

P.O. Box 968 Richland, Washington 99352-0968

February 25, 2003 GO2-03-034

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Document Control Desk Washington, DC 20555

Dear Sir or Madam:

# Subject: COLUMBIA GENERATING STATION, DOCKET NO. 50-397 LICENSEE EVENT REPORT NO. 2002-005-00

Transmitted herewith is Licensee Event Report No. 2002-005-00 for Columbia Generating Station. This report is submitted pursuant to 10 CFR 50.73(a)(2)(i)(B). The enclosed report discusses items of reportability and corrective actions taken.

Should you have any questions or desire additional information regarding this matter, please call Ms. CL Perino at (509) 377-2075.

Respectfully,

DK Alfran

DK Atkinson Vice President, Technical Services Mail Drop PE08

Attachment

cc: EW Merschoff – NRC RIV BJ Benney – NRC-NRR INPO Records Center NRC Sr. Resident Inspector – 988C (2) RN Sherman – BPA/1399 TC Poindexter – Winston & Strawn WB Jones – NRC RIV/fax

IE22

NRC FORM (1-2001)	366 ENS (S	EE E See reve digits/cf	VEN erse for n haracters	U.S. T RE equired s for eac	NUCLEAR R	EGULA COMMIS	TORY	A E: ar ar 20 In Bi ar	PPROV stimated quest f anagem 0555-000 formatio udget, W ot displa and a pers	/ED BY O burden p 50 hours ack to ind ent Branch 01, or by ir n and Regi /ashington, y a current son is not re	MB Repu ustro nterr ulato DC ly va	B NO. 3150-010 response to corr orted lessons lea y Send comm -6 E6), US NL bory Affairs, NEOD -20503 If a mu alid OMB control red to respond to	04 mply v arned lents r uclear o <u>ps1@r</u> B-1020 eans u l numt o, the t	vith this i are incorp egarding Regulato <u>inc gov</u> , a 22 (3150-0 ised to im per, the N information	manda borate burde ry Co nd to 0104), pose RC m a colle	EXPIR atory inform d into the lin m estimate mmission, 1 the Desk C Office of M information lay not cond ction	<b>RES 6-30-2001</b> nation collection censing process to the Records Washington DC Officer, Office of lanagement and collection does duct or sponsor,
FACILITY NA	ME (1)							D	OCKET	NUMBER	: (2)	)				PAGE (3	3)
Columbia	Gener	ating	Static	n						5	0-3	397				1 of 5	5
TITLE (4)														<u></u>			
Main Stea overloads.	m Lea	ikage	Contr	ol Fa	n potentiall	y inope	erable	e dı	iring a	a design	ba	asis acciden	t due	e to une	ders	ized the	rmal
EVENT	DATE	(5)		LE	R NUMBER (	5)	F	REPO	ORT DA	ATE (7)	T	OTł	IER F	ACILITI	ES IN	VOLVED	(8)
мо	DAY	YEAF	R YE	AR	SEQUENTIAL NUMBER	REV NO	м	з	DAY	YEAR	Ī	FACILITY NAM	ЛE		DOC	KET NUM	IBER
12	28	2002	20	02 -	- 005 -	00	02	2	25	2003	1	FACILITY NAM	ΛE		DOC	KET NUM	IBER
OPERATI	NG	1		THI	S REPORT IS	SUBMIT	TED P	URS	UANT 1	TO THE RI	EQι	JIREMENTS OI	F 10 C	FR §: (C	heck	all that ap	ply) (11)
MODE (S	9)			20.2	201(b)		2	0 22	03(a)(3	i)(II)		50.73(a)(2	2)(II)(E	3)		50 73(a)	(2)(IX)(A)
POWER	K N	100%	·	20.2	201(d)		2	20.22	03(a)(4	·)		50.73(a)(2	2)(III)	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	50.73(a)	(2)(x)
	0)			20.2	$\frac{203(a)(1)}{203(a)(2)(i)}$		5	0.30	(C)(1)(1)			50.73(a)(2	2)(12)(	<u>A)</u>		73 71(a)	(4)
* *15 *	» « ( « ) «	-		20.2	203(a)(2)(u)			0.30	$\frac{1}{2}(c)(2)$	<u>, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , </u>	+-	50.73(a)(2	$\frac{1}{2}$	<u>-y</u>	<u> </u>	Other	(5)
· `,` · · ,		۰.		20 2	203(a)(2)(in)		5	0.46	(a)(3)(ii	)	+-	50.73(a)(2	2)(v)(0)	<u></u>		Specify in	Abstract below or
Sta to Dia		- ` ; ; =		20 2	203(a)(2)(iv)		5	0.73	(a)(2)(i	)(A)		50.73(a)(2	2)(v)([	) )		in NRC Fo	rm 366A
, î - Ĵ - Ĵ		, [	-	20 2	203(a)(2)(v)		X 5	60.73	(a)(2)(i	)(B)		50.73(a)(2	2)(VII)			, • <u>,</u> , .	,
		<u>,</u> , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		20 2	203(a)(2)(vi)		5	0.73	(a)(2)(i	)(C)		50.73(a)(2	2)(viii)	(A)		3 J	
4 × 4				20 2	203(a)(3)(i)		5	60.73	(a)(2)(ı	)(A)		50.73(a)(2	2)(viii)	(B)	^ <sup>^</sup>		· · · · · ·
					L	ICENSE	E COI	NTA	CT FOI	<u>r this le</u>	<u>:R (</u>	(12)					
NAME										רן	EL	EPHONE NUM	<b>IBER</b>	(include	Area	a Code)	
Pamela K.	Ankru	m								(	509	9) 377-4513					
		C	COMPL	ete o	NE LINE FOR	EACH	COMP	ONE	ENT FA	JLURE DI	ESC	CRIBED IN TH	IS RE	PORT (	13)		
CAUSE	SYST	ЕМ	СОМРО	NENT	MANU- FACTURER	R	PORTA	ABLE IX		CAUSE		SYSTEM	COM	PONENT	FA	MANU- CTURER	REPORTABLE TO EPIX
D	SB		FAN		B515	Y			* •	D		SB	MS	TR	100	)5	Y
D	SB		MO		W120	Y			14								
		SUP	PLEM	ENTAL	REPORT EX	PECTE	) (14)					EXPECTED		MONT	нí	DAY	YEAR
YES (If	yes, co	mplete	EXPE	CTED	SUBMISSION	DATE).			x	NO		SUBMISSIO DATE (15)	N				

