in and talked to Tom McGrath, and Tom was not aware of it at all. Okay. So I went -- you know, I started from ground zero, and I explained to him, you know, how this evolved, and their interest, this, that and the other, you know, about it. And that I was trying to, you know, get the information, and I was coming to him to let him know what was -- you know, what I found out, this, that and the other.

Well, he was -- he expressed opposition to me against, you know, the idea of doing that. You know, he -- what he expressed to me, he felt that, you know, Sam should be down here in this position. He felt that he wanted to keep his expertise down here in Corporate. Okay. And that he really didn't agree with going along with the transfer of Sam out to Sequoia. And so I said that's fine. And, you know, I said well, you know, I just didn't know, and so I told him I wasn't trying to force him out or anything

1 like that. It was just that they approached me about 2 it. 3 SPECIAL AGENT VAN BOCKER: Uh-huh. 4 MR. GROVER: And so I was just trying to 5 -- you know, just --6 SPECIAL AGENT VAN BOCKER: So he nixed it 7 right there. And I told him -- I 8 MR. GROVER: Yeah. 9 said well, you know, I'll just talk with, you know, 10 Charles and those guys, you know, and let -- feed this 11 back to them because, I mean, there wasn't no more 12 discussion about it. He just didn't think it was, you know, a good idea. 13 14 SPECIAL AGENT VAN BOCKER: So you told 15 Kent and --16 MR. GROVER: So I got back with Kent and 17 Gordon. I told them. I said this is where -- this is 18 the position -- you know, I told them I thought he was 19 aware of it. And I told Charles that, you know, my 20 suggestion is that you talk directly with McGrath and 21 explain to him what your intentions are, and what your 22 feelings are, and maybe, you know, he can consider 23 that, or it could be worked out, this, that and the other. So that's the way it -- you know, I left it, 24 25 and --

1	SPECIAL AGENT VAN BOCKER: Uh-huh.
2	MR. GROVER: you know, I don't think,
3	you know, at that point probably after that point
4	nothing more materialized.
5	SPECIAL AGENT VAN BOCKER: It died right
6	there.
7	MR. GROVER: Well, in talking with Sam
8	later on. I asked Sam. I says because he asked me
9	about it. I said, "Well, this is all I know, Sam."
10	I said, "I suggest you talk with Gordon and Charles,
11	and find out whether they had you know, whether
12	they did get back to McGrath, or whether anything else
13	is still active on it. And he came back to me and
14	told me that Charles had talked to McGrath, and
15	McGrath didn't want to pursue it, you know. Didn't
16	agree with it, and that was the end of it, so I
17	didn't, you know, try to pursue it from there because
18	I figured well, that's you know, that's the end of
19	it.
20	SPECIAL AGENT VAN BOCKER: Right. Okay.
21	MR. GROVER: I you know, I
22	SPECIAL AGENT VAN BOCKER: You asked a
23	question to McGrath, and he told you he preferred to
24	keep him here basically, keep Sam here.

1	MR. GROVER: Well, yeah. He wanted to
2	keep him down here, and wanted him available to
3	SPECIAL AGENT VAN BOCKER: All sites,
4	and
5	MR. GROVER: Well, he wanted him he was
6	interested in him with, you know, this particular PWR
7	position coming up. He was interested in Sam, and
8	that's what he said, you know, so I mean, I
9	SPECIAL AGENT VAN BOCKER: Oh, he told you
10	that too.
11	MR. GROVER: Well, yeah. He was
12	interested in yeah, because he wanted to keep his
13	made sure he had his expertise in Corporate. He
14	was interested in keeping his expertise in Corporate.
15	That's what he said. I mean, I can't you know, I
16	can't take that and say personally I mean, you
17	know, he didn't come out and say well, you know, I'm
18	conspir you know, I've got a conspiracy going on,
19	this, that and the other. I'm just you know, this
20	is what he told me. I mean
21	SPECIAL AGENT VAN BOCKER: So he basically
22	knew the reorganization was coming in, and there was
23	a
24	MR. GROVER: Oh, yeah. Yeah, it was at
25	that time. It was, you know it was determined that

1	we were going down to Chemistry was going to be
2	reduced the two Chemistry positions were going to
3	be you know, the Chemistry Manager position was
4	going away, and we were going to end up with two
5	Chemistry types in the organization. Okay. So it was
6	known at that time. So it was known at that time that
7	you were only going to have one PWR Chemistry type,
8	and one BWR Chemistry type.
9	SPECIAL AGENT VAN BOCKER: Uh-huh.
10	MR. GROVER: So that was already put
11	you know, because we went through this process, and
12	it was agreement on what the final org chart what
13	he wanted the final org chart to look like between
14	combining Radcon, Chemistry and Environmental.
15	SPECIAL AGENT VAN BOCKER: Uh-huh.
16	MR. GROVER: So it was known at that time.
17	SPECIAL AGENT VAN BOCKER: So the two
18	positions that had to be filled was that PWR and
19	BWR. Is that right?
20	MR. GROVER: Uh-huh.
21	SPECIAL AGENT VAN BOCKER: Did Gary apply
22	for the PWR?
23	MR. GROVER: Yes, he I mean that has
24	just happened here. You know, it was only two weeks
25	ago or something like that.

