



Tennessee Valley Authority, Post Office Box 2000, Spring City, Tennessee 37381-2000

FEB 21 2003

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
ATTN: Document Control Desk
Washington, D. C. 20555

10 CFR 50.46

Gentlemen:

In the Matter of) Docket No. 50-390
Tennessee Valley Authority)

**WATTS BAR NUCLEAR PLANT (WBN) UNIT 1 - EMERGENCY CORE COOLING SYSTEM
(ECCS) EVALUATION MODEL CHANGES - 30 DAY REPORT**

References:

- (1) TVA letter to NRC, April 3, 2002, "WBN Unit 1 - ECCS Evaluation Model Changes - Annual Notification and Reporting for 2001"
- (2) TVA letter to NRC, September 7, 2001, "WBN Unit 1 - ECCS Evaluation Model Changes - 30 Day Report and Revised Annual Notification and Reporting for 2000"
- (3) Westinghouse letter to TVA (WAT-D-11100), January 15, 2003, "Watts Bar Nuclear Plant Unit 1, ECCS Relief Valve Leakage of 30 GPM - Effect on the Current Analyses"

The purpose of this letter is notify the NRC of change or errors discovered in the WBN ECCS evaluation models for peak cladding temperature (PCT) in accordance with 10 CFR 50.46, and actions TVA has taken to address a temporary change of more than 50°F in calculated PCT. This report includes model changes or errors since TVA's last report (Reference 1), and is intended to satisfy the 30-day reporting requirements of 10 CFR 50.46. As reported by Westinghouse in Reference 3, this temporary change to WBN's ECCS evaluation model affects the small break LOCA (SBLOCA) analysis, and is described in Enclosure 1. The PCT margin allocations resulting from this change are summarized in Enclosure 2. There were no additional PCT impacts reported in Reference 3 for SBLOCA from those previously reported in Reference 1.

A001

FEB 21 2003

As discussed in Enclosure 1, TVA has experienced a repeat condition (Reference 2) involving apparent leakage when running the Watts Bar Unit 1 Safety Injection (SI) pumps which could result in diverting some SI flow to the pressurizer relief tank (PRT). TVA had previously taken measures to identify and correct this situation, including evaluation and replacement/refurbishment of SIS piping relief valves during the last refueling outage. Following this valve replacement, no leakage was identified until a single repeat occurrence this January. TVA has decided to conservatively treat this event as a recurrence of the condition and is performing additional cause determinations. TVA requested Westinghouse to evaluate the impact of this condition. Their evaluation results, Reference 3, were received by TVA on January 22, 2003, and concluded that there will be sufficient flow to meet the requirements of the safety analyses. Westinghouse evaluated the SI flow reduction for its impact on SBLOCA and BELOCA. As a result, a temporary PCT penalty of 120°F has been assessed on SBLOCA for the reduction in SI flow. This penalty has been added to the PCT Summary Sheet in Enclosure 2 and is expected to remain in place for the duration of the current operating cycle (Cycle 5). As shown in the summary sheet, the inclusion of this penalty results in a PCT of 1270°F which is considerably less than the 2200°F regulatory limit. There was no impact associated with the limiting PCT for BELOCA.

The temporary PCT assessment of 120°F for SBLOCA exceeds the threshold defined in 10 CFR 50.46(a)(3)(i) for a change of more than 50°F in calculated PCT. Therefore, TVA is reporting this change within the 30-day time limit specified in 10 CFR 50.46. In accordance with 10 CFR 50.46(a)(3)(ii), TVA is required to provide a proposed schedule for providing a reanalysis or taking other actions needed to show compliance with 50.46 requirements for the changes or errors discussed above. As a result of the temporary nature of the PCT assessment due to a hardware condition, and based on the acceptable evaluation results described herein, the WBN SBLOCA ECCS Model for the current cycle satisfies and complies with the 10 CFR 50.46 acceptance criteria.

Accordingly, TVA has completed the analysis required of 10 CFR 50.46 for changes or errors in an ECCS model and no further action is currently required. Because the SBLOCA change is a temporary condition which will be moot after the correction of the safety injection flow deficiency, TVA does not consider it will be necessary to make a 30-day report regarding the negative 120°F PCT change (increasing margin) that will occur when WBN reverts back to the previous SBLOCA model.

U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Page 3

FEB 21 2003

If you have any questions about this change, please contact me at
(423) 365-1824.

Sincerely,



P. L. Pace
Manager, Site Licensing
and Industry Affairs

Enclosures

cc (Enclosures):

NRC Resident Inspector
Watts Bar Nuclear Plant
1260 Nuclear Plant Road
Spring City, Tennessee 37381

Mr. K. N. Jabbour, Senior Project Manager
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
MS 08G9
One White Flint North
11555 Rockville Pike
Rockville, Maryland 20852-2738

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Region II
Sam Nunn Atlanta Federal Center
61 Forsyth St., SW, Suite 23T85
Atlanta, Georgia 30303

cc: See page 4

ENCLOSURE 1

DESCRIPTION OF CHANGES WHICH AFFECT WBN'S EMERGENCY CORE COOLING SYSTEM EVALUATION MODEL(S) AND ITS CALCULATION OF PEAK CLADDING TEMPERATURE

1. Evaluation of Temporary Safety Injection Leakage to Pressurizer Relief Tank (PRT)

Background

Westinghouse was informed by TVA of an apparent leak when running the Safety Injection (SI) pumps in Watts Bar Unit 1 which could result in a loss of up to 30 gpm SI flow to the pressurizer relief tank (PRT). TVA requested Westinghouse to evaluate the impact of this condition. Based on the following evaluations, Westinghouse concluded that the SI shortfall can be accommodated by the amount of margin available and/or the lack of sensitivity to the SI flow volume in the various design basis analyses, and the pump performance will not be degraded and there will be sufficient flow to meet the requirements of the safety analyses. This conclusion is valid for the duration of the current operating cycle (Cycle 5) and is not intended to support a permanent reduction in SI flow. In particular, the evaluations do not account for the insertion of Tritium Producing Burnable Absorber Rods in future cycles.

