

From: Claudia Seelig
To: John Linehan
Date: 3/22/01 3:18PM
Subject: Fwd: Planning for PBMR

more info regarding my point on someone assessing the reasonableness of attached. Suarez just mentioned that IMNS now wants to jump on the bandwagon and put in for Pebble Bed resources. This is more evidence to me that raises my suspicions about whether we have consistent across the office planning - and do we even know what specific assumptions we are basing these resource estimates on (and are they consistent both across the office and across the agency). So if LT is not all collectively aware of and agreeing with what the overall resource picture for Pebble Bed is - I think they need to. And then obviously make sure MV agrees. I need to know whether to tell them this info is OK to now put into their budget requests. Since most of them have not included it, I don't want to direct them now to include it and then only to find out a day or so later that MV feels they have all completely missed the mark. Also I keep hearing folks refer to this as a "planning wedge" - which is fine; however, it almost leaves me with the impression that they are just loading on as many resources as they can thinking this is more like a "wish list" rather than a specific budget request. LT needs to be comfortable that there is a logic and basis for the resources being requested; it may be there, but it is not obvious to me at this time. Then LT needs to sell it to Marty. Again, maybe this has all occurred and I'm the only one unaware - but with Deegan just now requesting IMNS resources, it leaves me with the impression that things may not have occurred yet.

K/3