March 4, 2003

MEMORANDUM TO: Marsha Gamberoni, Deputy Director New Reactor Licensing Project Office Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

FROM: Joelle L. Starefos, Project Manager /RA/ New Reactor Licensing Project Office Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

SUBJECT: FEBRUARY 5, 2003, TELEPHONE CONFERENCE CALL SUMMARY

On Wednesday, February 5, 2003, a telephone conference call was held with Westinghouse Electric Company (Westinghouse) representatives and the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) staff to discuss several requests for additional information (RAIs). The following RAIs were discussed: 410.005, 410.007, 410.009, and 460.007. Westinghouse submitted responses to these RAIs on November 15, 2002 (ADAMS Accession No. ML023230385) and November 26, 2002 (ADAMS Accession No. ML023360097). A list of call participants is included in Attachment 1. Attachment 2 contains NRC staff comments regarding the subject RAIs that were sent to Mr. Michael Corletti of Westinghouse via electronic mail on January 31, 2003, and were also used to facilitate discussions during the telephone conference call.

The following is a brief summary of the discussions regarding the identified RAIs (see comments in Attachment 2):

RAI 410.005

Westinghouse agreed to modify the RAI response and the Design Control Document (DCD) to reflect the response time for the radioactivity monitor used in the leakage detection system.

RAI 410.007

Westinghouse agreed to revise the RAI response to reflect future resolution of the testing frequency when control room habitability issues, including air in-leakage testing, have been addressed by the joint effort between the NRC staff and industry.

RAI 410.009

Westinghouse agreed to revise the RAI response to describe the adequacy of the specific code revisions used to support the design certification application.

RAI 460.007

Westinghouse agreed to update the RAI response to describe the capability to accommodate waste generation with the process to use high integrity containers for waste removal.

Westinghouse also agreed to clarify the RAI response to reflect storage area accommodations for dry wastes and packaged containment equipment.

Docket No. 52-006

Attachments: As stated

M. Gamberoni

Westinghouse also agreed to clarify the RAI response to reflect storage area accommodations for dry wastes and packaged containment equipment.

Docket No. 52-006

Attachments: As stated

<u>Distribution:</u> <u>Hard Copy</u> NRLPO R/F LBurkhart JLyons MGamberoni JSegala JColaccino JStarefos

E-mail	
PUBLIC	J. Raval
R. Borchardt	C. Li
J. Williams	H. Walker
J. Moore, OGC	S. Weerakkody
R. Weisman, OGC	

ACCESSION NUMBER: ML030450440

OFFICE	NRLPO/PM	NRLPO/PM	SPLB/SC	NRLPO/DD
NAME	JStarefos	LBurkhart	SWeerakkody	MGamberoni-as marked
DATE	2/26/03	2/26/03	2/28/03	3/3/03

OFFICIAL RECORD COPY

FEBRUARY 5, 2003 TELEPHONE CONFERENCE CALLS SUMMARY LIST OF PARTICIPANTS

Nuclear Regulatory Commission

Westinghouse

Larry Burkhart John Segala Joelle Starefos Chang-Yang Li Janak Raval Harold Walker Mike Corletti Tim Meneely Don Hutchings Ron Vijuk

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION STAFF COMMENTS THAT WERE SENT TO WESTINGHOUSE TO FACILITATE DISCUSSIONS OF THE REQUEST FOR ADDITIONAL INFORMATION (RAI) RESPONSES FOR CALL HELD ON FEBRUARY 5, 2003

RAI 410.005

The response has not addressed the following portion of the RAIs:

Position C.6 of Regulatory Guide (RG) 1.45 states that the response time of each leakage detection system should be adequate to detect a leak rate of 1 gpm, or its equivalent, in less than one hour. What is the response time for the N_{13}/F_{18} radioactivity monitor? Demonstrate the adequacy of this response time in meeting RG 1.45, Position C.6 and in supporting leak-before-break (LBB) for the AP1000.