1 SPECIAL AGENT VAN BOCKER: And Sam applied 2 for the --MR. GROVER: Yeah. I don't know who all 3 4 I just -- you know, I know that they had applied. 5 mentioned to me that they were going to apply. 6 don't -- I haven't -- I mean, that stuff was given --7 the package and everything was given to Wilson 8 McArthur, so I don't -- you know, I can't 9 absolutely because I don't -- I haven't seen the 10 applications. They told me that they were going to 11 apply. I don't know for sure that they did or not. 12 I assume that they would, you know. 13 SPECIAL AGENT VAN BOCKER: So actually Sam would be competing against Gary then. 14 15 MR. GROVER: Correct. 16 SPECIAL AGENT VAN BOCKER: Do you know of 17 any conspiracy? 18 MR. GROVER: Yeah, well I -- you know, I 19 can't -- you know, I mean, you know, Tom didn't come 20 out and say well, I've got a conspiracy going on. 21 can't -- you know, I just can only tell you what --22 how this transpired, you know. But for me to sit 23 here and say whether it was a conspiracy or not, I 24 couldn't -- you know, I have no facts to say that one way or the other. 25

1	SPECIAL AGENT VAN BOCKER: Right. As far
2	as the selection board, who has control of the
3	selection board?
4	MR. GROVER: Actually, Wilson McArthur is
5	you know, he's honcho in setting up everything.
6	SPECIAL AGENT VAN BOCKER: So he's in
7	charge of the whole selection process?
8,	MR. GROVER: Yes.
9	SPECIAL AGENT VAN BOCKER: And he will
10	select the selection board?
11	MR. GROVER: Yes.
12	SPECIAL AGENT VAN BOCKER: He has selected
13	it yet?
14	MR. GROVER: I don't know for sure. He
15	had mentioned to me a couple of well, I had heard
16	several weeks ago it was several individuals. And
17	then he had mentioned earlier this week that he was
18	going to have the Radcon Chemistry Managers do it, so
19	I don't know for sure what whether he's made a
20	final decision, and just how they're going to do it.
21	I haven't seen anything in writing, or confirming
22	who's specifically going to be on it. But this is
23	just all verbal info that was put out.

1 SPECIAL AGENT VAN BOCKER: Are you aware 2 of any pre-selection being done by anybody for any of 3 these positions? 4 MR. GROVER: I mean, no one has come to me 5 and said that they pre-selected any individuals, if 6 that's what you're asking. I mean, you know, I can't 7 -- you know, I mean, if it is a pre-selection, I mean, 8 it would be, you know, a covert type of situation, and 9 not -- you know, it's not overt. It's not been 10 publicized or put on the wall, if that's what you 11 mean. You know, so I can't -- you know, for me to say 12 that I don't know of any, doesn't mean to say that it 13 -- something didn't occur, or something behind the 14 scenes didn't occur. I don't know. 15 SPECIAL AGENT VAN BOCKER: Uh-huh. 16 MR. GROVER: You know, I just can't speak 17 I'm just not aware of anything. 18 SPECIAL AGENT VAN BOCKER: Uh-huh. 19 position you have right now, was that eliminated? 20 MR. GROVER: Right. 21 SPECIAL AGENT VAN BOCKER: Are you 22 applying for -- can you apply for these positions that 23 are vacant and being posted? 24 MR. GROVER: You mean their positions? 25 Yeah, I could, you know, technically apply.

1	SPECIAL AGENT VAN BOCKER: I mean, that
2	would be stepping down.
3	MR. GROVER: Yeah. I could have applied
4	for them.
5	SPECIAL AGENT VAN BOCKER: But you chose
6	not to.
7	MR. GROVER: No, I chose not to.
8	SPECIAL AGENT VAN BOCKER: Is there a
9	reason why you chose not to, or is that
10	MR. GROVER: Well, I
11	SPECIAL AGENT VAN BOCKER: Is that
12	personal, or
13	MR. GROVER: Yeah. I mean, I don't is
14	this you know, do I need to get into it with this,
15	or
16	SPECIAL AGENT VAN BOCKER: Well, is it
17	related to
18	(Off the record)
19	SPECIAL AGENT VAN BOCKER: Let me put that
20	on.
21	MR. GROVER: Yeah. Well, I didn't apply
22	because these positions are, you know these are
23	positions that they're functioning in now. Okay.
24	SPECIAL AGENT VAN BOCKER: The current
25	employees.

1 Right. And that's MR. GROVER: 2 personal feeling. I mean, you know, I had a different 3 position, and I didn't want to do anything to 4 compromise or bump them out of positions. I'll find 5 -- you know, if it comes to it, I'll find another job. 6 That's my personal attitude about it. 7 nothing is made -- if the opportunity is not made 8 available for me in this organization, you know, I'll 9 go to another organization, or look around, or do --10 pursue other things that I need to do for myself, you 11 know. 12 SPECIAL AGENT VAN BOCKER: Sure. 13 MR. GROVER: So it wasn't -- you know, I 14 -- that's just the way I feel. I didn't want to put 15 myself into a situation where it's already strained 16 for -- you know, you've got four slots going down to 17 two, you know. I'm going to aggravate the situation 18 and put myself in that position. 19 SPECIAL AGENT VAN BOCKER: Well --20 MR. GROVER: And the plants -- these are 21 the guys that work hard and supported the plants. 22 supported them too, but I've tried to put them in the 23 forefront, and they support -- you know, they're the 24 lead guys supporting the plant. 25 SPECIAL AGENT VAN BOCKER: Uh-huh.

