



South Texas Project Electric Generating Station P.O. Box 289 Wadsworth, Texas 77483

January 06, 2003
NOC-AE-03001448
STI: 31540629
FILE NO: Z18
ER 20030000
10CFR50.4(b)(5)
10CFR50 App E

U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Attention: Document Control Desk
Washington, DC 20555

STP NUCLEAR OPERATING COMPANY
Units 1 and 2
Docket Nos. STN 50-498; STN 50-499
Changes to Emergency Plan Procedures

In accordance with 10CFR50.4(b)(5) and 10CFR50, Appendix E, Section V, the STP Nuclear Operating Company hereby submits the attached Emergency Plan Implementing Procedure revisions.

If there are any questions regarding this matter, please contact either Mr. Morgan at (361) 972-7004 or me at (361) 972-8053.

ARL FOR
P. L. Serra
Manager, Plant Protection

PLS/mk

Enclosure: Letter of Receipt
Description of Changes
OPGP05-ZV-0005, Emergency Response Program, Rev. 3
OPGP05-ZV-0010, Emergency Plan Revision, Rev. 6

A045

cc:

(paper copy)

Emergency Planning Coordinator (2 copies)
Regional Administrator, Region IV
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
611 Ryan Plaza Drive, Suite 400
Arlington, Texas 76011-8064

U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Attention: Document Control Desk
One White Flint North
11555 Rockville Pike
Rockville, MD 20852

Richard A. Ratliff
Bureau of Radiation Control
Texas Department of Health
1100 West 49th Street
Austin, TX 78756-3189

Cornelius F. O'Keefe
U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
P. O. Box 289, Mail Code: MN116
Wadsworth, TX 77483

C. M. Canady
City of Austin
Electric Utility Department
721 Barton Springs Road
Austin, TX 78704

(electronic copy)

A. H. Gutterman, Esquire
Morgan, Lewis & Bockius LLP

M. T. Hardt/W. C. Gunst
City Public Service

Mohan C. Thadani
U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission

R. L. Balcom/D. G. Tees
Texas Genco LP

A. Ramirez
City of Austin

C. A. Johnson/A. C. Bakken III
AEP - Central Power and Light Company

Jon C. Wood
Matthews & Branscomb

NOC-AE-03001448
STI: 31540629
FILE NO: Z18
ER 20030000
Page 3

To: P. L. Serra
Manager, Emergency Response
STP Nuclear Operating Company
P. O. Box 289
Wadsworth, TX 77483

From: Emergency Planning Coordinator
Region IV Office of the Regional Administrator
U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
611 Ryan Plaza Drive, Suite 400
Arlington, TX 76011-8064

Subject: Receipt Acknowledgment for Changes to STP
Emergency Plan Implementing Procedure

I hereby acknowledge having received changes to the STP Nuclear Operating Company's Emergency Plan Implementing Procedures transmitted by STP letter NOC-AE-03001448.

Signature

Date

Description of Changes

Procedure OPGP05-ZV-0005, Emergency Response Program, Rev. 3, Editorial corrections, Page 4, step 3.6, changed Information Technology Infrastructure to Manager, Applications & Business Software. Page 4, step 3.7, changed Vice President, Business Services to General Manager, Station Support.

Procedure OPGP05-ZV-0010, Emergency Plan Revision, Rev. 6, Page 2, step 2.1, changed Vice President, Business Services to General Manager, Station Support. Page 4, 4th star, change review concurrence to approval. Page 4, 5th star, changed Vice President, Business Services to General Manager, Station Support. Page 5, step 3.3.1, identifies implementing procedures. Page 5, step 3.3.2, identifies when procedures require PORC review. Page 12, changed Vice President, Business Services to General Manager, Station Support and made other editorial clarifications.