Affected Evaluation Models

1985 Westinghouse Small Break LOCA Evaluation Model with NOTRUMP
1996 Westinghouse Best Estimate Large Break LOCA Evaluation Model

Estimated Effect

SBLOCA

The 30 gpm SI flow reduction was evaluated for its impact on small break LOCA (SBLOCA). The impact was evaluated based on the SBLOCA analysis of record (References 1 and 2). The most recent small break LOCA PCT summary sheet was transmitted via Reference 3. A PCT penalty of 120°F has been assessed for the reduction in SI flow. This penalty, which has been added to the PCT Summary Sheet provided in Enclosure 2, is expected to remain in place for the duration of the current operating cycle (Cycle 5). As shown in the summary sheet, the inclusion of this penalty results in a PCT of 1270°F which is considerably less than the 2200°F regulatory limit.

Best Estimate LBLOCA (BELOCA)

The BELOCA analysis for Watts Bar was evaluated for the impact of the reduction in SI flow. It was determined that the 30 gpm reduction results in a total integrated SI flow reduction of 3.36%. Based on a calculation documented in Reference 4, which reduced overall SI flow by 10%, it is concluded that the 30 gpm SI

flow reduction has no effect on the limiting PCT for the BELOCA.

References

1. WAT-D-10337, "Tennessee Valley Authority, Watts Bar Nuclear Plant, Final Safety Evaluation to Support Technical Specification Changes", March 5, 1997.
2. WAT-D-10356, "Tennessee Valley Authority, Watts Bar Nuclear Plant Units 1 & 2, Final Report and Safety Evaluation for 10% SGTP Program", June 2 1997.
3. TVA letter to NRC, April 3, 2002, "WBN Unit 1 - ECCS Evaluation Model Changes - Annual Notification and Reporting for 2001"
4. WCAP-14839, "Best Estimate Analysis of the Large Break Loss of Coolant Accident for the Watts Bar Nuclear Plant," July 1997.

ENCLOSURE 2

SUMMARY OF PEAK CLADDING TEMPERATURE MARGIN ALLOCATIONS RESULTING FROM
CHANGES TO THE EMERGENCY CORE COOLING SYSTEM EVALUATION MODEL

Westinghouse LOCA Peak Clad Temperature Summary for Small Break

Plant Name: Watts Bar Unit 1
 Utility Name: Tennessee Valley Authority
 Revision Date: 1/15/03

Analysis Information

EM: NOTRUMP Analysis Date: 11/1/96 Limiting Break Size: 4 inch
 FQ: 2.5 FdH: 1.65
 Fuel: Vantage + SGTP (%): 10
 Notes: Mixed Core - Vantage + / Performance +

	Clad Temp (°F)	Ref.	Notes
LICENSING BASIS			
Analysis-Of-Record PCT	1126	12	
MARGIN ALLOCATIONS (Delta PCT)			
A. PRIOR PERMANENT ECCS MODEL ASSESSMENTS			
1 . NOTRUMP Mixture Level Tracking / Region Depletion Errors	13	4	
B. PLANNED PLANT CHANGE EVALUATIONS			
1 . Annular Blankets	10	3	
C. 2002 PERMANENT ECCS MODEL ASSESSMENTS			
1 . None	0		
D. TEMPORARY ECCS MODEL ISSUES*			
1 . None	0		
E. OTHER			
1 . Tavg Uncertainty of 6 °F	1		
2 . Temporary SI Leakage to PRT	120	5	(a)

LICENSING BASIS PCT + MARGIN ALLOCATIONS PCT = 1270

* It is recommended that these temporary PCT allocations which address current LOCA model issues not be considered with respect to 10 CFR 50.46 reporting requirements.

References:

- 1 . WAT-D-10337, "Tennessee Valley Authority, Watts Bar Nuclear Plant, Final Safety Evaluation to Support Technical Specification Changes," March 5, 1997.
- 2 . WAT-D-10356, "Tennessee Valley Authority, Watts Bar Nuclear Plant Units 1 & 2, Final Report and Safety Evaluation for the 10% SGTP Program," June 2, 1997.
- 3 . WAT-D-10618, "Tennessee Valley Authority, Watts Bar Nuclear Plant Unit 1, 10 CFR 50.46 Annual Notification and Reporting for 1998," March 5, 1999.
- 4 . WAT-D-10810, "Tennessee Valley Authority, Watts Bar Nuclear Plant Unit 1, 10 CFR 50.46 Appendix K (BART/BASH/NOTRUMP) Evaluation Model Mid-Year Notification and Reporting for 2000," June 30, 2000.
- 5 . WAT-D-11100, "Tennessee Valley Authority, Watts Bar Nuclear Plant Unit 1, Evaluation of Temporary SI Leakage to PRT," January 15, 2003.

Notes:

- (a) PCT assessment for reduced SI flow due to SI leakage to PRT is applicable until the end of Cycle 5.