

This report is authorized by Section 11 of the Fair Labor Standards Act and other Wage-Hour laws. While you are not required to respond, your cooperation is needed for the Wage Hour Division to make a determination of compliance under the applicable Act(s). Your identity will be kept confidential to the maximum extent possible under existing law. Rec'd 6/13/02

4-18-97

THIS MATERIAL WAS LOANED TO THE DEPARTMENT OF LABOR IT CAN BE REPRODUCED OR DISCLOSED OUTSIDE

Mr. Charles Kent Jr. of [REDACTED] Establishment / SON (Date)
 Miss _____ of _____ (Number, street apt. no.)
 Mrs. _____ of _____ (State) _____ (Zip code)
 Ms. _____ (City or town)
 _____ (Telephone number)

_____ years of age, (was/have been) employed by Tennessee Valley Authority (Establishment)
 _____ (Location of establishment) for the approximate period from _____ to present (if still employed state "present")
 as Radcon Manager / SON (Occupation or description of duties)

Statement: I recall the issue of Hanvey transferring to SON. The end result of that request was that Corporate wouldn't give a direct transfer from Corporate to SON, and that I would need to advertise the position (Technical Support Supervisor). I did not want to advertise the position, because SON were going through some pending reorganization due to Corporate wanting to standardized the three nuclear site, because the other sites did not have a Chemistry position assigned to their site. During the time I talked with the Inspector General office we had a vacancy were we lost a Chemistry person at SON a while back and we had not filled that position. The position I had in mind for Hanvey

DOCKETED
USNRC

2003 MAR 11 AM 11:50

OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY
RULEMAKINGS AND
ADJUDICATIONS STAFF

CLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

Docket No. 50-396 Official Exh. No. Staff 72
In the matter of TVA
Staff IDENTIFIED
Applicant _____ RECEIVED
Intervenor _____ REJECTED _____
Other _____ WITHDRAWN _____
DATE 6/13/02 Witness _____
Clerk BHM

was Technical Support Supervision which now is presently filled with P. Riche, the extra vacancy we had we lost it.

I made a request to Len Crover about the possibility of Harvey transferring to SDW. The response that we got back from Crover was that McEnath didn't want to just transfer Harvey out of Corporate. My reason for soliciting Harvey for SDW site is because he had a lot of expertise in secondary Chemistry. I wanted his expertise on our site, because we needed to make improvement in our secondary Chemistry Program.

Wilson McEnath contacted me about serving on the selection committee. I agreed to serve on the committee, I do not recall when McEnath contacted me. I thought that Jack Cox, Radson Manager were going to be on the committee as well. However, I learned ~~later~~ later that he wasn't going to be on the committee. We had a peer group meeting that morning, before ~~the~~ conducting interviews. After the meeting Cox advised that he could not set

on the selection panel because he had a scheduling conflict. Cox's also stated that Gary Fisen had dealings with WBN in their start up program and he thought Fisen had provided him with good service, and he would be excellent for any Corporate position. I do not think that Cox's would have been biased if he had remained on the selection committee, even after his statement in favor of Fisen.

I was aware that Fisen filed a current DOJ complaint. I don't ^{CEK} think ^{CEK} that I was ^{CEK} made aware of his complaint prior to the selection committee meeting. I do not recall who told me that Fisen file a DOJ complaint. ^{for whom. CEK} So, I am aware that Fisen file a DOJ complaint in 1993 because I was interviewed in that complaint. I do not recall telling any other member of the selection committee that Fisen had file a DOJ complaint. I did discuss Fisen 1993 DOJ complaint with McArthur during the time the complaint was being investigated. I informally interview Fisen for a position at SDN, but it just did not work out (position).

I do not know why Ben Easley
didn't set in on the interview
panel

I have read this statement
and it is correct.

Chuck Kent 6/26/97

Witness

IC. Strating

- NOTICE -

THIS MATERIAL WAS LOANED TO THE NRC BY
THE DEPARTMENT OF LABOR. IT CANNOT BE
REPRODUCED OR DISCLOSED OUTSIDE THE NRC