STI 31532257	OPGP05-ZV-0005	Rev. 3	Page 1 of 5
Emergency Response Program			
Quality	Non Safety-Related	Usage: Available	Effective Date: 12/11/02
Max Keyes	N/A	N/A	Emergency Response Division
PREPARER	TECHNICAL	USER	COGNIZANT ORGANIZATION

Table of Contents

	<u>Page</u>
1.0 Purpose and Scope.....	2
2.0 Definitions	2
3.0 Responsibilities.....	3
4.0 Procedure	4
5.0 References	5
6.0 Support Documents	5

Emergency Response Program**1.0 Purpose and Scope**

- 1.1 This procedure provides a coordinated approach to emergency response at the South Texas Project Electric Generating Station (STPEGS).**
- 1.2 The Emergency Response Program is applicable to both onsite and offsite personnel assigned to respond to an event at STPEGS. The scope of this procedure delineates responsibilities for the various elements required to implement an effective and coordinated Emergency Response Program. These elements include:**
 - 1.2.1 STPEGS Emergency Plan**
 - 1.2.2 Emergency Plan Implementing and Administrative Procedures**
 - 1.2.3 Emergency Response Audit and Surveillance Program**
 - 1.2.4 Emergency Response Drill and Exercise Program**
 - 1.2.5 Emergency Response Training Program**
 - 1.2.6 Emergency Response Facilities and Equipment**
 - 1.2.7 Emergency Response Organization**
 - 1.2.8 Emergency Communication Systems**
 - 1.2.9 Prompt Notification System**
 - 1.2.10 Public Information and Public Education Program**
 - 1.2.11 Emergency Notification and Response System**

2.0 Definitions

- 2.1 Emergency Response Organization (ERO): A designated group of personnel who respond to declared emergencies at the STPEGS.**

Emergency Response Program**3.0 Responsibilities****3.1 Supervisor, Emergency Response or designee shall:**

- 3.1.1 Maintain the Emergency Plan.
- 3.1.2 Maintain the Emergency Plan Implementing and Administrative Procedures.
- 3.1.3 Ensure the Emergency Response Program provides for adequate staff, training, and facilities to comply with current regulatory guidance.
- 3.1.4 Ensure an adequate Emergency Drill and Exercise Program is maintained.
- 3.1.5 Ensure necessary revisions to procedures, facilities, staff, and training are accomplished to correct program deficiencies identified during an exercise, drill, audit or surveillance.
- 3.1.6 Ensure required emergency preparedness training in accordance with the responsibilities in procedure OPGP03-ZT-0139, Emergency Preparedness Training Program.
- 3.1.7 Ensure compliance with surveillances.
- 3.1.8 Review the Emergency Response documents to ensure compliance with current regulatory requirements and commitments.
- 3.1.9 Provide Emergency Response Program documents necessary for licensing to the appropriate State, Federal and local agencies in accordance with current regulatory guidance.
- 3.1.10 Ensure an adequate public information program is established and maintained to inform the public about the Emergency Response Program.
- 3.1.11 Provide for maintenance of the Prompt Notification System.
- 3.1.12 Provide for maintenance and testing of the ENRS.

3.2 STPEGS Department Managers shall:

- 3.2.1 Provide personnel to staff the ERO.
- 3.2.2 Assist the Emergency Response Division in drill/exercise scenario development as requested.

Emergency Response Program**3.3 Manager, Quality or designee shall:**

3.3.1 Coordinate review of the Emergency Response Program in accordance with 10CFR50.54(t).

3.3.1.1 Applicable portions of the findings will be made available to the appropriate County, State and federal governments.

3.4 Superintendent, Security or designee shall:

3.4.1 Provide security to the STPEGS in accordance with the Physical Security Plan and Safeguards Contingency Plan to control ingress and egress and traffic control during emergency situations or drills/exercises.

3.4.2 Operate the Emergency Notification and Response System (ENRS).

3.4.3 Ensure required emergency preparedness training in accordance with the responsibilities in procedure OPGP03-ZT-0139, Emergency Preparedness Training Program.

3.5 Manager, Training or designee shall:

3.5.1 Ensure required emergency preparedness training in accordance with the responsibilities in procedure OPGP03-ZT-0139, Emergency Preparedness Training Program.

3.6 Manager, Applications & Business Software or designee shall:

3.6.1 Provide for maintenance and testing of the Prompt Notification Siren Subsystem.

3.6.2 Provide for maintenance and testing of communication networks in conjunction with the operation of the Emergency Response Facilities.