RAI 460.007

Clarification is needed in meeting the following requirements of BTP ETSB II-3 Position B.III

- 1. Storage areas for solidified wastes should be capable of accommodating at least 30 days waste generation at normal generation rates. These storage areas should be located indoors.
- 2. Storage areas for dry wastes and packaged containment equipment should be capable of accommodating at least one full offsite waste shipment.

RAI 410.007

(Design Control Document [DCD], Tier 2, Section 6.4):

Section 6.4.5.4 states that "Testing for main control room in-leakage during VES [main control room emergency habitability system] operation will be conducted once <u>every 10 years</u>. This testing will be conducted in accordance with ASTM [American Society for Testing and Materials] E741, 'Standard Test Method for Determining Leakage Rate by Tracer Dilution'."

NRC staff's understanding of Westinghouse's response: Westinghouse recognizes that the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) staff and the industry are working on in-leakage testing, however it is not reasonable to commit to a standard that does not currently exist. Westinghouse therefore is not providing a commitment to have the VES meet the anticipated requirements currently being pursued. The VES design addresses in-leakage and meets the codes and standards that were in effect six months prior to the date of the AP1000 design certification application (March 28,2002).

<u>Staff Position and Comment:</u> The staff is currently working with the industry to address control room habitability issues including air in-leakage testing. It is anticipated that the testing frequency will be on the order of 5 to 6 years. The staff expects that testing requirements for

the AP1000 design will be consistent with the resolution of the control room habitability issues currently pursued by the industry and the staff. Therefore, the AP1000 design should include a commitment to resolving the in-leakage testing in accordance with the anticipated outcome of the joint effort between the NRC staff and industry.

AP600 Design Certification was based upon the ASTM E741 tracer gas dilution testing every 10-year interval after its initial testing for the control room envelope (MCRE) to determine its un-filtered in-leakages. During the AP600 design certification period, ASTM E741 tracer gas dilution testing was a first-of-a-kind testing for the MCRE. During the period following the AP600 design certification, the NRC staff and industry learned more about tracer gas testing and the staff is currently working with the industry to address control room habitability issues including air in-leakage testing. It is anticipated that the testing frequency will be on the order of 5 to 6 years. Therefore, the AP1000 design should include a commitment to resolving the in-leakage testing in accordance with the anticipated outcome of the joint effort between the NRC staff and industry.

RAI 410.009

(DCD, Tier 1, Sections 2.2.5 and 2.7.1; Tier 2, Sections 6.4 and 9.4, and Chapter 16, TS 3.7.6 and TS 3.9.5):

The NRC staff expects the AP1000 design to commit to compliance with the <u>latest revisions</u> of the applicable codes and standards for the following heating, ventilation, and air conditioning systems (HVAC): the radiologically controlled area ventilation system (VAS), nuclear island non-radioactive ventilation system (VBS), containment recirculation cooling system (VCS), main control room emergency habitability system (VES), containment air filtration system (VFS), health physics and hot machine shop HVAC system (VHS), radwaste building HVAC system (VRS), turbine building ventilation system (VTS), annex/auxiliary buildings non-radioactive HVAC system (VXS), and the diesel generator building heating and ventilation system (VZS).

NRC staff's understanding of Westinghouse's response: The AP1000 HVAC systems described in DCD Tier 1 and Tier 2 meets the codes and standards that were in effect six months prior to the date of the AP1000 design certification application (The AP1000 application date was March 28, 2002). No change to the AP1000 DCD is intended.

<u>Staff Position and Comment:</u> Please review the applicable portions of the DCD descriptions and technical specifications (TSs) to ensure proper references to the latest revisions to the applicable codes and standards and revise the DCD as necessary.

The AP600 Design Certification was based upon the latest codes and standards that were in effect when the AP600 design was certified. Similarly, the AP1000 design certification should be based upon the latest codes and standards that will be in effect when the AP1000 design is certified. Westinghouse proposed a codes and standards cut-off period of six months prior to the date of the AP1000 design certification application whereas the AP1000 design certification review is being continued by NRC staff.