ABSTRACT (Limit to 1400 spaces, i.e., approximately 15 single-spaced typewritten lines) (16)

On December 23, 2002, with the plant in Mode 1 at 100% power, the Main Steam Isolation Valve Leakage Control Fan 1 (MSLC-FN-1) tripped off during its monthly surveillance after approximately 45 minutes of operation. Operators reset the thermal overload (TOL) relays and the fan re-started. The fan tripped a second time after approximately 50 minutes of operation. Upon investigation, it was determined that the TOL relays for the fan motor were undersized for the application. On December 29, 2002, new properly sized TOLs were installed and the system was returned to an operable status.

The root cause for the undersized TOL relays was a lack of programmatic instructions to ensure communication between organizations. A new motor was installed in 1991 and the nameplate load on the new motor was higher than the original motor. There was no direction to verify or update the governing electrical drawing E528. A contributing cause appears to be a wrong assumption that the difference in the full load amps between the new and original motor required no further actions.

The corrective action for this event is already in place. Current plant procedures establish a limitation on use for motors, and direct the E528 drawing to be revised when new motor attributes are different from the motor being replaced. Additional recommendations have been made to improve the motor limitation on use and to perform a partial review of electrical drawing E528 to ensure drawing fidelity.

C FORM 366A 2001)			U.S. NI	UCLEAR REGULA	TORY COMMISS
LI	CENSEE EVE	NT REPC	RT (LER)		
FACILITY NAME (1)	DOCKET (2)		LER NUMBER (6)	DEM/01011	PAGE (3)
Columbia Generating Station	50-397	YEAR	NUMBER	NUMBER	2 OF 5
		2002-00			
NARRATIVE (If more space is required, u	se additional copies c	f NRC Form 3	56A) <b>(17)</b>		
DESCRIPTION OF EVENT					
Steam Isolation Valve Leakage C run after approximately 45 minut and the fan re-started. The fan the fan was logged into the plant data initiated. The surveillance was re- tripped again after approximately equivalent TOLs, the fan was rete determined on December 28, 200 application. On December 29, 24 was returned to an operable statu There were no other structures, s that contributed to the event. Although the fan was declared in feasible well within the allowable indication that this inoperability v undersized TOLs were discovered inoperable for much longer, and discovery. Therefore, this LER FN-1 was out of service for appr- thermal overloads until it was ret	control Fan 1 (MS es of operation. ipped a second ti- abase as inoperab- epeated on Decer 50 minutes. On ested, and succes 2 that the TOL r 002, properly siz s. systems, or comp operable on Decer e technical specifi was a historical p d on December 2 this problem was is being submitter oximately one da urned to operable	SLC-FN-1) Operators r me after ap le and a wo nber 27, 20 December sfully ran for elays for the ed TOLs wo onents that mber 23, 2 cation limit roblem that 8, 2002, ind determined d within 60 y from the just status.	tripped off durin eset the thermal proximately 50 r rk request to invo 02 to evaluate th 28, 2002, the TC or two hours. Up e fan motor were ere installed and were inoperable 002, repair of the of 30 days. At should be consid licating that the I to be reportable days of December point of discover	g its monthly s overload (TOL ninutes of oper estigate the pro- e problem. Ma DLs were repla pon investigation tested, and the at the start of the lered reportable MSLC-FN-1 we from the poin er 28, 2002. The y of the unders	urveillance ) relays ation. The bblem was SLC-FN-1 ced with on, it was r this system he event eared to be e was no e. The vas likely t of The MSLC- ized
MSLC-FN-1 had passed its surve until December 23, 2002. Howe December 29, 2002 because, with FN-1 could have operated continu- the fan has been inoperable since to an operable status within 30 da Technical Specifications existed. CFR 50.73(a)(2)(i)(B).	illance testing be ver, it is consider the undersized uously for 180 da the motor was re tys by the Techni Therefore, this	tween the ti red to have TOLs, there sys as assum eplaced in 1 cal Specific event is rep	me the motor wa been inoperable is no justification and in the design 991, and the fan ations, a condition portable to the NI	as replaced in N from May 199 on to support the basis of the platic is required to 1 on prohibited b RC in accordar	May 1991 1 until nat MSLC- ant. Since be restored y the nce with 10
The MSLC-FN-1 had been replace the full load amperage for the net	ced in 1991 with w motor was 2.4	a similar m amps instea	otor. The motor	s were identica 2.1 amps. Th	l except e TOL

