

Title of Proposed Activity: Temporary Changes to PC 43 PART 5, SERVICE WATER TO AUXILIARY FEEDWATER PUMP LINE FLUSH MONTHLY, Including Procedural Temporary Modifications TM 02-47 / 48.

Associated Reference(s) #: Bechtel Drawings M-207, Sht. 1A and M-217, Shts. 1 and 2
SCR 99-0874-01 SW to AFW Pump Suction Line Flushing Using Fire Hoses
OM 3.26 Use of Dedicated Operators; NP 8.4.17 PBNP Flooding Barrier Control
NP 8 4.16 PBNP High Energy Line Break Barriers / Vent Paths
Service Water Flow Analysis, Modification MR 89-046*B
SCR 2002-0228 Changes to PC 43 Part 5, OI 70, I-SOP-CC-001, and 2-SOP-CC-001
Calculation 2002-0041.

Prepared by: Scott Manthei
Name (Print)

Scott Manthei
Signature

Date: Nov 4, 2002

Reviewed by: J.C. KENDALL
Name (Print)

J.C. Kendall
Signature

Date: 11/4/02

PART I (50.59/72.48) - DESCRIBE THE PROPOSED ACTIVITY AND SEARCH THE PLANT AND ISFSI LICENSING BASIS (Resource Manual 5.3.1)

NOTE: The "NMC 10 CFR 50.59 Resource Manual" (Resource Manual) and NEI 96-07, Appendix B, Guidelines for 10 CFR 72.48 Implementation should be used for guidance to determine the proper responses for 10 CFR 50.59 and 10 CFR 72.48 screenings.

- 1.1 Describe the proposed activity and the scope of the activity being covered by this screening (The 10 CFR 50.59 / 72.48 review of other portions of the proposed activity may be documented via the applicability and pre-screening process requirements in NP 5.1.8.) Appropriate descriptive material may be attached.

The proposed activity is a temporary change to PC 43 PART 5, to support an alternate method of flushing the Service Water (SW) Supply Lines to the Auxiliary Feedwater (AFW) Pumps, using fire hoses per Procedural Temporary Modifications TM 02-047 and TM 02-048. Presently, flushing of the supply lines is accomplished with the use of a permanent piping arrangement. Prior to this arrangement, flushing was accomplished with the use of a fire hose which was connected to the supply lines and then run through the AFW Room Door, AFW Tunnel Door, through the Unit 1 Turbine Hall and back to the forebay (SCR 99-0874-01). The temporary change will again rely on the use of a fire hose, which will now be directed to a large tank in the Unit 1 Turbine Hall Truck Bay.

The present flushing configuration consists of a permanent piping arrangement that taps into the SW supply line at its lowest point, just prior to the "AFW Suction from SW Control Valves", and returns to the SW Return Header. For the Turbine Driven AFW Pumps (1/2P-29), the piping arrangement consists of 2-1/2 inch pipe, a sight glass (1/2 SG-4338), and an isolation valve (1/2SW-775). A small drain line, containing valves 1AF-25A and 2AF-63A is located at the lowest point of the 2-1/2 inch line, just prior to the sight glass. The temporary change to PC 43 PART 5, directs that the supply and flush lines be drained to a level that allows for the removal of the sight glass, which is installed between two unions. Once the sight glass is removed, a 2-1/2 inch fire hose will be connected with the appropriate fittings (rated for Service Water pressure or higher), and routed through AFW Room Door-321, through AFW Tunnel Door-19, and then to a large tank in the Unit 1 Turbine Hall Truck Bay. The vertical section of pipe, above the position of the sight glass which will be removed, with valve 1/2SW-775 at the end has been seismically evaluated per Calculation 2002-0041. Additional vertical and horizontal support will be added, prior to the removal of the sight glass, to ensure that the SW System remains seismically qualified.

Additionally the flooding concern, introduced by routing the fire hose to the tank in the 8' Elevation of the Turbine Hall, is bounded by "Catastrophic Flooding" in NEM-89-183, Evaluation of NRC Information Notice No. 87-49: Deficiencies In Outside Containment Flooding Protection, March 14, 1989. This led to the installation of back-draft dampers (Modification MR 89-046*B) in the Turbine Buildings to relieve flood water.