The Westinghouse position may be applicable to those plants seeking preliminary "Construction Permits" for the current vintage of pressurized water reactor (PWR) and boiling water reactor (BWR) nuclear power plants to gain construction lead times. The one-of-a-kind advanced passive AP1000 design is being submitted for design certification only and no preliminary construction permit(s) are being sought. During the AP600 design certification review the NRC staff granted an exemption for piping due to a preliminary design issue, but Westinghouse was required to comply with the latest codes and standards at the time of final design certification. Therefore, the staff does not agree with applicant's justification that the AP1000 HVAC systems described in DCD Tier 1 and Tier 2 should meet the codes and standards that were in effect six months prior to the date of the AP1000 design certification application.

AP 1000

CC:

Mr. W. Edward Cummins AP600 and AP1000 Projects Westinghouse Electric Company P.O. Box 355 Pittsburgh, PA 15230-0355

Mr. H. A. Sepp Westinghouse Electric Company P.O. Box 355 Pittsburgh, PA 15230

Lynn Connor Doc-Search Associates 2211 SW 1ST Ave - #1502 Portland, OR 97201

Barton Z. Cowan, Esq. Eckert Seamans Cherin & Mellott, LLC 600 Grant Street 44th Floor Pittsburgh, PA 15219

Mr. Ed Rodwell, Manager Advanced Nuclear Plants' Systems Electric Power Research Institute 3412 Hillview Avenue Palo Alto, CA 94304-1395

Charles Brinkman, Director Washington Operations Westinghouse Electric Company 12300 Twinbrook Parkway, Suite 330 Rockville, MD 20852

Mr. R. Simard Nuclear Energy Institute 1776 I Street NW Suite 400 Washington, DC 20006

Mr. Thomas P. Miller U.S. Department of Energy Headquarters - Germantown 19901 Germantown Road Germantown, MD 20874-1290

Mr. David Lochbaum Nuclear Safety Engineer Union of Concerned Scientists 1707 H Street NW, Suite 600 Washington, DC 20006-3919

Mr. Paul Gunter Nuclear Information & Resource Service 1424 16th Street, NW., Suite 404 Washington, DC 20036 Mr. Tom Clements 6703 Guide Avenue Takoma Park, MD 20912

Mr. James Riccio Greenpeace 702 H Street, NW, Suite 300 Washington, DC 20001

Mr. James F. Mallay, Director Regulatory Affairs FRAMATOME, ANP 3315 Old Forest Road Lynchburg, VA 24501

Mr. Ed Wallace, General Manager Project Management Lake Buena Vista Bldg., 3rd Floor 1267 Gordon Hood Avenue Centurion 0046 Republic of South Africa PO Box 9396 Centurion 0046

Mr. Vince Langman Licensing Manager Atomic Energy of Canada Limited 2251 Speakman Drive Mississauga, Ontario Canada L5K 1B2

Mr. Gary Wright, Manager Office of Nuclear Facility Safety Illinois Department of Nuclear Safety 1035 Outer Park Drive Springfield, IL 62704

Dr. Gail H. Marcus U.S. Department of Energy Room 5A-143 1000 Independence Ave., SW Washington, DC 20585

Mr. Edwin Lyman Nuclear Control Institute 1000 Connecticut Avenue, NW Suite 410 Washington, DC 20036

Mr. Jack W. Roe SCIENTECH, INC. 910 Clopper Road Gaithersburg, MD 20878

Patricia Campbell Winston & Strawn 1400 L Street, NW Washington, DC 20005 Mr. David Ritter Research Associate on Nuclear Energy Public Citizens Critical Mass Energy and Environmental Program 215 Pennsylvania Avenue, SE Washington, DC 20003

Mr. Michael M. Corletti Passive Plant Projects & Development AP600 & AP1000 Projects Westinghouse Electric Company P. O. Box 355 Pittsburgh, PA 15230-0355