NRC FORM 366A (1-2001)	, <u>·</u> ·	U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION						
Ľ	CENSEE EVE	NT REPOR	RT (LER)					
FACILITY NAME (1)	DOCKET (2)		LER NUMBER (6)		PAGE (3)			
Columbia Constation	50 207	YEAR	SEQUENTIAL NUMBER	REVISION NUMBER	2055			
Columbia Generating Station	50-397	2002-005-	00	3013				

### IMMEDIATE CORRECTIVE ACTIONS

On December 23, 2002, a work request was initiated to investigate the tripping of the TOL relays for MSLC-FN-1 and the problem was entered into Energy Northwest's corrective action program. The surveillance was repeated on December 27, 2002 to evaluate the problem. On December 28, 2002, the TOLs were replaced with equivalent TOLs and retested successfully. Station personnel calculated the correct TOL size per Energy Northwest Engineering Standards Manual, General Fuse Selection Criteria and the Electrical Protection of 460 VAC and 125-250 VDC Motors (EES-5), and discovered the G30T26 size TOL was undersized for the motor application. On December 29, new properly sized TOLs were installed and tested, and the system was declared operable.

The outboard fan, MSLC-FN-2, was inspected to determine if it had a similar problem. It was found that this fan motor had been replaced with the refurbished MSLC-FN-1 motor and the motor was as originally designed. The TOL relays were correctly sized as installed; therefore, MSLC-FN-2 was determined to be operable.

A root cause analysis was initiated to determine the cause of the failure.

### FURTHER EVALUATION

The purpose of the MSLC System is to control and minimize the release of fission products, which could leak past closed MSIVs following a Loss of Coolant Accident (LOCA). MSLC-FN-1, part of the Inboard MSLC system, draws on the main steam lines between the closed inboard MSIVs and the outboard MSIVs and discharges to the Standby Gas Treatment (SGT) System for processing prior to release to the environment.

The fan motor (MSLC-M-FN/1) is a continuous duty Westinghouse Model TBFC/213T, 1.5HP, 460VAC, 3-phase motor (Class 1E). Three fuses and three TOL heaters for the three thermal overload relays (one on each phase line) protect the motor from damage due to electrical shorts or prolonged overcurrent conditions. The components involved in system inoperability include the following:

- 1. System SB, Component FAN, Manufacturer B515
- 2. System SB, Component MO, Manufacturer W120
- 3. System SB, Component MSTR, Manufacturer 1005

No other components were adversely affected by the undersized TOLs and all other components in the MSLC system functioned properly and as expected. The undersized TOLs and their relays were expected to trip at or near the running current for the installed MSLC-FN-1 motor.

The MSLC-FN-1 passed its surveillance testing between the time the motor was replaced in May 1991 until December 23, 2002. However, it is considered to have been inoperable from May 1991 until December 29, 2002 because the TOLs were undersized, and small perturbations in the electrical system could have caused the motor to unnecessarily trip.