1 MR. GROVER: And the plants respect that, this, that and the other. I don't want to put myself 2 in -- I don't want to pit myself with one of the other 3 4 persons. 5 SPECIAL AGENT VAN BOCKER: Sure. MR. GROVER: I mean, we work together. I 6 7 mean, that's the way I am. That's SPECIAL AGENT VAN BOCKER: Okay. 8 9 no problem. I'm just being honest with 10 MR. GROVER: 11 you. SPECIAL AGENT VAN BOCKER: Yeah. So as 12 far as Gary's allegation that McGrath put McArthur as 13 Manager immediately, he's claiming that this was a 14 cold calculated move to take you out of the picture as 15 far as any selection process. Is there anything to 16 17 that? 18 MR. GROVER: Well, I -- you know, McGrath made the decision that's what he wanted to do, and 19 that's -- you know, that's what he did. You know, I 20 quess, you know -- and prior to this, me coming on 21 board, I mean, because of Gary's previous situation 22 and case, you know. Wilson was involved, and they 23 didn't -- you know, that didn't work out well or 24

whatever, but I -- you know, it may -- I -- you know,

1	McGrath made the decision that's what he wanted to do,
2	that's what he wanted to do. I mean, you know, he did
3	it, so I you know, I you know, no one's come to
4	me and said well, you know, that you know, I'm
5	doing this because this, that and the other. There
6	was no discussion at all. He just did it.
7	SPECIAL AGENT VAN BOCKER: No discussion?
8	MR. GROVER: Well, I mean, he called me
9	five minutes before he made the change, and he had a
10	staff meeting, and he announced it.
11	SPECIAL AGENT VAN BOCKER: Uh-huh.
12	MR. GROVER: But he called me like five
13	minutes before the meeting and told me that's what he
14	was going to do, so I mean, I can't
15	SPECIAL AGENT VAN BOCKER: Do you think
16	this was a way of controlling the selection board for
17	the hiring of the new people in new positions, as Gary
18	claims?
19	MR. GROVER: Well, it
20	SPECIAL AGENT VAN BOCKER: Or is this just
21	a management decision?
22	MR. GROVER: Well, whoever the manager is
23	involved with the selection, obviously they're going
24	to have an influence in, you know, as far as who they
25	want to put in the position. You know, just like any

is going to he's going to set it up like he wants and then he's going to pick the people who he wants the position, so I mean, any time you put a different person in the saddle that has the authority to o that, or that comes under his organization SPECIAL AGENT VAN BOCKER: Yeah.  MR. GROVER: he's going to do it th way he feels that he wants to do it, so I can't SPECIAL AGENT VAN BOCKER: Now were you equal to yeah, you were equal to McArthur?  MR. GROVER: Right.  SPECIAL AGENT VAN BOCKER: And he just took the two positions and combined to one?  MR. GROVER: Right. And made the position a PG Senior position.	n t o
the position, so I mean, any time you put a different person in the saddle that has the authority to describe that, or that comes under his organization  SPECIAL AGENT VAN BOCKER: Yeah.  MR. GROVER: he's going to do it the way he feels that he wants to do it, so I can't  SPECIAL AGENT VAN BOCKER: Now were you equal to yeah, you were equal to McArthur?  MR. GROVER: Right.  SPECIAL AGENT VAN BOCKER: And he just took the two positions and combined to one?  MR. GROVER: Right. And made that	t o
person in the saddle that has the authority to describe that, or that comes under his organization  SPECIAL AGENT VAN BOCKER: Yeah.  MR. GROVER: he's going to do it the way he feels that he wants to do it, so I can't  SPECIAL AGENT VAN BOCKER: Now were you equal to yeah, you were equal to McArthur?  MR. GROVER: Right.  SPECIAL AGENT VAN BOCKER: And he just took the two positions and combined to one?  MR. GROVER: Right. And made that	o e
that, or that comes under his organization  SPECIAL AGENT VAN BOCKER: Yeah.  MR. GROVER: he's going to do it the  way he feels that he wants to do it, so I can't  SPECIAL AGENT VAN BOCKER: Now were you  equal to yeah, you were equal to McArthur?  MR. GROVER: Right.  SPECIAL AGENT VAN BOCKER: And he just  took the two positions and combined to one?  MR. GROVER: Right. And made that	e
SPECIAL AGENT VAN BOCKER: Yeah.  MR. GROVER: he's going to do it the way he feels that he wants to do it, so I can't  SPECIAL AGENT VAN BOCKER: Now were you equal to yeah, you were equal to McArthur?  MR. GROVER: Right.  SPECIAL AGENT VAN BOCKER: And he just took the two positions and combined to one?  MR. GROVER: Right. And made that	
MR. GROVER: he's going to do it the way he feels that he wants to do it, so I can't  SPECIAL AGENT VAN BOCKER: Now were you equal to yeah, you were equal to McArthur?  MR. GROVER: Right.  SPECIAL AGENT VAN BOCKER: And he just took the two positions and combined to one?  MR. GROVER: Right. And made that	
way he feels that he wants to do it, so I can't  SPECIAL AGENT VAN BOCKER: Now were you  equal to yeah, you were equal to McArthur?  MR. GROVER: Right.  SPECIAL AGENT VAN BOCKER: And he just  took the two positions and combined to one?  MR. GROVER: Right. And made that	
SPECIAL AGENT VAN BOCKER: Now were you equal to yeah, you were equal to McArthur?  MR. GROVER: Right.  SPECIAL AGENT VAN BOCKER: And he just took the two positions and combined to one?  MR. GROVER: Right. And made the	u
equal to yeah, you were equal to McArthur?  MR. GROVER: Right.  SPECIAL AGENT VAN BOCKER: And he just took the two positions and combined to one?  MR. GROVER: Right. And made the	u
MR. GROVER: Right.  SPECIAL AGENT VAN BOCKER: And he just took the two positions and combined to one?  MR. GROVER: Right. And made that	
SPECIAL AGENT VAN BOCKER: And he just took the two positions and combined to one?  MR. GROVER: Right. And made that	
took the two positions and combined to one?  MR. GROVER: Right. And made the	
MR. GROVER: Right. And made that	t
position a PG Senior position.	t
SPECIAL AGENT VAN BOCKER: That's PG11?	
MR. GROVER: No, it's PG Senior.	
19 SPECIAL AGENT VAN BOCKER: PG Senior.	
MR. GROVER: We're both PG11s, and the	У
21 made the combined manager position PG Senior position	•
22 SPECIAL AGENT VAN BOCKER: Oh.	
MR. GROVER: Grade level.	
SPECIAL AGENT VAN BOCKER: Why didn't the	
advertise that position, or they don't need to, or	У