ALB

Presently PC 43 PART 5, Rev. 9 establishes Initial Conditions of: *Six Service Water Pumps are Operable OR Five Service Water Pumps are Operable AND all 4 CCW HX inlet and outlet blowdown valves are shut.* During the proposed activity, Six Service Water Pumps must be Operable AND all four Component Cooling Water Heat Exchanger Inlet and Outlet Blowdown Valves are SHUT. This creates a conservative, bounding condition to ensure that the Service Water Flow Analysis limitations, as ensured by T/S 3.7.8 Service Water System and TRM 3.7.7 Service Water System, are NOT challenged.

Service Water is also used as the normal cooling water source for the pump bearings, which will be isolated. The alternate bearing cooling source, Fire Water, will also be isolated. During the proposed activity, the associated Turbine Driven AFW Pump (1/2P-29), will be declared Out of Service and TSAC 3.7.5 B will be voluntarily entered. A Level 3 Dedicated Operator will be assigned to secure flushing by shutting SW-113 / SW-134 as directed by the DSS in accordance with OM 3.26, in the event of any significant leakage, if AOP-9A, SW System Malfunction is entered, or if SW needs to be aligned to the suction of one of the other AFW Pumps. Appropriate Security Measures will be taken and Fire rounds will be established. The requirements of NP 8.4.16 PBNP High Energy Line Break Barriers / Vent Paths and NP 8.4.17 PBNP Flooding Barrier Control will be met.

- I.2 Search the PBNP Current Licensing Basis (CLB) as follows: Final Safety Analysis Report (FSAR), FSAR Change Requests (FCRs) with assigned numbers, the Fire Protection Evaluation Report (FPER), the CLB (Regulatory) Commitment Database, the Technical Specifications, the Technical Specifications Bases, and the Technical Requirements Manual. Search the ISFSI licensing basis as follows: VSC-24 Safety Analysis Report, the VSC-24 Certificate of Compliance, the CLB (Regulatory) Commitment Database, and the VSC-24 10 CFR 72.212 Site Evaluation Report. Describe the pertinent design function(s), performance requirements, and methods of evaluation for both the plant and for the cask/ISFSI as appropriate. Identify where the pertinent information is described in the above documents (by document section number and title). (Resource Manual 5.3.1 and NEI 96-07, App. B, B.2)

The Technical Specifications for the Service Water System [T/S 3.7.8 (Service Water (SW) System)] and the Auxiliary Feedwater System [T/S 3.7.5 (Auxiliary Feedwater (AFW) System)], their associated Bases, TRMs, and the CLB were all surveyed for relevant information regarding the proposed activity. The following documents were found to contain pertinent information:

The use of Service Water as the long-term source of water to the suction of the Auxiliary Feedwater Pumps when the Condensate Storage Tank is not available is described in the following documents:

- FSAR Section 6.2 (Safety Injection System)
- FSAR Section 7.4.3 (AFW Pump Trip on Low Suction Pressure)
- FSAR Section 9.6 (Service Water System)
- FSAR Section 10.2 (Auxiliary Feedwater System)
- FSAR Section 14.1.10 (Loss of Normal Feedwater)
- FSAR Appendix A.5 (Seismic Design Analysis)
- FSAR Table A.6-1 (Shared System Analyses)
- SER 90-0005 (Station Blackout)
- Technical Specifications Bases 3.7.5 (Auxiliary Feedwater (AFW) System)
- Technical Specifications Bases 3.7.8 (Service Water (SW) System)
- TRM 3.7.7 (Service Water System)
- FPER Rev. 1 Page 99 (Mechanical Support)
- GL 89-13 (Program Document)
- VPND-90-027 (Response to GL 89-13 Safety Related Service Water Problem Point Beach Nuclear Plant)
- Appendix C License Condition for DPR-24 Amendment Number 174
- Appendix C License Condition for DPR-27 Amendment Number 178
- NEM-89-183, Evaluation of NRC Information Notice No. 87-49: Deficiencies In Outside Containment Flooding Protection, March 14, 1989.

I.3 Does the proposed activity involve a change to any Technical Specification? Changes to Technical Specifications require a License Amendment Request (Resource Manual Section 5.3.1.2).

