

1 burns for a long duration. Those have been
2 eliminated. So we would hopefully never get there in
3 this part of it.

4 So given that, we moved onto our data
5 gathering and analysis tasks. We did this --

6 MEMBER WALLIS: I'm sorry. So the end
7 state where we subsequently stopped worrying about it
8 isn't necessarily where it's suppressed. It could
9 have been decreased in size by some initial action
10 which made it harmless but it still needs to be
11 suppressed fully but the actual risk stops at an
12 earlier stage than your final outcome.

13 MR. NOWLEN: That's correct. There is a
14 big debate about what we really mean by suppressing a
15 fire. And in the risk context we typically are
16 satisfied with controlling the fire to the point where
17 it's not causing any further damage to my plant
18 systems and components. So in a sense, we're really
19 looking at fire control. We do have to put them out
20 and there's a chance that if you don't do that, it
21 reflashs and there are a lot of issues there, but
22 yes, we're really interested in ending the damage and
23 making it so nothing more is going to fail.

24 Okay so we -- again, information
25 gathering, at the time Jim Houghton had a draft data

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE, N.W.
WASHINGTON, D C 20005-3701