MR. GROVER: Well, I -- again, it was Tom McGrath's decision. Okay. I don't know. I -- you know, I thought by procedure -- HR procedure, it should have been advertised.

SPECIAL AGENT VAN BOCKER: Maybe that's not required since it's a high PG level.

MR. GROVER: No. You know, you can talk with HR and consult them with it, but I felt it was -it should have been advertised. Now I did get feed back, because I asked why -- you know, I asked HR, and I guess the response was a decision was made that it was a -- well, we didn't advertise because they considered it a transfer of functions. But they were going under -- but that was based on Wilson McArthur when he had Technical Programs two years ago, when he had Technical Programs. And it was true that Chemistry was under him as a manager, Chemistry and Environment was under him as a Manager, as well as Radcon and those other areas, you know, that we pointed out. But when we went through the last reorg in July of '94, Technical Programs was done away -you know, that organization was dissolved, and Wilson had to interview for the -- what was left. The organization that was left was Radcon Chemistry, Environmental.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1	SPECIAL AGENT VAN BOCKER: Uh-huh.
2	MR. GROVER: Chemistry and Environmental
3	was packaged as a separate organization, and Radcon
4	was packaged as a separate organization. And Wilson
5	McArthur had to interview for the Radcon Manager
6	position.
7	SPECIAL AGENT VAN BOCKER: Uh-huh.
8	MR. GROVER: I interviewed for the
9	Chemistry and Environmental Protection position. He
10	could have interviewed for, you know, either one if he
11	wanted to, I mean, but his expertise is in Radcon.
12	SPECIAL AGENT VAN BOCKER: Uh-huh.
13	MR. GROVER: So it wasn't in my opinion
14	it wasn't a transfer of function because you had this
15	you're in this current organizational structure.
16	He didn't have Chemistry and Environmental Protection
17	under him. He only had Radcon.
18	SPECIAL AGENT VAN BOCKER: But didn't they
19	transfer the two under one?
20	MR. GROVER: They combined
21	SPECIAL AGENT VAN BOCKER: They combined
22	them.
23	MR. GROVER: Yeah, so it wasn't a transfer
24	of function. If he it would have been a transfer
25	of function if we were in this organizational

1	structure, or a structure where Wilson was the
2	manager. See, if we already were combined, and had
3	Radon Chemistry and Environmental under one
4	organization and Wilson was the manager
5	SPECIAL AGENT VAN BOCKER: Uh-huh.
6	MR. GROVER: and now we decided to
7	SPECIAL AGENT VAN BOCKER: Break it apart.
8	MR. GROVER: Or reduce the number down, or
9	redefine that position, or bring somebody yeah,
10	break it apart.
11	SPECIAL AGENT VAN BOCKER: Uh-huh.
12	MR. GROVER: Say we decided to break
13	say we decided to take Environmental out
14	SPECIAL AGENT VAN BOCKER: Right.
15	MR. GROVER: and you're going to be
16	left with Chemistry and Radcon. Well then, it would
17	be a transfer of function, but he still has got those
18	functions under him. You see, he never had he
19	didn't at the time, the last two years, he didn't
20	have those functions under his organization, so I
21	don't see why it was a transfer of function.
22	SPECIAL AGENT VAN BOCKER: Uh-huh.
23	MR. GROVER: That's my opinion, but I
24	mean, the decision was made that that's the way it
25	went.