3.7 General Manager, Station Support, shall:

3.7.1 Maintain overall authority and responsibility for radiological emergency response planning.

4.0 Procedure

4.1 Program maintenance and implementation shall be in accordance with the descriptions provided in Section 3.0 of this procedure.

Emergency Response Program**5.0 References**

- 5.1 STPEGS Emergency Plan**
- 5.2 Operations Quality Assurance Plan (OQAP)**
- 5.3 Title 10, Code of Federal Regulations, Part 50.47, Emergency Plans**
- 5.4 Title 10, Code of Federal Regulations, Part 50, Appendix E, Emergency Planning and Response for Production and Utilization Facilities**
- 5.5 ANSI/ANI 3.7.3, Radiological Emergency Response Exercises for Nuclear Power Plants**
- 5.6 NUREG-0654/FEMA-REP-1, Criteria for Preparation and Evaluation of Radiological Emergency Response Plans and Response in Support of Nuclear Power Plants**
- 5.7 NUREG-0696, Functional Criteria for Emergency Response Facilities**
- 5.8 NUREG/CR-3251, The Role of Security During Safety-Related Emergencies at Nuclear Power Plants**

6.0 Support Documents

- 6.1 None**

STI 31534961	OPGP05-ZV-0010	Rev. 6	Page 1 of 14
Emergency Plan Revision			
Quality	Non Safety-Related	Usage: Available	Effective Date: 12/18/02
Max Keyes	N/A	N/A	Emergency Response Division
PREPARER	TECHNICAL	USER	COGNIZANT ORGANIZATION

Table of Contents

Page

1.0	Purpose and Scope.....	2
2.0	Responsibilities	2
3.0	Procedure.....	3
4.0	References	6
5.0	Support Documents	6
	Form 1, Emergency Plan Revision Checklist.....	7
	Form 2, Emergency Plan Approval Form	12
	Form 3, Emergency Response Program Evaluation.....	13

Emergency Plan Revision**1.0 Purpose and Scope**

- 1.1 This procedure provides guidance for the revision and approval of the South Texas Project Electric Generating Station (STPEGS) Emergency Plan and implementing procedures.
- 1.2 This procedure defines the requirements of Title 10, Code of Federal Regulations, Part 50.54(q), for submission of revisions of the Emergency Plan to the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC).
- 1.3 This procedure provides for the evaluation of proposed plant changes or procedures that may affect the Emergency Plan or the emergency planning effort at the STPEGS.
- 1.4 This procedure implements appropriate portions of the STPEGS Emergency Plan.

2.0 Responsibilities**2.1 The General Manager, Station Support, is responsible for:**

- 2.1.1 Final approval of Revisions and Interim Change Notices (ICNs) to the Emergency Plan.
- 2.1.2 Approval of select implementing procedures.

2.2 The Supervisor, Emergency Response, or designee, is responsible for:

- 2.2.1 Maintaining the Emergency Plan and implementing procedures in accordance with appropriate regulations.
- 2.2.2 Performing an annual review of the Emergency Plan and implementing procedures, to include:
 - Written critiques and evaluations of drills/exercises;
 - Changes in key personnel that are part of the Emergency Response Organization;
 - Changes in the organizational structure;
 - Changes in applicable Federal and State regulations;
 - Changes in functional capability of support organizations;
 - Modifications to the Station facilities, procedures, emergency response facilities, site or operating status that could affect emergency response;
 - Recommendations received from other organizations, such as Federal, State, or County agencies or private support groups;
 - Independent audit findings;

Emergency Plan Revision

- Comments from the State and County;
- Documented Condition Report or NRC Inspection Report identified items; and
- Changes to implementing procedures.

2.2.3 This review shall be performed and documented in accordance with OPGP05-ZV-0014, Emergency Response Activities.

2.3 Appropriate Department Managers, or designees, are responsible for:

2.3.1 Reviewing and commenting on proposed Revisions and ICNs to the Emergency Plan.

2.4 The Plant General Manager is responsible for:

2.4.1 Recommending for approval Revisions and ICNs to the Emergency Plan.

2.4.2 Approving Revisions to select implementing procedures.

3.0 Procedure

3.1 Emergency Plan Revision

3.1.1 Revisions to the Emergency Plan shall be accomplished, as needed, and shall include items identified in Section 2.2.2.

