

From: Claudia Seelig *IMNS*  
 To: John Linehan  
 Date: 3/22/01 5:59PM  
 Subject: PEBBLE BED-PER SCHUM

Connie's had been out sick but just returned my call. She confirmed my understanding that:

1. Pebble Bed is definitely part of our budget request. We must include it in 5A, CARDS, etc. Her email from last week was just trying to make the point that we don't get penalized from having to put more stuff on the threshold list due to the amount of Pebble Bed resources we are including in our budget request.

2. We definitely need to submit assumptions documenting what our resource estimates are based on (e.g., when is the new fuel facility application coming in, that we expect to receive transportation cask applications - list how many and in what years, any assumption that is prompting rulemaking or whatever IMNS' resources are for, etc.).

So above is Connie's input. Separately, Liz JB is checking with NRR on how they are treating Pebble Bed in their budget request. Connie confirmed NRR has 2 alternative PB assumptions (the one in the official PRC endorsed package, and a new one). NRR is presenting resources against the 2 different assumptions (I believe they had that information in their PRC prioritization package). In any event, Liz will confirm what NRR is doing tomorrow.

CC: E. Jacobs-Baynard

Pebble Bed  
 C Schum  
 Reconfirm

ACTION

18 2c Pebble Bed Reactor  
 Reactor Arena Assumptions

If we are assuming something  
 different than NRR. ①  
 do we have to write  
 up an assumption to

Mike Case 146  
 what is NRR going  
 for Pebble Bed? ②