1	SPECIAL AGENT VAN BOCKER: Yeah.
2	MR. GROVER: So, you know, that's he
3	made the decision, and that's what he decided to do,
4	so, you know, that's where we are.
5	SPECIAL AGENT VAN BOCKER: Okay. Were you
6	aware of any conversations between Dave Boller and
7	Gary?
8	MR. GROVER: In reference to?
9	SPECIAL AGENT VAN BOCKER: In reference to
10	placing Sam, when he talks about because Sam was
11	not allowed to go to Sequoia by McGrath, that they
12	were keeping him here so they could retain him in a
13	position. Apparently, Gary said he met with David
14	Boller, and talked with him about this. That they
15	were campaigning for
16	MR. GROVER: I thought it said something
17	here.
18	SPECIAL AGENT VAN BOCKER: That they were
19	campaigning for Sam Harvey.
20	MR. GROVER: Oh, that's David Goetcheus.
21	See, David Goetcheus. He's saying here, "I met with
22	Dave Boller in his office on that date, and Dave
23	Goetcheus stopped up to see him, and he" Dave
24	Goetcheus is in a different org he's got Steam
25	Generator. He's got a different group.

1	SPECIAL AGENT VAN BOCKER: He does?
2	MR. GROVER: Yeah.
3	SPECIAL AGENT VAN BOCKER: Okay.
4	MR. GROVER: But he's under McGrath, but
5	he's done interfaced a lot with Dave Goetcheus'
6	organization. He's got Steam Generator Group.
7	SPECIAL AGENT VAN BOCKER: Uh-huh.
8	MR. GROVER: And apparently, Dave must
9	have stopped Goetcheus must have stopped up there
10	and was, you know, putting in his bid for Sam
11	SPECIAL AGENT VAN BOCKER: To keep him
12	where?
13	MR. GROVER: To keep him here.
14	SPECIAL AGENT VAN BOCKER: Okay.
15	MR. GROVER: Okay. I think, but what
16	you're referring to is here. I think that's the one
17	you're
18	SPECIAL AGENT VAN BOCKER: Okay. Yeah.
19	MR. GROVER: Okay. What had happened,
20	Gary had called me after see, Dave had talked
21	with
22	SPECIAL AGENT VAN BOCKER: Dave's at Watts
23	Bar.
24	MR. GROVER: Right. Dave Boller is at
25	Watts he's the Chemistry Manager of Watts Bar.

1	According to this, Sam called Boller up and told him
2	that, you know, he's going to be working with him a
3	lot closer in the future, and that
4	SPECIAL AGENT VAN BOCKER: Why would that
5	be, because Sam worked at Sequoia, didn't he?
6	MR. GROVER: Right, but I mean, he's PWR,
7	so he was saying what he was inferring was that,
8	you know, he would probably be the PWR person down
9	here.
10	SPECIAL AGENT VAN BOCKER: I see.
11	MR. GROVER: And so I'll be working with
12	you. I'm just calling you up letting you know, I'm
13	going to be probably working with you a lot closer in
14	the future. You know, I'm going to be getting the
15	SPECIAL AGENT VAN BOCKER: The BWR.
16	MR. GROVER: Yeah. And so, what had
17	happened was Gary had called me and asked me, because
18	Dave had called Dave Boller had called Gary up and
19	said well, what's going on? You all have made the
20	decision has made? I mean, I thought the position
21	hadn't been filled yet, or
22	SPECIAL AGENT VAN BOCKER: Are you aware
23	of that conversation?
24	MR. GROVER: Well, what happened was Dave
25	Boller had called Gary and asked Gary about it. And

Gary called me, because Gary was up at -- I mean, he's been out of the office a lot. He goes (inaudible), you know, carrying out his duties.

SPECIAL AGENT VAN BOCKER: Uh-huh.

MR. GROVER: And he called me and asked me were you aware that, you know -- is something going on that I don't know about? Has a decision been made who's going to fill the slots? Have the slots been already filled, that sort of thing. I said, "No, I don't know what's going on. " He said, "Well, I got a call from Dave Boller, and Dave was asking me well, what's going on? I mean, it sounds like the position's already been decided upon, or who's going to fill it. The decision has already been made", you know, and words to that effect. And I said, "Well, I -- you know, as far as I know, nothing has been -- you know, I haven't heard anything. No one has said anything to me or anything, so I proceeded -- I think the next day I called up Dave Boller and asked him what was -- you know, what was he told by Sam. And then that's when he proceeded to tell me that Sam had called him out of the clear blue, and told him that, you know, that he's going to be working with him pretty close, you know, in the near -- you know, he's going to be working with

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

him a lot more in the future, and this, that and the other.

SPECIAL AGENT VAN BOCKER: Uh-huh.

MR. GROVER: And he's just trying to get a relationship with staff, or some words to that effect. And then Dave started asking questions, and he -- I guess, you know -- and you'd have to talk with Dave Boller to get the exact words, but I -- you know, my understanding of what Dave mentioned to me was that, in effect he was, you know -- basically it looked like he was in line to get that position, and yeah, they have to go through the process, but it looks like he's going to be the one that gets the position. Now that's what was communicated to me. Now he didn't -- I don't think he told Dave exactly I got it now, but I mean --

SPECIAL AGENT VAN BOCKER: Uh-huh.

