

From: Steven Long *NLR*
To: Allen Hiser, Joe Birmingham; Michael Marshall
Date: 10/9/02 8:25AM
Subject: Re input on PFM mtg summary

Joe,

I don't think your item 1 is about "circulation flow." Perhaps you are misinterpreting abbreviations for "circumferential flaw." I'm don't know what "loss" could be. We did have a discussion of the adequacy of the sizing methods used for circ flaws. We also had a dicussion about the ocation of circ claws with respect to the J-groove weld location. On further consideration, could your item 1 really be "verify location of circ flaws?"

Steve

>>> Joe Birmingham 10/09/02 07:22AM >>> *NLR*
I need some assistance with the PFM mtg summary. Specifically.

1. My notes show that the MRP agreed to make an attempt to find out where the cracks in the nozzles at the Davis-Besse and Oconee units occurred. What was to be done with this information as far as getting back to NRC?

At the end of the mtg, the MRP agreed to some action items, my notes show

1. verify actual loss of circulation flow
2. run a benchmark with 30 degree through wall
3. verify with Allen about 1600? does this need to go in mtg summary
4. look at yield strength of weld? This was not clear to me
5. How high up (the nozzle should be inspected?)
6. Correlation Coefficient for Steve Long - does this need to be in minutes.
7. Run a distribution maybe using top 4 heights
8. Scanning flaws?

Bill ran through these too quickly for me to get down clearly. Also, it was not clear (to me) whether these items would be discussed in a future mtg or with the staff by other means.

I would appreciate your help in identifying the actions that should be listed as followup items

I have attached the draft mtg summary for your use.

Joe Birmingham
301-415-2829

6/150