

APPENDIX E - REGION IV OPERATING TEST JOB PERFORMANCE MEASURE QUALITY REVIEW MATRIX												
JPM#	1. Dyn (D/S)	2. LOD (1-5)	3. Attributes					4. Job Content Errors		5. Set	6. U/E/S	7. Explanation (See below for instructions)
			IC Focus	Cues	Critical Steps	Scope (N/B)	Overlap	Job-Link	Minutia			
GJPM-OP-ADM21	S	4		X							E	LOCATION OF FUSE IN THE PANEL REQUIRED FOR ITEM 3 IS NOT CLEAR FROM THE SUPPLIED DRAWINGS. SHOULD NOT HAVE TO GO TO THE PANEL TO ID THE FUSE LOCATION (SHOULD NOT HAVE TO GO INTO PLANT FOR AN ADM TASK).
GJPM-OP-ADM42	S	2									S	
GJPM-OP-ADM41	S	3									S	APPEARS TO INVOLVE IN-PLANT ACTIONS TO PERFORM THE JPM - DO WE REALLY WANT TO HAVE TO PERFORM AN IN-PLANT WALKDOWN?
GJPM-OP-ADM34	S	1									U	ENTRY/EXIT FROM RCA AND THE CONTAMINATED AREA IS TOO SIMPLE A TASK.
GJPM-OP-ADM25	S	2									S	SHOULD BE PERFORMED AFTER A SCENARIO.
GJPM-SRO-ADM43	S	2									S	OUTLINE REFERS TO A "BANK" JPM, WHEREAS THE JPM COVER SHEET SPECIFIES A "NEW" JPM. ITEM 2 STANDARD SHOULD SPECIFY THAT ONLY PAGE 1 OF THE LCO REPORT SHOULD BE FILLED OUT.
GJPM-SRO-ADM46	S	2									S	OUTLINE REFERS TO A "BANK NRC 6/2001" JPM, WHEREAS THE JPM COVER SHEET SPECIFIES A "NEW" JPM.
GJPM-SRO-ADM45	S	3									S	
GJPM-SRO-ADM44	S	1									U	WHILE THE EMERGENCY EXPOSURE DETERMINATION IS GOOD, THE ENTRY/EXIT FROM RCA IS TOO SIMPLE A TASK. COULD CONSIDER EXPANDING THE EMERGENCY EXPOSURE ITEM. IMPORTANCE FACTOR LISTED ON PG 2 IS DIFFERENT THAN THAT LISTED ON THE SRO OUTLINE (KA 2.3.1 ON OUTLINE IS 3.0, IT IS 2.6 ON JPM PG 2). PROCEDURE LISTED IN ITEM 3 (10-S-01-17) IS NOT SUPPLIED.
GJPM-SRO-A&E40	S	2									S	SHOULD BE PERFORMED AFTER A SCENARIO.

Instructions for Completing Matrix

This form is not contained in or required by NUREG-1021. Utilities are not required or encouraged to use it. The purpose of this form is to enhance regional consistency in reviewing operating tests. Additional information on these areas may be found in Examination Good Practices Appendix D. Check or mark any item(s) requiring comment and explain the issue in the space provided.

1. Determine whether the task is dynamic (D) or static (S). A dynamic task is one that involves continuous monitoring and response to varying parameters. A static task is basically a system reconfiguration or realignment.
2. Determine level of difficulty (LOD) using established 1-5 rating scale. Levels 1 and 5 represent inappropriate (low or high) discriminatory level for the license being tested.
3. Check the appropriate box when an attribute weakness is identified:
 - The initiating cue is not sufficiently clear to ensure the operator understands the task and how to begin.
 - The JPM does not contain sufficient cues that are objective (not leading).
 - All critical steps (elements) have not been properly identified.
 - Scope of the task is either too narrow (N) or too broad (B).
 - Excessive overlap with other part of operating test or written examination.
4. Check the appropriate box when a job content error is identified:
 - Topics not linked to job content (e.g., disguised task, not required in real job).
 - Task is trivial and without safety significance.
5. JPM SET criteria satisfied (i.e., number/distribution of safety functions, A.3 and A.4 integrated with parts B/C, Admin topics per section meet ES).
5. Based on the reviewer's judgment, is the JPM as written (U)nacceptable (requiring repair or replacement), in need of (E)ditorial enhancement, or (S)atisfactory?
6. Provide a brief description of problem in the explanation column.

APPENDIX N - REGION IV OPERATING TEST SCENARIO REVIEW MATRIX										
Scen Set	1 ES	2 TS	3 Crit	4 IC	5 Pred	6 TL	7 L/C	8 Eff	9 U/E/S	10 Explanation (See below for instructions)
1-1		X				X			S	ONLY ONE TS COMPLIANCE EVENT. TIME LINE NOT CLEAR - RECOMMEND ADDING TO SCENARIO TABLE.
1-2		X				X			S	ONLY ONE TS COMPLIANCE EVENT. TIME LINE NOT CLEAR - RECOMMEND ADDING TO SCENARIO TABLE.
3-BU		X				X			S	ONLY ONE TS COMPLIANCE EVENT. TIME LINE NOT CLEAR - RECOMMEND ADDING TO SCENARIO TABLE.

Instructions for Completing Matrix

This form is not contained in or required by NUREG-1021. Utilities are not required or encouraged to use it. The purpose of this form is to enhance regional consistency in reviewing operating test scenario sets. Additional information on these areas may be found in Examination Good Practices Appendix D. Check or mark any item(s) requiring comment and explain the issue in the space provided.

1. ES: ES-301 checklists 4, 5, & 6 satisfied.
2. TS: Set includes SRO TS actions for each SRO, with required actions explicitly detailed.
3. Crit: Each manipulation or evolution has explicit success criteria documented in Form ES-D-2.
4. IC: Out of service equipment and other initial conditions reasonably consistent between scenarios and not predictive of scenario events and actions.
5. Pred: Scenario sequence and other factors avoid predictability issues.
6. TL: Time line constructed, including event and process triggered conditions, such that scenario can run without routine examiner cuing.
7. L/C: Length and complexity for each scenario in the set is reasonable for the crew mix being examined, such that all applicants have reasonably similar exposure and events are needed for evaluation purposes.
8. Eff: Sequence of events is reasonably efficient for examination purposes, especially with respect to long delays or interactions.
9. Based on the reviewer's judgment, is the scenario set as written (U)nacceptable (requiring repair or replacement), in need of (E)ditorial enhancement, or (S)atisfactory?
10. Provide a brief description of problem in the explanation column