NRC FORM 366A (1-2001)	U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION							
	LICENSEE EVE	INT REPO	RT (LER)					
FACILITY NAME (1)	DOCKET (2)		LER NUMBER (6)		PAGE (3)			
Columbia Constating Station	50 207	YEAR	SEQUENTIAL NUMBER	REVISION NUMBER	4.055			
Columbia Generating Station	50-397	2002-005	5-00		4 Ur 5			

### CAUSE OF THE EVENT

A root cause analysis was performed to determine the cause of the MSLC-FN-1 failure. The root cause for the undersized TOL relay heaters was a lack of programmatic instructions to ensure communication between organizations. A new motor was installed in 1991 and the nameplate load on the new motor was higher than the original motor. There was no direction that the Motor Control Center (MCC) Equipment Overload Summary drawing E528 would need to be revised. A contributing cause appears to be a wrong assumption that the difference in the full load amps required no further actions.

The root cause analysis considered additional contributors to the failure, such as the possibility of high ambient temperatures, increased electrical resistance, prolonged degraded voltage and motor load as potential causes. However, each of these potential contributors were eliminated as contributors to the failure.

#### ASSESSMENT OF SAFETY CONSEQUENCES

There were minimal safety consequences associated with MSLC-FN-1 being inoperable because redundant equipment was capable of performing the safety function of the MSLC system. The TOLs and fuses for MSLC-FN-2, the outboard fan to MSLC-FN-1, were found to be sized correctly for the installed motor. Therefore, this fan's electrical protection was as designed and this fan was operable. A review was conducted to determine the dates and length of time MSLC-FN-2 was out of service over the past 5 years. This review concluded that all of the out of service times for MSLC-FN-2 over the last five years have been less than the Technical Specification Limiting Conditions for Operation (LCO) limit of 7 days for having two MSLC components out of service while in modes 1, 2 or 3.

### ACTIONS TO PREVENT RECURRENCE

The main corrective action to preclude recurrence of this event is the application of limitations on use (LOU) for motors installed at Columbia Generating Station. The LOU is part of a process that governs the use of procured items. The motor LOU requires the user to update controlling drawing E528 prior to motor replacement. This action had been implemented for this and similar motors in 1991, but not before this motor had been tested and released for installation. However, evidence exists that this barrier has broken down recently and the following actions will be taken to improve the barrier:

- 1. Clarify LOUs for motors A cross-disciplinary team will evaluate and develop appropriate recommendations to enhance the effectiveness of the LOU.
- 2. Review/audit drawing E528 Safety related motors that have been replaced within the last ten years will be evaluated to determine if nameplate data matches the controlling electrical drawing E528.
- 3. Update Planner Policy Review and revise, if necessary, the expectation that planners document all LOUs. Communicate the policy to all planners.

PAGE (3)
5 OE 5
•

6. Add additional instruction regarding verification of electrical drawing E528 to the procedures that would normally be used during the testing of new motors.

# PREVIOUS SIMILAR EVENTS

The site Problem Evaluation Request (PER) database and Institute of Nuclear Power Operations (INPO) database were searched for problems relating to thermal overloads. The search revealed two events (LER 89-044 and 92-005) from WNP-2 (now known as Columbia Generating Station).

- 1. LER 89-044 identified 6 undersized TOLs in the high pressure core spray (HPCS) system. The root cause was identified as inadequate design selection with a contributing cause of personnel accountability not clearly defined, inadequate procedures, unclear specifications and an inadequate review of the selection. The corrective actions were to clarify the procedure for selecting TOLs and review TOL size in two other safety related motor control centers. These actions occurred prior to the MSLC-FN-1 motor replacement and had no impact on the fan motor installation process.
- LER 92-005 identified the condition where the overloads were not sized to account for undervoltage conditions. The root cause was identified as misapplication of design inputs. The corrective action was to review and replace undersized Class 1E motor TOLs as specified in BDC 91-0226-0. It was this design change that installed the undersized TOLs for MSLC-M-FN/1 based on erroneous drawing information.

Two relevant Energy Northwest PERs were identified. PERs 202-0111 and 201-0014 discuss discrepancies between field data and drawing E528 and a failure to meet EES-5 standards. PER 201-0014 identifies conflicting data on drawing E528 as compared to the master data sheet for a valve motor operator. PER 202-0111 identified that a planner had insufficient information from the LOU to perform the task correctly.

Thirty-five work orders were reviewed to evaluate the application of LOUs. Although some work orders were missing LOUs, these LOUs were typically restrictions and information that did not involve the craft. None of the missed LOUs represented a challenge to equipment functionality or operability.

The conclusion from the search is that, although thermal overload sizing has been documented in the past, there were no events that would have driven Columbia Generating Station to evaluate its LOU process or offer another solution that would have prevented this event or precluded recurrence of this specific type of event. From the review of plant PERs, there does not appear to be a widespread problem with TOL sizing at Columbia Generating Station.