March 14, 2003

Mr. Michael M. Corletti Passive Plant Projects & Development AP600 & AP1000 Projects Westinghouse Electric Company Post Office Box 355 Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania 15230-0355

SUBJECT: REQUESTS FOR ADDITIONAL INFORMATION - AP1000 DESIGN CERTIFICATION REVIEW (TAC NO. MB5491)

Dear Mr. Corletti:

By letter dated March 28, 2002, Westinghouse Electric Company (Westinghouse) submitted its application for final design approval and standard design certification for the AP1000.

The Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) staff is performing a detailed review of your design certification application to ensure that the information is sufficiently complete to enable the NRC staff to reach a final conclusion on all safety questions associated with the design before the certification is granted.

The NRC staff has determined that additional information is necessary to continue the review. The requests for additional information (RAIs) are included in the enclosure. The topics covered in these RAIs include the areas of resolution of unresolved safety issues/generic safety issues. These RAIs were sent to you via electronic mail on February 28, 2003. You agreed to provide your responses to these RAIs by March 31, 2003.

If you have any questions or comments concerning this matter, you may contact me at (301) 415-2753 or jxc1@nrc.gov.

Sincerely,

/RA/

Joseph Colaccino, Senior Project Manager New Reactor Licensing Project Office Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Docket No. 52-006

Enclosure: As stated

cc: See next page

Mr. Michael M. Corletti Passive Plant Projects & Development AP600 & AP1000 Projects Westinghouse Electric Company Post Office Box 355 Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania 15230-0355

SUBJECT: REQUESTS FOR ADDITIONAL INFORMATION - AP1000 DESIGN CERTIFICATION REVIEW (TAC NO. MB5491)

Dear Mr. Corletti:

By letter dated March 28, 2002, Westinghouse Electric Company (Westinghouse) submitted its application for final design approval and standard design certification for the AP1000.

The Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) staff is performing a detailed review of your design certification application to ensure that the information is sufficiently complete to enable the NRC staff to reach a final conclusion on all safety questions associated with the design before the certification is granted.

The NRC staff has determined that additional information is necessary to continue the review. The requests for additional information (RAIs) are included in the enclosure. The topics covered in these RAIs include the areas of resolution of unresolved safety issues/generic safety issues. These RAIs were sent to you via electronic mail on February 28, 2003. You agreed to provide your responses to these RAIs by March 31, 2003.

If you have any questions or comments concerning this matter, you may contact me at (301) 415-2753 or jxc1@nrc.gov.

Sincerely,

/RA/

Joseph Colaccino, Senior Project Manager New Reactor Licensing Project Office Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Docket No. 52-006

Enclosure: As stated

cc: See next page

Distribution Hard Cop PUBLIC NRLPO F JColaccir JLyons MGambe JWilliams *See prev ACCESS	on: JSegala R/F JStarfos no roni s vious concurren ION NO. ML030	ce 0660605	<u>E-Mail</u> SCollins RBorchard ACRS OGC LBrown MPohida	J dt M C	IWermiel AJohnson GHsii
OFFICE	NRLPO/PM	NRLPO/LPM*	SRXB/BC*	SPSB/BC*	NRLPO/DD
NAME	JColaccino:cn	JSegala	JWermiel	MJohnson	MGamberoni-JMS3 for
DATE	03/14/03	03/14/03	03/12/03	03/14/03	03/14/03

OFFICIAL RECORD COPY

<u>Requests for Additional Information (RAIs)</u> <u>AP1000 Standard Design Certification</u>

Series 440 - Reactor Systems

440.185 - Shutdown Modes SGTR Analysis

Westinghouse indicated in design control document (DCD) Section 19E4.7.3 that the margin to the steam generator (SG) overfill would be maintained for the SG tube rupture (SGTR) events initiated at lower power levels and shutdown modes even with higher initial SG inventory corresponding to the lower mode conditions. The staff notes that the margin to SG overfill depends on parameters such as the initial SG water inventory, time to actuate the passive residual heat removal (PRHR) for cooling and depressurization, and time to terminate the chemical volume control system (CVCS) flow. In the absence of a quantitative analysis for SG overfill, it is not clear that the margin to SG overfill can be maintained for the SGTR events.

Provide an analysis to show adequacy of the AP1000 design for the SG overfill prevention during an SGTR event in shutdown modes. The analysis should include the following cases (which were analyzed for the AP600): (1) Mode 3 with the reactor coolant system (RCS) at no-load conditions; (2) Mode 4 with the RCS at 420 degrees F and 1900 psig (the maximum RCS pressure on the basis of the required primary to secondary differential pressure specified in operating procedures); and (3) Mode 4 with the RCS at 350 degrees F and 1000 psig (the maximum RCS temperature is 350 degrees F).