MR. GROVER: -- it's just a matter of we going through the process, but it looks like I'm going to get the position. And I said, "Well, Dave, I don't -- I can't vouch for that. I don't know -- you know, to my knowledge, nothing has transpired", this, that and the other. Unless something has transpired through Wilson McArthur, somebody has made the decision to go ahead and just put someone in that

53 position without posting it. I wasn't aware of it, you know. And then that's when, you know, Gary was really incensed about it, and he -- you know, I mean, he didn't know what was going on, and you know, he just said that this, along with the other things he's mentioning there, and he's -- you know, he expressed his -- you know, he had some real problems with what -- how things were going on, and why would Sam all of a sudden out of the clear blue go up there and tell the Chemistry Manager at Watts Bar that. SPECIAL AGENT VAN BOCKER: Uh-huh. mention that at Sequoia, because he was already

MR. GROVER: Okay. Now he didn't have to supporting Sequoia, but that position is going to support Sequoia and Watts Bar, so --

> SPECIAL AGENT VAN BOCKER: Yeah.

MR. GROVER: -- you know, it's just so --SPECIAL AGENT VAN BOCKER: Strange.

MR. GROVER: -- out of the ordinary. You know, and I guess that's why Gary said well, man. looks like -- what's going on here? There must be some stuff going on behind the scenes, this, that and the other. Well, you know, if somebody calls up and says that, you know -- and I believe Dave Boller was telling the truth. I don't believe he would -- you

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

know, we have a good working relationship with all the Chemistry Managers. I don't believe he would tell a lie.

SPECIAL AGENT VAN BOCKER: There's no reason for him.

MR. GROVER: There was no reason to lie. He called and he was well, what's going on. He called me -- I mean, when I called him he said, "What's going on?" I told him I'll do some checking, but as far as I know, they're going to -- and that's when I, you know, checked with HR, and you know, then Easley said no, it's my understanding they're going to continue to, you know, going ahead with the posting, and this, that and the other.

SPECIAL AGENT VAN BOCKER: Uh-huh.

didn't MR. GROVER: I get into discussion with Ben Easley about the discussion. I'm not trying -- you know, there's enough stuff going on I don't need to go and make other -- I'm not now. trying to get in something, start accusations, this, that and the other. And I told -- you know, I had a long talk with Gary, and I told him calm down. You know, look, let's just follow -- do what you're supposed to do and follow the process. I don't know why he said that, this, that and the other.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1	SPECIAL AGENT VAN BOCKER: Uh-huh.
2	MR. GROVER: But you follow the process,
3	you know, and do what you're supposed to do.
4	SPECIAL AGENT VAN BOCKER: Yeah. Gary is
5	sort of jumping the gun here maybe a little bit,
6	making this accusation when no decision has been made.
7	MR. GROVER: Well, I don't know whether
8	he's necessarily jumping the gun or not. I mean, but
9	why would
10	SPECIAL AGENT VAN BOCKER: No adverse
11	action has been taken.
12	MR. GROVER: Well, no. But I mean when
13	people you know, that's the way things have
14	happened, you know, around and people you start
15	people start saying this, people start saying that.
16	Or I got this position, I got that position, it kind
17	of makes you wonder.
18	SPECIAL AGENT VAN BOCKER: Oh, yeah. I'm
19	sure it does.
20	MR. GROVER: You know, when individuals go
21	around and say well, you know, I've been asked to fill
22	that position, or you know, and that's it hasn't
23	happened in this position. There's been other
24	positions.
25	SPECIAL AGENT VAN BOCKER: Uh-huh.

MR. GROVER: You know, I've been asked to fill that position, this, that and the other. Well, what are you supposed to -- it must -- you know, it sounds like it's some -- a smoking gun as far as some pre-selections. You know, I mean, why would somebody just come out of the clear blue and say something like that? I mean, I don't -- you know, I'm not saying that, you know -- I don't think it's a situation where Gary is trying to jump the gun. Gary is trying to say well, wait. What's going on here?

SPECIAL AGENT VAN BOCKER: Uh-huh.

MR. GROVER: I mean, that's what his concern is. I mean, that's what he's saying there. That's my opinion. I mean, that's what he's come to me with, "Ron, what's going on?" I said, "Well, Gary, it's" -- you know, I've told him, you know, deal with HR, follow the process, this, that and the other. That's what -- you know, and that's, you know, what he's done. He's put in -- he's told me he's going to apply for the position, this, that and the other. But I mean, you know, with his situation and so forth, and what has happened, this, that and the other, he -- you know, as an individual he may do this -- you know, he's seeing enough evidence, and he's gone through

this before, before I was there. He's gone through 1 2 this before. 3 SPECIAL AGENT VAN BOCKER: 4 MR. GROVER: So he's seen how things have gone, and how things have transpired, and how these 5 6 things have developed. 7 SPECIAL AGENT VAN BOCKER: Yeah. 8 MR. GROVER: So I can't tell -- speak for 9 him and tell him, you know, this, that and the other. 10 I mean, he's, you know, he's seen things before. He 11 was a Chemistry Manager out at Sequoia. He was in my 12 position for an acting period of time, so I can't --13 you know --SPECIAL AGENT VAN BOCKER: Uh-huh. 14 15 MR. GROVER: You know, you have to respect 16 the way he feels, this, that and the other. I just 17 try to advise, you know, as a Manager, you know, 18 continue to follow the process, and this, that and the 19 other. You know, you follow the process and try to do 20 the right thing. That's basically what I impressed 21 upon him. SPECIAL AGENT VAN BOCKER: Uh-huh. 22 23 MR. GROVER: Impress on all my people, do 24 the right thing. I never confronted Sam with that,