Technical Specification Change : Yes No

If a Technical Specification change is required, explain what the change should be and why it is required.

I.4 Does the proposed activity involve a change to the terms, conditions or specifications incorporated in any VSC-24 cask Certificate of Compliance (CoC)? Changes to a VSC-24 cask Certificate of Compliance require a CoC amendment request.

Yes No

If a storage cask Certificate of Compliance change is required, explain what the change should be and why it is required.

----- 10 CFR 50.59 SCREENING -----

PART II (50.59) - DETERMINE IF THE CHANGE INVOLVES A DESIGN FUNCTION (Resource Manual 5.3.2)

Compare the proposed activity to the relevant CLB descriptions, and answer the following questions:

YES	NO	QUESTION
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Does the proposed activity involve Safety Analyses or structures, systems and components (SSCs) credited in the Safety Analyses?
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Does the proposed activity involve SSCs that support SSC(s) credited in the Safety Analyses?
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Does the proposed activity involve SSCs whose failure could initiate a transient (e.g., reactor trip, loss of feedwater, etc.) or accident, <u>OR</u> whose failure could impact SSC(s) credited in the Safety Analyses?
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Does the proposed activity involve CLB-described SSCs or procedural controls that perform functions that are required by, or otherwise necessary to comply with, regulations, license conditions, orders or technical specifications?
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Does the activity involve a <i>method of evaluation</i> described in the FSAR?
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Is the activity a <i>test or experiment</i> ? (i.e., a non-passive activity which gathers data)
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Does the activity exceed or potentially affect a <i>design basis limit for a fission product barrier (DBLFPB)</i> ? (NOTE: If <u>THIS</u> questions is answered <u>YES</u> , a 10 CFR 50.59 Evaluation is required.)

If the answers to ALL of these questions are NO, mark Part III as not applicable, document the 10 CFR 50.59 screening in the conclusion section (Part IV), then proceed directly to Part V - 10 CFR 72.48 Pre-screening Questions.

If any of the above questions are marked YES, identify below the specific design function(s), method of evaluation(s) or DBLFPB(s) involved

The proposed activity affects the use of Service Water as the long-term source of water to the suction of the Turbine Driven AFW Pumps when the Condensate Storage Tank is not available. Service Water is also used as the normal cooling water source for the pump bearings, which will be isolated. The alternate bearing cooling source, Fire Water, will also be isolated. The affected Turbine Driven AFW Pump will be declared Out of Service during the proposed activity.

As well, the Service Water System's capability to provide cooling to Safety Related equipment is also involved. The function of several Doors as HELB, Flood, Fire, and Security barriers, is also included.

PART III (50.59) - DETERMINE WHETHER THE ACTIVITY INVOLVES ADVERSE EFFECTS (Resource Manual 5.3.3)

If ALL the questions in Part II are answered NO, then Part III is NOT APPLICABLE.

Answer the following questions to determine if the activity has an *adverse effect* on a design function. Any YES answer means that a 10 CFR 50.59 Evaluation is required, EXCEPT where noted in Part III.3.

III.1 CHANGES TO THE FACILITY OR PROCEDURES

YES NO QUESTION

Does the activity adversely affect the *design function* of an SSC credited in safety analyses?

Does the activity adversely affect the method of performing or controlling the *design function* of an SSC credited in the safety analyses?

If any answer is YES, a 10 CFR 50.59 Evaluation is required. If both answers are NO, describe the basis for the conclusion (attach additional discussion as necessary):

The proposed activity will not affect the operation, function, or method of performing or controlling the function of the Service Water System or the Auxiliary Feedwater System, or any other SSC described in the CLB. The flushing activity is allowed by the Service Water Flow Analysis and will not affect the performance of the SW and AFW Systems. Subsequently, the declaration of one inoperable AFW Pump, (1/2P-29), will be addressed by TSAC 3.7.5.B. In the event of any significant leakage, if AOP-9A, SW System Malfunction is entered, or if SW needs to be aligned to the suction of one of the other AFW Pumps, the dedicated operator would immediately isolate the hose from the SW System. Applicable Fire, Security, HELB, and FLOOD Barrier procedural requirements, related to propping open doors during the proposed activity, will be met. The vertical section of pipe, above the position of the sight glass which will be removed, with valve 1/2SW-775 at the end has been seismically evaluated per Calculation 2002-0041. Additional vertical and horizontal support will be added, prior to the removal of the sight glass, to ensure that the SW System remains seismically qualified.