3.1.2 Revisions shall be prepared as follows:

- Revisions that decrease the effectiveness of the emergency response program shall be submitted to the NRC for approval prior to implementation.
- Revisions that decrease the effectiveness of the emergency response program shall be discussed and agreed on by the state and county governmental authorities.
- Every page of the Emergency Plan shall be marked to reflect the proposed revision number.
- The proposed Revision shall be reviewed in accordance with procedure OPAP01-ZA-0103, License Compliance Review, Form 1. Revisions are exempt from 10CFR50.59 Screening so skip questions 1 through 4 and go to question 5.
- The proposed Revision shall be reviewed for compliance with 10CFR50.54 and NUREG 0654/FEMA-REP-1, Rev. 1, standards. This review shall be documented on Form 1, Emergency Plan Revision Checklist.

Emergency Plan Revision

- An independent technical review shall be performed utilizing OPAP01-ZA-0102, Form 4, Technical Review Checklist.
- Review & Comment Requirements:
 - ❖ Forward the proposed revision and applicable forms in accordance with procedure OPAP01-ZA-0102, Plant Procedures, to Quality and all departments that could be affected by the revision.
 - ❖ At least one facility manager from each of the emergency response facilities (Emergency Operations Facility, Technical Support Center and Operations Support Center).
 - ❖ Once the proposed Revision has completed the review and comment cycle, and all comments have been resolved, it shall be forwarded to the Plant Operations Review Committee (PORC) for recommendation for approval.
 - ❖ If the proposed Revision is recommended for approval by PORC, it shall be forwarded to the Plant General Manager for approval as documented on Form 2, Emergency Plan Approval Form.
 - ❖ The proposed Revision shall be submitted to the General Manager, Station Support, for final approval, as documented on Form 2.
 - ❖ Once the revision has received final approval, the revision's effective date shall be typed on the bottom of every page of the Emergency Plan.
 - ❖ Once the effective date has been typed on all pages of the Emergency Plan, it shall be forwarded to Document Control for distribution.

3.2 Interim Change Notice (ICN)

3.2.1 In instances where a revision to a page or several pages of the Emergency Plan is necessary, outside the full revision, an ICN may be processed as follows:

- ICNs that decrease the effectiveness of the emergency response program shall be submitted to the NRC for approval prior to implementation.
- ICNs that decrease the effectiveness of the emergency response program shall be discussed and agreed on by the state and county governmental authorities.
- The affected page(s) shall be marked with the ICN number (e.g., current revision number-dash-sequential ICN number: 18-1, 18-2, etc.)
- The ICN shall be processed the same as a plan revision.

Emergency Plan Revision

- Once the ICN has received final approval, the ICNs effective date shall be typed on the bottom of all affected pages.
- Once the effective date has been typed on all affected pages, the affected pages shall be forwarded to Document Control for distribution.

3.3 Emergency Plan Implementing Procedure Revisions:

- 3.3.1 Emergency Plan Implementing Procedures are listed in the Emergency Plan, Attachment 2, Implementing Procedures.
- 3.3.2 PORC review and Plant General Manager approval is necessary if revising the responsibilities, purpose and scope, safety classification, or other departments are assigned tasks not previously required (Intent Change).
 - All other revisions are considered administrative in nature and only require the Supervisor, Emergency Response approval.
- 3.3.3 Revisions to implementing procedures shall be processed in accordance with OPAP01-ZA-0102, Plant Procedures.
- 3.3.4 Revisions other than editorial clarifications shall be reviewed in accordance with Form 3, Emergency Response Program Evaluation.
- 3.3.5 Emergency Action Level changes shall be discussed and agreed on by the state and county governmental authorities (this list may not be all inclusive):
 - State of Texas, Division of Emergency Management,
 - State of Texas, Bureau of Radiation Control,
 - Matagorda County.