1	this, that and the other. I mean, you know, that's
2	something that has to be dealt with accordingly.
3	SPECIAL AGENT VAN BOCKER: Yeah. The way
4	it goes right now, can both Sam and Gary maintain a
5	job here, the way it's the way the new organization
6	is set up? They can only
7	MR. GROVER: Not with the new structure,
8	because you only got
9	SPECIAL AGENT VAN BOCKER: There's two
10	positions, right?
11	MR. GROVER: Yeah, there's two positions.
12	SPECIAL AGENT VAN BOCKER: A BPR and
13	MR. GROVER: A BWR and PWR.
14	SPECIAL AGENT VAN BOCKER: Okay.
15	MR. GROVER: But the current
16	organizational structure that's on the table
17	SPECIAL AGENT VAN BOCKER: Uh-huh.
18	MR. GROVER: neither one of them can
19	you're going to have one or the other.
20	SPECIAL AGENT VAN BOCKER: Apparently
21	MR. GROVER: Unless a decision is made to
22	keep three people. Now the
23	SPECIAL AGENT VAN BOCKER: Sam has applied
24	for both positions. Gary has only applied for one.
25	MR. GROVER: Maybe yeah, I mean

1	that's
2	SPECIAL AGENT VAN BOCKER: Then that could
3	happen, but then you have these other people
4	SPECIAL AGENT VAN BOCKER:
5	MR. GROVER: Well, that can I happen. I
6	mean, yeah, I mean, if they decide to
7	SPECIAL AGENT VAN BOCKER: Sorrell and
8	MR. GROVER: Well, Sorrell is no longer
9	see, he
10	SPECIAL AGENT VAN BOCKER: Oh.
11	MR. GROVER: See, his position was vacant
12	so they eliminated his position.
13	SPECIAL AGENT VAN BOCKER: Oh.
14	MR. GROVER: He left and took another
15	position. He's been gone for like a year.
16	SPECIAL AGENT VAN BOCKER: Okay.
17	MR. GROVER: So you've really got
18	you've got four people left.
19	SPECIAL AGENT VAN BOCKER: Yeah.
20	MR. GROVER: Okay. Chandra, Sam Harvey,
21	Gary Fiser and Deidre Knight (phonetic). Deidre
22	Knight (inaudible) one of the Environmental spots.
23	She's only been here a little under a year. Okay.
24	SPECIAL AGENT VAN BOCKER: Uh-huh.

1 MR. GROVER: And so her -- I mean, she's 2 got Chemistry background, but she comes from Sequoia, 3 and she's been working in the Environmental area. 4 Okay. Coming up to speed, and learning, this, that 5 and the other. SPECIAL AGENT VAN BOCKER: 6 Uh-huh. 7 MR. GROVER: And working out very good, 8 but really -- it's really, you know, I think from a 9 practical standpoint, two positions for --- three 10 people biting in for two positions. 11 SPECIAL AGENT VAN BOCKER: 12 MR. GROVER: That's in-house. Now the 13 other people outside of our organization could bid on 14 it as well, so you may have more candidates. 15 know, I don't know. You could have eight, ten, twelve 16 candidates. I don't know, so you know -- but as far 17 as in-house is concerned, you've got three incumbents 18 bidding for two positions. 19 SPECIAL AGENT VAN BOCKER: Uh-huh. 20 MR. GROVER: Now the only other way that 21 could be worked out is the decision is made --22 management makes the decision well, we're going to --23 we reconsidered it, and we're going to keep, you 24 know, three slots. And those -- the incumbents happen

to be the best qualified candidates for those three

1	slots. You know, it could be that all three of them
2	could not get it. I mean, if somebody outside the
3	organization of TVA applied, and the selection board
4	selects them.
5	SPECIAL AGENT VAN BOCKER: Uh-huh. If you
6	would have applied, you would have had a good shot at
7	it. Is that correct? I mean
8	MR. GROVER: Well, I mean, it depends.
9	SPECIAL AGENT VAN BOCKER: Okay.
10	MR. GROVER: I mean, you know, it's tough.
11	I mean, you know, I'm you know, I'm at a different
12	level now coming to their level, and now I'm competing
13	with them. I mean, you know, I'm viewed differently,
14	I mean, so it's
15	SPECIAL AGENT VAN BOCKER: Yeah.
16	MR. GROVER: It's a tough situation.
17	SPECIAL AGENT VAN BOCKER: Okay. All
18	right. Well, I think we've covered most of this. Is
19	there anything you can add to it, that you want to
20	that I brought up that you might want to redirect, or
21	recover, or add to? Something that
22	MR. GROVER: I just think, you know, the
23	only thing I think, as far as performance is
24	concerned, I mean, Gary has been a good performer,
25	ever since he's come back from the settlement.