III.2 CHANGES TO A METHOD OF EVALUATION

(If the activity does not involve a method of evaluation, these questions are NOT APPLICABLE.)

YES NO QUESTION

Does the activity use a revised or different method of evaluation for performing safety analyses than that described in the CLB?

Does the activity use a revised or different method of evaluation for evaluating SSCs credited in safety analyses than that described in the CLB?

If any answer is YES, a 10 CFR 50.59 Evaluation is required. If both answers are NO, describe the basis for the conclusion (attach additional discussion, as necessary).

III.3 TESTS OR EXPERIMENTS

If the activity is not a test or experiment, the questions in III.3.a and III.3.b are NOT APPLICABLE.

a. Answer these two questions first:

YES NO QUESTION

Is the proposed test or experiment bounded by other tests or experiments that are described in the CLB?

Are the SSCs affected by the proposed test or experiment isolated from the facility?

If the answer to BOTH questions in V.3.a is NO, continue to III.3.b. If the answer to EITHER question is YES, then describe the basis.

b. Answer these additional questions ONLY for tests or experiments which do NOT meet the criteria given in III.3.a above. If the answer to either question in III.3 a is YES, then these three questions are NOT APPLICABLE.

YES	NO	QUESTION
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Does the activity utilize or control an SSC in a manner that is outside the reference bounds of the design bases as described in the CLB?
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Does the activity utilize or control an SSC in a manner that is inconsistent with the analyses or descriptions in the CLB?
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Does the activity place the facility in a condition not previously evaluated or that could affect the capability of an SSC to perform its intended functions?

If any answer in III.3.b is YES, a 10 CFR 50.59 Evaluation is required. If the answers in III.3.b are ALL NO, describe the basis for the conclusion (attach additional discussion as necessary)

The proposed activity will not affect the operation, function, or method of performing or controlling the function of the Service Water System or the Auxiliary Feedwater System, or any other SSC described in the CLB. The flushing activity is bounded by the Service Water Flow Analysis and will not affect the performance of the SW and AFW Systems. Subsequently, the declaration of one inoperable AFW Pump will be addressed by TSAC 3.7.5.B. In the event of any significant leakage, if AOP-9A, SW System Malfunction is entered, or if SW needs to be aligned to the suction of one of the other AFW Pumps, the dedicated operator would immediately isolate the hose from the SW System. The vertical section of pipe, above the position of the sight glass which will be removed, with valve 1/2SW-775 at the end has been seismically evaluated per Calculation 2002-0041. Additional vertical and horizontal support will be added, prior to the removal of the sight glass, to ensure that the SW System remains seismically qualified. Flood concerns are bounded by existing analysis and barriers / flow paths. Finally, applicable Fire, Security, HELB, and FLOOD Barrier procedural requirements, related to propping open doors during the proposed activity, will be met.

Part IV - 10 CFR 50.59 SCREENING CONCLUSION (Resource Manual 5.3.4).

Check all that apply:

A 10 CFR 50.59 Evaluation is required or NOT required.

A Point Beach FSAR change is required or NOT required. If an FSAR change is required, then initiate an FSAR Change Request (FCR) per NP 5.2.6.

A Regulatory Commitment (CLB Commitment Database) change is required or NOT required. If a Regulatory Commitment Change is required, initiate a commitment change per NP 5.1.7.

A Technical Specification Bases change is required or NOT required. If a change to the Technical Specification Bases is required, then initiate a Technical Specification Bases change per NP 5.2.15.

A Technical Requirements Manual change is required or NOT required. If a change to the Technical Requirements Manual is required, then initiate a Technical Requirements Manual change per NP 5.2.15.

----- 10 CFR 72.48 SCREENING -----

NOTE: NEI 96-07, Appendix B, Guidelines for 10 CFR 72.48 Implementation should be used for guidance to determine the proper responses for 72.48 screenings.

