

Mail Envelope Properties (3E0754A4.64D : 21 : 21114)

Subject: LAR 02-11
Creation Date: 12/23/02 1:23PM
From: David Jaffe

Created By: DHJ@nrc.gov

Recipients	Action	Date & Time
owf4_po.OWFN_DO	Delivered	12/23/02 01:23PM
JXD10 CC (Jennifer Davis)	Opened	12/23/02 01:56PM

txu.com	Transferred	12/23/02 01:23PM
cwilker3 (<u>cwilker3@txu.com</u>)		

Post Office	Delivered	Route
owf4_po.OWFN_DO	12/23/02 01:23PM	txu.com

Files	Size	Date & Time
Mail		
MESSAGE	717	12/23/02 01:23PM

Options

Auto Delete:	No
Expiration Date:	None
Notify Recipients:	No
Priority:	Standard
Reply Requested:	No
Return Notification:	None

Concealed Subject:	No
Security:	Standard

To Be Delivered:	Immediate
Status Tracking:	Delivered & Opened

From: David Jaffe
To: cwilker3@txu.com
Date: 12/23/02 1:23PM
Subject: LAR 02-11

Connie-

Please see the attached question regarding groundwater. Call me when you're ready to discuss. Thanks

CC: Jennifer Davis

From: Jennifer Davis
To: David Jaffe
Date: 12/23/02 12:50PM
Subject: Comanche Peak SE

David,

I am reviewing CPSES's licensee amendment, and have a couple of questions. Most of the administrative changes are fine. I am a little concerned about one change in particular - relating to the water treatment facility outages and groundwater.

As the operating license currently stands, TXU would have to report any groundwater use over 30 gpm during any outage. According to their suggested revision, TXU would only have to report outages of the water treatment system if they are using over 30 gpm, and groundwater is being used to supplement the system. This would not include the use of more than 30 gpm of groundwater from any other usage/outage.

If the water treatment system is out for more than 24 hours, what is its backup? How can they avoid using groundwater? We're trying to understand what their change is meant to accomplish, and how it may relate to our evaluation in the FES.

Thank you for your help.

Jennifer

Jennifer Davis
General Scientist
NRR/DRIP/RLEP

CC: Andrew Kugler