1 SPECIAL AGENT VAN BOCKER: Uh-huh. 2 MR. GROVER: People, I think certain individuals had a lot of premonitions that he was 3 going to come back with a chip on his shoulder, this, 4 that and the other, and he did not do that. 5 SPECIAL AGENT VAN BOCKER: Uh-huh. 6 7 MR. GROVER: He come back. He -- we sat down. We talked. WE kind of worked out what he was 8 9 going to do, you know. I mean, that time we were trying to get Watts Bar started up, and there -- you 10 11 know, and at the time I came -- I mean, Chemistry didn't -- wasn't -- Chemistry didn't have a good track 12 And for a variety of 13 record across TVA. Okay. reasons, but I came, Gary came, and we had Chandra --14 15 (End of Side B) SPECIAL AGENT VAN BOCKER: 16 Okav. back in 17 MR. **GROVER:** He came the organization with no ill feelings that he expressed 18 outwardly of malice or anything. He came back ready 19 20 to work, to jump in and join the team. We were able to develop a team. I think (inaudible), that sort of 21 22 thing. And his performance has been very good, and he was a key player in helping to get the Watts Bar 23

program turned around and ready for start up, this,

1 that and the other. And I encourage you to talk with 2 Jack Cox, and Dave Boller, and all the guys. SPECIAL AGENT VAN BOCKER: Uh-huh. 3 You know, just talk with 4 MR. GROVER: 5 (inaudible), and they've always expressed their high 6 regard (inaudible) repeatedly asked me to pass on up 7 to Tom McGrath what a good job Gary has done, so Gary 8 is very dedicated, very hard worker, very good worker at the sites. He's been there (inaudible) so he knows 9 10 what's -- he's been, I think, very helpful to Dave 11 Boller. But you know, when he came here, I mean --12 and you can look at the RSP reports and other 13 reports, I mean, we had some problems. jumped in and there was nothing. He's done a lot. 14 15 He's helped us a lot at Sequoia, some of the programs 16 we have run there. He's worked down at Brown's Ferry, so performance-wise, Gary's -- you know, you couldn't 17 18 ask for a better performance. SPECIAL AGENT VAN BOCKER: Uh-huh. 19 20 MR. GROVER: Now, you know, he -- and we 21 all have our shortcomings. He's not an expert in 22 everything, you know, in all areas in the field, but

> **NEAL R. GROSS** COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

SPECIAL AGENT VAN BOCKER:

he is good. He is very good at what he does, and

where his strengths are.

23

24

25

Uh-huh.

And Gary

1	MR. GROVER: And a good attitude, gets
2	along well with all the people, this, that and the
3	other, so there hasn't been any animosity between him
4	and Sam or anything like that. They work well.
5	They've been together in the past, this, that and the
6	other, so I just don't it to be like well, he's just
7	a vendetta. He's been carrying this axe to grind, now
8	he can grind it. He had not you know, he had not
9	displayed that. You can talk with the other people
10	he's worked with, his peers, and he has not done that.
11	And we've tried, and we've always stressed, you know,
12	let's get out, and do our job, and be good at what we
13	do, and support the plants as they need it. We are
14	performance oriented, and all the other stuff that
15	goes on, we try to tell them don't worry about that.
16	Let's just do our job, and let the chips fall where
17	they may. We're going to go through (inaudible) our
18	job. All I ask is you know, I will ask our
19	management, I would ask other people just use a fair
20	process. HR has a process. Let's just use the
21	process.
22	SPECIAL AGENT VAN BOCKER: You think
23	they've gone through a fair process in doing all this?
24	MR. GROVER: Well, I mean, some things I
25	think were done okay, and some things I would have

1	done different. If you asked my opinion, I would have
2	preferred that they been done different. That wasn't
3	my call. The call was made to do it that way, and you
4	know, as a manager, I have to support that, this, that
5	and the other. Whether I, you know, disagree or
6	whatever, that that was the decision that was made.
7	I would have liked to have seen some things done
8	differently. I think that it was a tough thing how
9	these Pds were put together and posted, based on what
10	we were and what we were trying to transition to.
11	SPECIAL AGENT VAN BOCKER: Uh-huh.
12	MR. GROVER: But I would have personally
13	done some things differently.
14	SPECIAL AGENT VAN BOCKER: Yeah.
15	MR. GROVER: But, you know, Gary has made
16	you know, he has his feelings on this thing, how
17	things transpired. Certain people said certain
18	things, this, that and the other, and he's got to, you
19	know he has to respect that, take notice of it, and
20	this, that and the other.
21	SPECIAL AGENT VAN BOCKER: Uh-huh.
22	MR. GROVER: But, you know, it certainly
23	would you know, should be a (inaudible). If people
24	say certain things, I mean there's got to be a reason

why they said. I mean, you just don't say things just 1 2 out of the clear blue. I mean, it makes you wonder. SPECIAL AGENT VAN BOCKER: Yeah. 3 MR. GROVER: I mean, you know, just like 4 5 in your capacity, in your organization, if somebody started talking about well, I'm going to be your boss, 6 7 this, that and the other. I'm going to be doing this, 8 and you're out there saying hey -- you're going to 9 start thinking, well, you know -- and here you go 10 (inaudible) and it's going --11 12 13 14 15 16 17