PART V (72.48) - 10 CFR 72.48 INITIAL SCREENING QUESTIONS

Part V determines if a full 10 CFR 72.48 screening is required to be completed (Parts VI and VII) for the proposed activity.

- | YES | NO | QUESTION |
|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | Does the proposed activity involve <u>IN ANY MANNER</u> the dry fuel storage cask(s), the cask transfer/transport equipment, any ISFSI facility SSC(s), or any ISFSI facility monitoring as follows: Multi-Assembly Sealed Basket (MSB), MSB Transfer Cask (MTC), MTC Lifting Yoke, Ventilated Concrete Cask (VCC), Ventilated Storage Cask (VSC), VSC Transporter (VCST), ISFSI Storage Pad Facility, ISFSI Storage Pad Data/Communication Links, or PPCS/ISFSI Continuous Temperature Monitoring System? |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | Does the proposed activity involve <u>IN ANY MANNER</u> SSC(s) installed in the plant specifically added to support cask loading/unloading activities, as follows: Cask Dewatering System (CDW), Cask Reflood System (CRF), or Hydrogen Monitoring System? |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | Does the proposed activity involve <u>IN ANY MANNER</u> SSC(s) needed for plant operation which are also used to support cask loading/unloading activities, as follows: Spent Fuel Pool (SFP), SFP Cooling and Filtration (SF), Primary Auxiliary Building Ventilation System (VNPAB), Drumming Area Ventilation System (VNDRM), RE-105 (SFP Low Range Monitor), RE-135 (SFP High Range Monitor), RE-221 (Drumming Area Vent Gas Monitor), RE-325 (Drumming Area Exhaust Low-Range Gas Monitor), PAB Crane, SFP Platform Bridge, Truck Access Area, or Decon Area? |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | Does the proposed activity involve a change to <u>Point Beach CLB</u> design criteria for external events such as earthquakes, tornadoes, high winds, flooding, etc.? |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | Does the activity involve plant heavy load requirements or procedures for areas of the plant used to support cask loading/unloading activities? |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | Does the activity involve any potential for fire or explosion where casks are loaded, unloaded, transported or stored? |

If ANY of the Part V questions are answered YES, then a full 10 CFR 72.48 screening is required and answers to the questions in Part VI and Part VII are to be provided. If ALL the questions in Part V are answered NO, then check Parts VI and VII as not applicable. Complete Part VIII to document the conclusion that no 10 CFR 72.48 evaluation is required.

PART VI (72.48) - DETERMINE IF THE CHANGE INVOLVES A ISFSI LICENSING BASIS *DESIGN FUNCTION*

(If ALL the questions in Part V are NO, then Part VI is NOT APPLICABLE.)

Compare the proposed activity to the relevant portions of the ISFSI licensing basis and answer the following questions:

- | YES | NO | QUESTION |
|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|---|
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | Does the proposed activity involve cask/ISFSI Safety Analyses or plant/cask/ISFSI structures, systems and components (SSCs) credited in the Safety Analyses? |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | Does the proposed activity involve plant, cask or ISFSI SSCs that support SSC(s) credited in the Safety Analyses? |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | Does the proposed activity involve plant, cask or ISFSI SSCs whose function is relied upon for prevention of a radioactive release, <u>OR</u> whose failure could impact SSC(s) credited in the Safety Analyses? |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | Does the proposed activity involve cask/ISFSI described SSCs or procedural controls that perform functions that are required by, or otherwise necessary to comply with, regulations, license conditions, CoC conditions, or orders? |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | Does the activity involve a <i>method of evaluation</i> described in the ISFSI licensing basis? |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | Is the activity a <i>test or experiment</i> ? (i.e., a non-passive activity which gathers data) |

- Does the activity exceed or potentially affect a cask *design basis limit for a fission product barrier (DBLFPB)*?
(NOTE: If THIS question is answered YES, a 10 CFR 72.48 Evaluation is required.)

If the answers to ALL of these questions are NO, mark Parts VII as not applicable, and document the 10 CFR 72.48 screening in the conclusion section (Part VIII).

If any of the above questions are marked YES, identify below the specific design function(s), method of evaluation(s) or DBLFPB(s) involved.