3.4 30 Day NRC Transmittal (10CFR50.54(q))

- 3.4.1 All Emergency Plan Revisions, Implementing Procedure Revisions or Interim Change Notices shall be sent to the NRC Within thirty (30) days after effective date:
 - Draft a cover letter including the changed pages/procedure, Summary of Changes and Receipt Acknowledgement Form.
 - Forward the package to the Licensing Department for transmittal to the NRC.
- 3.4.2 Revisions requiring NRC approval prior to their effective date shall be submitted to the Plant Operations Review Committee (PORC) and be approved by the Plant General Manager prior to NRC Submittal.

Emergency Plan Revision

- Draft a cover letter including the changed pages/procedure, Summary of Changes and Receipt Acknowledgement Form.
- Forward the package to the Licensing Department for transmittal to the NRC.

3.4.3 Any changes made during the NRC approval process require PORC submittal and approval by the Plant General Manager prior to effective date.

4.0 References

- 4.1 STPEGS Emergency Plan
- 4.2 10CFR50, Appendix E
- 4.3 10CFR50.47
- 4.4 10CFR50.54(q)
- 4.5 10CFR50.4
- 4.6 NUREG 0654, FEMA-REP-1, Rev. 1
- 4.7 NUMARC/NESP-007, Rev 2
- 4.8 OPAP01-ZA-0102, Plant Procedures
- 4.9 OPGP05-ZV-0014, Emergency Response Activities

5.0 Support Documents

- 5.1 Form 1, Emergency Plan Revision Checklist
- 5.2 Form 2, Emergency Plan Approval Form
- 5.3 Form 3, Emergency Response Program Evaluation

	OPGP05-ZV-0010	Rev. 6	Page 7 of 14
Emergency Plan Revision			
Form 1	Emergency Plan Revision Checklist		Page 1 of 5

Proposed revisions to the STPEGS Emergency Plan shall be checked against each of the following checklist items. The Reviewer shall answer each checklist item by initialing in the space provided. Any item answered YES shall be described in full detail. Provide supplemental sheets, as required.

10CFR50.54(q) states, in part:

The nuclear power reactor licensee may make changes to these plans without Commission approval only if the changes do not decrease the effectiveness of the plans and the plans, as changed, continue to meet the standards of 10CFR50.47(b) and the requirements of Appendix E of this part. NUREG 0654/FEMA-REP-1, Rev. 1 provides specific guidance in regard to the requirement of these standards.

- a) Does the revision affect this standard?
- b) Does the revision decrease the effectiveness of the STPEGS Emergency Plan?
- c) Bases for answer to a) and/or b).

Standards

1. Primary responsibilities for emergency response by the licensee and State and County organizations within the Emergency Planning Zones have been assigned, the emergency responsibilities of the various supporting organizations have been specifically established, and each principal response organization has staff to respond and to augment its initial response on a continuous basis

- a) YES _____ NO _____ (If NO, skip b and c)
- b) YES _____ NO _____ N/A
- c) BASES: _____

2. Onshift licensee responsibilities for emergency response are defined, adequate staffing to provide initial facility accident response in key functional areas is maintained at all times, timely augmentation of response capabilities is available and the interfaces among various onsite response activities and offsite support and response activities are specified.

- a) YES _____ NO _____ (If NO, skip b and c)
- b) YES _____ NO _____ N/A
- c) BASES: _____

	OPGP05-ZV-0010	Rev. 6	Page 8 of 14
Emergency Plan Revision			
Form 1	Emergency Plan Revision Checklist		Page 2 of 5

3. Arrangements for requesting and effectively using assistance resources have been made, arrangements to accommodate State and County staff at the licensee's Emergency Operation Facility have been made, and other organizations capable of augmenting the planned response have been identified.

a) YES _____ NO _____ (If NO, skip b and c)

b) YES _____ NO _____ N/A

c) BASES: _____

4. An emergency classification and emergency action level scheme, the basis of which include facility system and effluent parameters, is in use by the licensee, and State and County emergency management plans call for reliance on information provided by facility licensees for determinations of minimum initial offsite response measures.

a) YES _____ NO _____ (If NO, skip b and c)

b) YES _____ NO _____ N/A

c) BASES: _____

5. Procedures have been established for notification, by the licensee, of State and County response organizations and for notification of emergency personnel by all organizations; the content of initial and follow-up messages to response organizations and the public has been established; and means to provide early notification and clear instruction to the populace within the plume exposure pathway Emergency Planning Zone (EPZ) have been established.

