

STONE & WEBSTER, INC.
CALCULATION SHEET

5010.64

CLIENT & PROJECT PRIVATE FUEL STORAGE, LLC - PFSF				PAGE 1 OF 59 + 6 pp of ATTACHMENTS		
CALCULATION TITLE STABILITY ANALYSES OF CANISTER TRANSFER BUILDING				QA CATEGORY (✓) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> I NUCLEAR SAFETY RELATED <input type="checkbox"/> II <input type="checkbox"/> III <input type="checkbox"/> (other)		
CALCULATION IDENTIFICATION NUMBER						
JOB ORDER NO.	DISCIPLINE	CURRENT CALC NO	OPTIONAL TASK CODE	OPTIONAL WORK PACKAGE NO.		
05996.02	G(B)	13				
APPROVALS - SIGNATURE & DATE				REV. NO. OR NEW CALC NO.	SUPERSEDES CALC NO. OR REV NO.	CONFIRMATION REQUIRED <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
PREPARER(S)/DATE(S)	REVIEWER(S)/DATE(S)	INDEPENDENT REVIEWER(S)/DATE(S)			YES	NO
Original Signed By: LPSingh / 12-9-98	Original Signed By: DLAloysius / 12-10-98	Original Signed By: DLAloysius / 12-10-98	0	N/A		✓
Original Signed By: DLAloysius / 9-3-99 SYBoakye / 9-3-99 <i>See page 2-1 for ID of</i>	Original Signed By: SYBoakye / 9-3-99 DLAloysius / 9-3-99 <i>Prepared / Reviewed By</i>	Original Signed By: TYChang / 9-3-99 TYChang / 9-3-99	1	G(C)-13 Rev. 0		✓
Original Signed By: PJTrudeau / 1-21-00	Original Signed By: TYChang / 1-21-00	Original Signed By: TYChang / 1-21-00	2	1		✓
Original Signed By: PJTrudeau / 6-19-00	Original Signed By: TYChang / 6-19-00	Original Signed By: TYChang / 6-19-00	3	2		✓
Original Signed By: SYBoakye / 3-30-01	Original Signed By: TYChang / 3-30-01	Original Signed By: TYChang / 3-30-01	4	3		✓
Original Signed By: SYBoakye / 3-30-01	Original Signed By: TYChang / 3-30-01	Original Signed By: TYChang / 3-30-01	5	4		✓
PJTrudeau / 7-26-01 <i>Paul J. Trudeau</i>	TYChang / 7-26-01 <i>Thomas H. Chang</i>	TYChang / 7-26-01 <i>Thomas H. Chang</i>	6	5		✓
DISTRIBUTION						
GROUP	NAME & LOCATION	COPY SENT (✓)	GROUP	NAME & LOCATION	COPY SENT (✓)	
RECORDS MGT. FILES (OR FIRE FILE IF NONE) Geotechnical	JOB BOOK R4.2G FIRE FILE - Denver PJTrudeau - Stoughton/3	ORIG <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				

DOCKETED
USNRC



2003 JAN 29 PM 3: 13

OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY
RULEMAKINGS AND
ADJUDICATIONS STAFF

CLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

Docket No. _____ Official Exh. No. 117
in the matter of PFS
Staff _____ IDENTIFIED
Applicant _____ RECEIVED
Intervenor REJECTED _____
Other _____ WITHDRAWN _____
DATE 5-9-02 Witness _____
Clerk pmp

STONE & WEBSTER, INC.
CALCULATION SHEET

5010.65

CALCULATION IDENTIFICATION NUMBER				PAGE 23
J.O. OR W.O. NO. 05996.02	DIVISION & GROUP G(B)	CALCULATION NO. 13-6	OPTIONAL TASK CODE N/A	

the state of stress existing under the Canister Transfer Building mat. Note, that the average post-peak strength reduction for normal stress of 1.5 ksf for the three direct shear tests is only 15.6% for these very high shear displacements in the direct shear tests. The maximum value of the average the post-peak strength reductions for normal stress of 1.5 ksf occurred for Sample U-3B&C in CTB-6, and it equaled 20.8%. If the results of this test were used to define the residual strength of these soils, the analyses would be performed at $c = 1.5$ ksf, the average of the post-peak strengths measured at the maximum shear displacements in these tests for normal stresses of 1 ksf and 2 ksf. This would result in higher factors of safety than are calculated and presented in Table 2.6-14, based on $c = 1.36$ ksf.

**CALCULATION OF AVERAGE POST-PEAK STRENGTH REDUCTION FOR NORMAL STRESS
APPLICABLE TO FINAL TRESSES UNDER THE CANISTER TRANSFER BUILDING**

Boring	Sample	Normal Stress = 1 ksf			Normal Stress = 2 ksf			Average Post-Peak Strength Reduction for Normal Stress = 1.5 ksf
		Peak Strength	Strength at Maximum Shear Displacement	Post-Peak Strength Reduction	Peak Strength	Strength at Maximum Shear Displacement	Post-Peak Strength Reduction	
		ksf	ksf	%	ksf	ksf	%	
C-2	U-1C	1.67	1.2	28.1	2.13	2.1	1.4	14.8
CTB-6	U-3B&C	1.57	1.1	29.9	2.15	1.9	11.6	20.8
CTB-6	U-1AA	1.42	1.1	22.5	1.58	1.7	-0.0	11.3

Average = 15.6

The results of the sliding stability analysis of the Canister Transfer Building for this case are presented in Table 2.6-14. In this table, the components of the driving and resisting forces are combined using the SRSS rule. All of these factors of safety are greater than 1.1, the minimum required value. These results indicate that the factors of safety are acceptable for all load combinations examined. The lowest factor of safety is 1.26, which applies for Cases IIIC and IVC, where 100% of the dynamic earthquake forces act in the N-S direction and 40% act in the other two directions. These results demonstrate that there is additional margin available to resist sliding of the building due to the earthquake loads, even when very conservative estimates of the residual shear strength of the clayey soils are used.