

From: Michael Weber 1 3 67
To: Claudia Seelig; Donald Cool; E. William Brach; John Greeves; John Linehan; Martin Virgilio
Date: 3/23/01 6:45AM
Subject: Re: PEBBLE BED-PER SCHUM

We already have an SRP for the fuel fabrication facility. I suspect that we have SRPs in place for the transportation package and spent fuel casks, as well. Not clear whether additional development of SRPs is appropriate or necessary. The resources that were included in the budget estimate (planning wedge) were for actual casework review of certification and license applications, as well as supporting work on criticality code validation at > 5% enriched uranium.

>>> Martin Virgilio 03/22/01 08:26PM >>>
John

We need a meeting with the DEDOs, NRR and RES to harmonize the approach and the products. I understand that Carl would have us first develop and SRP. This approach appeals to me.

M

>>> John Linehan 03/22 7:45 PM >>>
Let me know what you find out from NRR. I'm passing this along to LT .

>>> Claudia Seelig 03/22/01 05:59PM >>>

Connie's had been out sick but just returned my call. She confirmed my understanding that:

1. Pebble Bed is definitely part of our budget request. We must include it in 5A, CARDS, etc. Her email from last week was just trying to make the point that we don't get penalized from having to put more stuff on the threshold list due to the amount of Pebble Bed resources we are including in our budget request.

2. We definitely need to submit assumptions documenting what our resource estimates are based on (e.g., when is the new fuel facility application coming in, that we expect to receive transportation cask applications - list how many and in what years, any assumption that is prompting rulemaking or whatever IMNS' resources are for, etc.).

So above is Connie's input. Separately, Liz JB is checking with NRR on how they are treating Pebble Bed in their budget request. Connie confirmed NRR has 2 alternative PB assumptions (the one in the official PRC endorsed package, and a new one). NRR is presenting resources against the 2 different assumptions (I believe they had that information in their PRC prioritization package). In any event, Liz will confirm what NRR is doing tomorrow.

CC: Daniel Gillen; E. Jacobs-Baynard; Elizabeth Suarez; Jack Davis; Margaret Federline; Robert Pierson; Scott Flanders

K/7

ALL
NMS