a) YES _____ NO _____ (If NO, skip b and c)

b) YES _____ NO _____ N/A

c) BASES: _____

6. Provisions exist for prompt communications among principal response organizations to emergency personnel and to the public.

a) YES _____ NO _____ (If NO, skip b and c)

b) YES _____ NO _____ N/A

c) BASES: _____

	OPGP05-ZV-0010	Rev. 6	Page 9 of 14
Emergency Plan Revision			
Form 1	Emergency Plan Revision Checklist		Page 3 of 5

7. Information is made available to the public on a periodic basis on how they will be notified and what their initial actions should be in an emergency (e.g., listening to a local broadcast station), the principal points of contact with the news media for dissemination of information during an emergency (including the physical location or locations) are established in advance, and procedures for coordinated dissemination of information to the public are established.

- a) YES _____ NO _____ (If NO, skip b and c)
b) YES _____ NO _____ N/A
c) BASES: _____

8. Adequate emergency response facilities and equipment to support the emergency response are provided and maintained.

- a) YES _____ NO _____ (If NO, skip b and c)
b) YES _____ NO _____ N/A
c) BASES: _____

9. Adequate methods, systems, and equipment for assessing and monitoring actual or potential offsite consequences of a radiological emergency condition are in use.

- a) YES _____ NO _____ (If NO, skip b and c)
b) YES _____ NO _____ N/A
c) BASES: _____

10. A range of protective actions have been developed for the plume exposure pathway EPZ for emergency workers and the public. Guidelines for the choice of protective actions during an emergency, consistent with Federal guidance, are developed and in place, and protective actions for the ingestion exposure pathway EPZ appropriate to the locale have been developed.

- a) YES _____ NO _____ (If NO, skip b and c)
b) YES _____ NO _____ N/A
c) BASES: _____

	OPGP05-ZV-0010	Rev. 6	Page 10 of 14
Emergency Plan Revision			
Form 1	Emergency Plan Revision Checklist		Page 4 of 5

11. Means for controlling radiological emergency exposures, are established for emergency workers. The means for controlling radiological exposures shall include exposure guidelines consistent with EPA Emergency Worker and Lifesaving Activity Protective Action Guidelines.

a) YES _____ NO _____ (If NO, skip b and c)

b) YES _____ NO _____ N/A

c) BASES: _____

12. Arrangements are made for medical services for contaminated injured individuals.

a) YES _____ NO _____ (If NO, skip b and c)

b) YES _____ NO _____ N/A

c) BASES: _____

13. General plans for recovery and reentry are developed.

a) YES _____ NO _____ (If NO, skip b and c)

b) YES _____ NO _____ N/A

c) BASES: _____

14. Periodic exercises are conducted to evaluate major portions of emergency response capabilities, periodic drills are conducted to develop and maintain key skills, and deficiencies identified as a result of exercises or drills are corrected.

a) YES _____ NO _____ (If NO, skip b and c)

b) YES _____ NO _____ N/A

c) BASES: _____

	OPGP05-ZV-0010	Rev. 6	Page 11 of 14
Emergency Plan Revision			
Form 1	Emergency Plan Revision Checklist		Page 5 of 5

15. Radiological emergency response training is provided to those who may be called on to assist in an emergency.

a) YES _____ NO _____ (If NO, skip b and c)

b) YES _____ NO _____ N/A

c) BASES: _____

16. Responsibilities for emergency plan development, review, and distribution are established, and planners are properly trained.

a) YES _____ NO _____ (If NO, skip b and c)

b) YES _____ NO _____ N/A

c) BASES: _____

REVIEW FINDINGS: _____

REVIEWER: _____

DATE: _____

REVIEW APPROVAL: _____
 Supervisor, Emergency Response

DATE: _____

	OPGP05-ZV-0010	Rev. 6	Page 12 of 14
Emergency Plan Revision			
Form 2	Emergency Plan Approval Form		Page 1 of 1

- Revision _____ Is attached for your review and approval of the STPEGS
 Interim Change Notice _____ Emergency Plan.

