

From: Claudia Seelig
To: George Deegan
Date: 12/20/01 10:05AM
Subject: MAY EVENTUALLY GET 1 MORE FTE Re: FY 2002 REACTOR LICENSING ACTIVITIES

George: Good question regarding whether we may get another FTE for adv rx work. See below.

Just talked to Joe Williams, NRR about the 2 FTE for FY 2002 advanced rx activities in their 12/6/01 memo. The 2 FTE was a reestimate by NRR. Based on a previous Comm request, they did a more refined look at the work and split by offices and came up with the revised allocation (so NRR now rounds NMSS at 2 FTE in FY 2002 rather than the previous allocation of 1 FTE for NMSS). The agency grand total of the 12/6/01 new resource estimates matches the amount of resources NRC received for this effort in FY 2002 (in the FY 2002 appropriation and from DOE). NRR and CFO were working to officially change office allocations based on this 12/6/01 memo until the Chairman's office got involved. At this point the Chairman's office wants another memo generated explaining the basis for what's in the 12/6/01 memo, and they have directed OCFO not to reallocate anything until the Chairman's office is satisfied. So depending on how all that plays out, we still may eventually get 1 more FTE in official CFO resource space - but not until Chairman's office is satisfied with the basis for the reallocation.

At the time it becomes more likely that we will actually get the FTE, we'll confirm which Division it goes to - but that may be IMNS since I hear that the adv rx work involves pre application review (likely what FCSS is doing) and Table S3, S4, Pt 51 environmental rulemaking type work (which is likely IMNS and possibly some DWM). But we would have to keep to integers by Division - so if IMNS had the bulk of the additional effort beyond FCSS', then IMNS would get the second FTE if the 12/6/01 overall by-office resource distribution is agreed to by Chairman's office. The NRR staff person did mention that NMSS' total effort really is about 1.5 FTE and he rounded it up to 2 FTE.

>>> George Deegan 12/19/01 04:03PM >>>

Claudia, Liz: I received a memo dated 12/6/01 from NRR, that suggests NMSS is entitled to 1.5 FTE, and \$0K in FY 02 rounded to 2 FTE, in support of Pebble Bed preapplication, environmental regs. What if anything, does this mean to the affected Divisions? Will we be getting an FTE ceiling adjustment? The point of contact is someone named Joe Williams. I would think that PMDA should ask the question from an Office perspective.

It also appears that the environmental reg changes go out into FY03 and beyond. So same questions for those years.

CC: Daniel Gillen; E. Jacobs-Baynard; John Linehan; Karen Ferguson

K/16

From: Claudia Seelig
To: E. Jacobs-Baynard; George Deegan
Date: 12/19/01 4:13PM
Subject: Re: FY 2002 REACTOR LICENSING ACTIVITIES

I don't think I've seen the 12/6 you are referring to. I always understood we were down for 1 FTE and zero dollars (and that was going to FCSS). The recent OCFO control numbers for the Green Book still only include the 1 FTE and zero dollars so I don't know what NRR is up to. If you have a chance to send me a copy of the 12/6, I'll ask CFO and/or NRR what it is and what it means.

>>> George Deegan 12/19/01 04:03PM >>>

Claudia, Liz: I received a memo dated 12/6/01 from NRR, that suggests NMSS is entitled to 1.5 FTE, and \$0K in FY 02 rounded to 2 FTE, in support of Pebble Bed preapplication, environmental regs. What if anything, does this mean to the affected Divisions? Will we be getting an FTE ceiling adjustment? The point of contact is someone named Joe Williams. I would think that PMDA should ask the question from an Office perspective.

It also appears that the environmental reg changes go out into FY03 and beyond. So same questions for those years.