The SW System provides cooling to the Spent Fuel Pool (SFP) Heat Exchangers. The proposed activity, Temporary Changes to PC 43 PART 5, Service Water To Auxiliary Feedwater Pump Line Flush Monthly, including Procedural Temporary Modifications TM 02-47 / 48, is bounded by the Service Water Flow Analysis limitations, as ensured by T/S 3.7.8 Service Water System and TRM 3.7.7 Service Water System; therefore, the SW System's ability to provide sufficient flow to the SFP HXs will NOT be challenged

PART VII (72.48) - DETERMINE WHETHER THE ACTIVITY INVOLVES ADVERSE EFFECTS (NEI 96-07, Appendix B, Section B.4.2.1)

(If ALL the questions in Part V or Part VI are answered NO, then Part VII is NOT APPLICABLE.)

Answer the following questions to determine if the activity has an *adverse effect* on a design function. Any YES answer means that a 10 CFR 72.48 Evaluation is required; EXCEPT where noted in Part VII.3.

VII.1 Changes to the Facility or Procedures

YES NO QUESTION

- Does the activity adversely affect the *design function* of a plant, cask, or ISFSI SSC credited in safety analyses?
- Does the activity adversely affect the method of performing or controlling the *design function* of a plant, cask, or ISFSI SSC credited in the safety analyses?

If any answer is YES, a 10 CFR 72.48 Evaluation is required. If both answers are NO, describe the basis for the conclusion (attach additional discussion, as necessary):

VII.2 Changes to a Method of Evaluation

(If the activity does not involve a method of evaluation, these questions are NOT APPLICABLE.)

YES NO QUESTION

- Does the activity use a revised or different method of evaluation for performing safety analyses than that described in a cask SAR?
- Does the activity use a revised or different method of evaluation for evaluating SSCs credited in safety analyses than that described in a cask SAR?

If any answer is YES, a 10 CFR 72.48 Evaluation is required. If both answers are NO, describe the basis for the conclusion (attach additional discussion, as necessary):

VII.3 Tests or Experiments

(If the activity is not a test or experiment, the questions in VII.3.a and VII.3.b are NOT APPLICABLE.)

a Answer these two questions first:

- | YES | NO | QUESTION |
|--------------------------|--------------------------|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | Is the proposed test or experiment bounded by other tests or experiments that are described in the cask ISFSI licensing basis? |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | Are the SSCs affected by the proposed test or experiment isolated from the cask(s) or ISFSI facility? |

If the answer to both questions is NO, continue to VII.3.b. If the answer to EITHER question is YES, then briefly describe the basis.

b. Answer these additional questions ONLY for tests or experiments which do not meet the criteria given in VII.3.a above. If the answer to either question in VII.3.a is YES, then these three questions are NOT APPLICABLE:

- | YES | NO | QUESTION |
|--------------------------|--------------------------|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | Does the activity utilize or control an SSC in a manner that is outside the reference bounds of the design bases as described in the ISFSI licensing basis? |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | Does the activity utilize or control a plant, cask or ISFSI facility SSC in a manner that is inconsistent with the analyses or descriptions in the ISFSI licensing basis? |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | Does the activity place the cask or ISFSI facility in a condition not previously evaluated or that could affect the capability of a plant, cask, or ISFSI SSC to perform its intended functions? |

If any answer in VII.3.b is YES, a 10 CFR 72.48 Evaluation is required. If the answers are all NO, describe the basis for the conclusion (attach additional discussion as necessary):

PART VIII - DOCUMENT THE CONCLUSION OF THE 10 CFR 72.48 SCREENING

Check all that apply:

A 10 CFR 72.48 Evaluation is required or NOT required. Obtain a screening number and provide the original to Records Management regardless of the conclusion of the 50.59 or 72.48 screening.

A VSC-24 cask Safety Analysis Report change is required or NOT required. If a VSC-24 cask SAR change is required, then contact the Point Beach Dry Fuel Storage group supervisor.

A Regulatory Commitment (CLB Commitment Database) change is required or NOT required. If a Regulatory Commitment Change is required, initiate a commitment change per NP 5.1.7.

A change to the VSC-24 10 CFR 72.212 Site Evaluation Report is required or NOT required. If a VSC-24 10 CFR 72.212 Site Evaluation Report change is required, then contact the Point Beach Dry Fuel Storage group supervisor.