440.186 - Inadvertent PSV opening or ADS Actuation

Westinghouse indicated in DCD Section 19E.4.7.1 that the loss of RCS inventory aspects of the inadvertent opening of a pressurizer safety valve and inadvertent actuation of automatic depressurization valve events are covered in Subsection 19E.4.8.2 (or 19E.4.8 as corrected in a February 13 conference call). The staff notes that DCD Section 19E.4.8 discusses the loss-of-coolant accident (LOCA) analysis at Mode 3 conditions and the loss of the RNS events during shutdown modes. No specific information in DCD Section 19E.4.8 is related to the loss inventory aspects of the inadvertent opening of valves in shutdown modes.

Provide the exact information that is intended to be used for completion of the DCD Section 19E.4.7.1 discussion.

440.187 - Reactor Cavity Seal

Current plants use temporary reactor cavity seals to flood the refueling cavities. Failure of these seals can divert water to the reactor pit, and subsequently to the reactor floor drains, and may result in a loss of shielding and fuel cooling during spent fuel assembly movement. Please identify the connections to the refueling cavity that could drain the filled refueling pools during refueling operations, discuss any potential loss of refueling water scenarios associated with reactor cavity, and the provisions to prevent the loss of refueling water events from occurring.

Series 451 - Meteorology

451.008

The relative concentration (X/Q) value provided in the AP1000 DCD is listed for the <u>site</u> boundary. However, dose regulations are based upon the <u>exclusion area</u> boundary (EAB). Is Westinghouse assuming that the site boundary values also apply to the EAB? If so, this should be explicitly stated.

Series 720 - Reliability and Risk Assessment

720.099

Vacuum refill of the RCS from drained conditions was mentioned; however, no risk assessment was done for this plant configuration. Passive residual heat removal (RHR) should be operable according to the AP1000 Technical Specifications during this plant configuration since the RCS would be closed which may reduce risk. Document in the AP1000 shutdown probabilistic risk assessment (PRA) the additional plant risk occurring from vacuum refill of the RCS during drained conditions.

AP 1000

CC:

Mr. W. Edward Cummins AP600 and AP1000 Projects Westinghouse Electric Company P.O. Box 355 Pittsburgh, PA 15230-0355

Mr. H. A. Sepp Westinghouse Electric Company P.O. Box 355 Pittsburgh, PA 15230

Lynn Connor Doc-Search Associates 2211 SW 1ST Ave - #1502 Portland, OR 97201

Barton Z. Cowan, Esq. Eckert Seamans Cherin & Mellott, LLC 600 Grant Street 44th Floor Pittsburgh, PA 15219

Mr. Ed Rodwell, Manager Advanced Nuclear Plants' Systems Electric Power Research Institute 3412 Hillview Avenue Palo Alto, CA 94304-1395

Charles Brinkman, Director Washington Operations Westinghouse Electric Company 12300 Twinbrook Parkway, Suite 330 Rockville, MD 20852

Mr. R. Simard Nuclear Energy Institute 1776 I Street NW Suite 400 Washington, DC 20006

Mr. Thomas P. Miller U.S. Department of Energy Headquarters - Germantown 19901 Germantown Road Germantown, MD 20874-1290

Mr. David Lochbaum Nuclear Safety Engineer Union of Concerned Scientists 1707 H Street NW, Suite 600 Washington, DC 20006-3919 Mr. Paul Gunter Nuclear Information & Resource Service 1424 16th Street, NW., Suite 404 Washington, DC 20036

Mr. Tom Clements 6703 Guide Avenue Takoma Park, MD 20912

Mr. James Riccio Greenpeace 702 H Street, NW, Suite 300 Washington, DC 20001

Mr. James F. Mallay, Director Regulatory Affairs FRAMATOME, ANP 3315 Old Forest Road Lynchburg, VA 24501

Mr. Ed Wallace, General Manager Project Management Lake Buena Vista Bldg., 3rd Floor 1267 Gordon Hood Avenue Centurion 0046 Republic of South Africa PO Box 9396 Centurion 0046

Mr. Vince Langman Licensing Manager Atomic Energy of Canada Limited 2251 Speakman Drive Mississauga, Ontario Canada L5K 1B2

Mr. Gary Wright, Manager Office of Nuclear Facility Safety Illinois Department of Nuclear Safety 1035 Outer Park Drive Springfield, IL 62704

Dr. Gail H. Marcus U.S. Department of Energy Room 5A-143 1000 Independence Ave., SW Washington, DC 20585

Mr. Edwin Lyman Nuclear Control Institute 1000 Connecticut Avenue, NW Suite 410 Washington, DC 20036 Mr. Jack W. Roe SCIENTECH, INC. 910 Clopper Road Gaithersburg, MD 20878

Patricia Campbell Winston & Strawn 1400 L Street, NW Washington, DC 20005

Mr. David Ritter Research Associate on Nuclear Energy Public Citizens Critical Mass Energy and Environmental Program 215 Pennsylvania Avenue, SE Washington, DC 20003