

January 30, 2003

Mr. Ronald Fraass, Executive Director
Conference of Radiation Control
Program Directors, Inc.
205 Capital Avenue
Frankfort, Kentucky 40601

Dear Mr. Fraass:

We have reviewed the final Suggested State Radiation Control Regulations (SSR) - Part G "Use of Radionuclides in the Healing Arts," which is dated September, 2002. The regulations were received on December 11, 2002. The final regulations are in response to RATS ID 2002-2. The regulations were reviewed by comparison to the equivalent NRC regulations in 10 CFR Part 35. In addition, we reviewed our September 20, 2002 letter to Ms. Cindy Cardwell that addressed the proposed regulations.

Based on our review, we find the rule meets the adequacy and compatibility designations for the revised 10 CFR Part 35, and concur that it is compatible with Part 35. However, we continue to note that there are some differences highlighted in the rationale, noted in our letter dated September 20, 2002. We understand these differences were considered by the Conference of Radiation Control Program Directors, Inc. (CRCPD) in reaching a decision to approve a compatible Part G, and to reflect the CRCPD responsibility to represent the consensus of the States' views in the rationale.

If you have any questions regarding our review, the compatibility and health and safety categories, or any of the NRC regulations used in the review, please contact me at (301) 415-2325 or Lloyd Bolling of my staff at (301) 415-2327 or LAB@NRC.GOV.

Sincerely,

/RA By Paul H. Lohaus

Paul H. Lohaus, Director
Office of State and Tribal Programs

January 30, 2003

Mr. Ronald Fraass, Executive Director
Conference of Radiation Control
Program Directors, Inc.
205 Capital Avenue
Frankfort, Kentucky 40601

Dear Mr. Fraass:

We have reviewed the final Suggested State Radiation Control Regulations (SSR) - Part G "Use of Radionuclides in the Healing Arts," which is dated September 2002. The regulations were received on December 11, 2002. The final regulations are in response to RATS ID 2002-2. The regulations were reviewed by comparison to the equivalent NRC regulations in 10 CFR Part 35. In addition, we reviewed our September 20, 2002 letter to Ms. Cindy Cardwell that addressed the proposed regulations.

Based on our review, we find the rule meets the adequacy and compatibility designations for the revised 10 CFR Part 35, and concur that it is compatible with Part 35. However, we continue to note that there are some differences highlighted in the rationale, noted in our letter dated September 20, 2002. We understand these differences were considered by the Conference of Radiation Control Program Directors, Inc. (CRCPD) in reaching a decision to approve a compatible Part G, and to reflect the CRCPD responsibility to represent the consensus of the States' views in the rationale.

If you have any questions regarding our review, the compatibility and health and safety categories, or any of the NRC regulations used in the review, please contact me at (301) 415-2325 or Lloyd Bolling of my staff at (301) 415-2327 or LAB@NRC.GOV.

Sincerely,

/RA By Paul Lohaus

Paul H. Lohaus, Director
Office of State and Tribal Programs

Enclosure:

As stated

Distribution:

DIR RF (2-302)

DCD (SP07) PDR (YES)

RVirgilio

Busilton CRCPD File

***See previous concurrence**

DOCUMENT NAME: C:\ORPCheckout\FileNET\ML030300602.wpd

To receive a copy of this document, indicate in the box: "C" = Copy without attachment/enclosure "E" = Copy with attachment/enclosure "N" = No copy

OFFICE	STP	C	DD:STP	OGC	STP
NAME	LBolling: pmf		JMPiccone/PLarkins for	STreby	PHLohaus
DATE	1/6/03*		1/22/03*	1/30/03*	1/30/03*

OFFICIAL RECORD COPY

ML030300602