PORC Meeting No. _____ / _____ Date

Approved: _____ / _____ Date
 Plant General Manager

Approved: _____ / _____ Date
 General Manager, Station Support

Effective Date: _____

When completed, this form shall serve as certification and accompany the STPEGS Emergency Plan change package.

When completed, a copy of this form shall become the cover page of the revision for controlled distribution

	OPGP05-ZV-0010	Rev. 6	Page 13 of 14
Emergency Plan Revision			
Form 3	Emergency Response Program Evaluation		Page 1 of 2

Document: _____

Rev.: _____

This Form shall be used to determine if a proposed change to an Emergency Plan Implementing Procedure decreases the effectiveness of the STPEGS Emergency Response Program.

1. Does the proposed change differ from the requirements of NUREG-0654/FEMA REP-1, Rev. 1 or prior NRC Emergency Response Program Commitments in any of the following areas:

- | | | |
|--|------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| a. Assignment of Responsibility (Organization Control) | <input type="checkbox"/> Yes | <input type="checkbox"/> No |
| b. Onsite Emergency Organization | <input type="checkbox"/> Yes | <input type="checkbox"/> No |
| c. Emergency Response Support and Resources | <input type="checkbox"/> Yes | <input type="checkbox"/> No |
| d. Emergency Classification System | <input type="checkbox"/> Yes | <input type="checkbox"/> No |
| e. Notification Methods and Procedures | <input type="checkbox"/> Yes | <input type="checkbox"/> No |
| f. Emergency Communications | <input type="checkbox"/> Yes | <input type="checkbox"/> No |
| g. Public Education and Information | <input type="checkbox"/> Yes | <input type="checkbox"/> No |
| h. Emergency Facilities and Equipment | <input type="checkbox"/> Yes | <input type="checkbox"/> No |
| i. Accident Assessment | <input type="checkbox"/> Yes | <input type="checkbox"/> No |
| j. Protective Response | <input type="checkbox"/> Yes | <input type="checkbox"/> No |
| k. Radiological Exposure Control | <input type="checkbox"/> Yes | <input type="checkbox"/> No |
| l. Medical and Public Health Support | <input type="checkbox"/> Yes | <input type="checkbox"/> No |
| m. Recovery and Reentry Planning and Post-Accident Operations | <input type="checkbox"/> Yes | <input type="checkbox"/> No |
| n. Exercises and Drills | <input type="checkbox"/> Yes | <input type="checkbox"/> No |
| o. Radiological Emergency Response Training | <input type="checkbox"/> Yes | <input type="checkbox"/> No |
| p. Responsibility for the Planning Effort: Development, Periodic, Review and Distribution of Emergency Plans | <input type="checkbox"/> Yes | <input type="checkbox"/> No |

2. If YES was answered for any of the previous questions, then provide justification that the document would not decrease the effectiveness of the Emergency Response Program per 10CFR50.54(q):

	OPGP05-ZV-0010	Rev. 6	Page 14 of 14
Emergency Plan Revision			
Form 3	Emergency Response Program Evaluation		Page 2 of 2

3. Does the document involve changes to:
- 3.1 Emergency Action Levels (EAL) Yes No
- 3.1.1 If yes, does the proposed EAL changes differ from the requirements of NUMARC/NESP-007, Rev 2 Yes No

4. Evaluation Comments: _____

5. Evaluated by: _____ Date: ____/____/____

6. Approved E-Plan Revision Required Before Implementation
- Disapproved/Reason: _____

 Supervisor, Emergency Response ____/____/____
Date

From: Emily Garcia
To: Out of Office Memos
Date: Monday, January 06, 2003 8:36AM
Subject: Delegation of Signature Authority

January 6, 2003
NOCNOC03007724
STI 31540592
H05

Paul Serra will be offsite on January 6-9. In his absence, Alan Mikus will assume the duties of the Plant Protection Manager, including signature authority.

This e-mail should be attached to any documents signed by Alan Mikus during this time.

CC: Broadwater, Terry; Hall, Maudester; Maier, Richard; Maxey, Shanda; Mikus, Alan; Mills, Lois; Morgan, Aubrey; Serra, Paul