

DOCKETED
USNRC

COPY OF TRANSCRIPT

2003 JAN 13 PM 2:44

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY
RULEMAKINGS AND
ADJUDICATIONS STAFF

Before the Atomic Safety and Licensing Board

In the Matter of)	Docket No. 72-22
PRIVATE FUEL STORAGE)	ASLPB No. 97-732-02-ISFSI
L.L.C.)	DEPOSITION OF:
(Private Fuel Storage)	<u>DR. MOHSIN R. KHAN</u>
Facility))	
_____)	(Utah Contention L/QQ)

Tuesday, March 5, 2002 - 12:10 p.m.

Location: Office of Parsons, Behle & Latimer
201 S. Main, Suite 1800
Salt Lake City, Utah

Reporter: Vicky McDaniel
Notary Public in and for the State of Utah
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

Docket No. _____ Official Exh. No. 89
 In the matter of PFS
 Staff _____ IDENTIFIED
 Applicant RECEIVED
 Intervenor _____ REJECTED _____
 Other _____ WITHDRAWN _____
 DATE 5-7-02 Witness _____
 Clerk _____



CitiCourt, LLC
THE REPORTING GROUP

SECY-02
50 South Main, Suite 920
Salt Lake City, Utah 84144

Template =

1 Q. And normally if you'd have a low coefficient
2 the friction you would expect more sliding, correct?

3 A. In a -- if vertical is absent.

4 Q. Okay. But you said here that the primary
5 motion was sliding and not vertical, correct?

6 A. If --

7 Q. Didn't you tell me just before that primary
8 dissipation was going to be friction and it's going to
9 be the horizontal movement that's going to control and
10 not the vertical? I thought we had that discussion
11 just before we broke.

12 A. Okay, let's -- let's say for the record, if
13 you have a purely horizontal motion then the primary
14 dissipation of energy is through friction in the
15 horizontal direction.

16 Q. If you have a purely horizontal motion?

17 A. Purely, yes. But when a cask lifts up, the
18 friction at that time is basically nonexistent and any
19 horizontal motion would move it without any frictional
20 effect. That's what's happening in the Case 1 and Case
21 2 and all these cases. When the vertical motion
22 becomes higher, the effect of coefficient of friction
23 on the displacement of this cask is not linearly
24 related.

25 Q. Just tell me what's happening in Case 1 and

1 Case 2. What's happening to the cask?

2 A. What's happening to the cask?

3 Q. Yeah, in Case 1.

4 A. Case 1, the cask is displacing in the
5 vertical direction 27 inches --

6 Q. Okay.

7 A. -- off the pad. Coefficient of friction has
8 no meaning.

9 Q. And you're saying that the cask was off the
10 pad?

11 A. For a significant duration of time, cask
12 would be off the pad.

13 Q. Okay. And you're saying that the horizontal
14 displacement during this period of time is 372 inches?

15 A. Yeah, because there is no resisting force
16 acting.

17 Q. So you're saying the cask is going to have a
18 horizontal displacement of 25 to 30 feet?

19 A. Well, that's what it says, yes.

20 Q. So you're saying the cask is going to move
21 25 to 30 feet?

22 A. This is the number, yes.

23 Q. Now, so you're saying that the cask has
24 totally left the pad in this instance?

25 A. During the -- yes.

1 Q. That's what this Case 1, is happening in
2 Case 1?

3 A. In Case 1 what's happening is for a
4 significant duration of time, for a significant
5 duration of time cask is jumping off the pad, and at
6 that instance of time when you have a combined
7 horizontal motion, there is no resistance to the cask
8 from the horizontal motion, and it moves.

9 Q. How long is the cask staying up in the air?

10 A. I don't -- the entire duration I believe is
11 what 24, 30 second duration.

12 Q. So this entire duration here, this run --
13 you've modeled the cask for 30 seconds is what you're
14 saying?

15 A. Yeah. So it will move up, it will slide and
16 come down bounce back. So you have to look at the
17 whole time of duration. This is the maximum
18 displacement that was seen at one of these nodal points
19 at the cask during the uplift.

20 Q. So are you saying that -- what you're
21 telling me, then, I guess -- I've got a pad, okay.
22 Take this. And I have the -- cask bounces up and it
23 moves in relationship to what? It's moving 25 to 30
24 feet in relationship to what, horizontally? In
25 relation to the pad?

1 A. Yes.

2 Q. Or in relation to the ground, or same thing?

3 A. A rigid surface. It suggests a rigid
4 surface.

5 Q. Are you assuming in the model that the pad
6 and the ground act in unison?

7 A. No.

8 Q. What's it is -- is the pad moving in this
9 analysis you're doing?

10 A. The top of the pad is applying the input
11 motion. Top of the pad is applying the input motion.
12 It excites the vertical spring and it excites the
13 horizontal.

14 Q. It moves -- you're telling me that in Case 1
15 we have 372 inches, which is roughly 30 feet, right?

16 A. Yes.

17 Q. That's 30 feet movement with respect to
18 what? The earth? With respect to the pad or the
19 earth, or both?

20 A. With respect to earth and the pad both,
21 because pad is, in our analysis is the ground.

22 Q. So that's 30 feet motion with respect to the
23 pad --

24 A. Yes.

25 Q. -- or the ground, okay. So what you're

1 telling me is that basically you have this cask on the
2 pad, right? It comes up, and then while it's up in the
3 air, is the ground and the pad moving in relationship
4 to the cask? Or is the cask moving in relationship to
5 the ground and the pad?

6 A. They both are moving relative to each other.
7 Both cask and the ground is moving relative to each
8 other, because ground motion is already defined.

9 Q. Do you know what the maximum free field
10 ground motion would be in an earthquake, design basis
11 earthquake? Do you know what the maximum free field
12 ground motion is for the PFS design base earthquake?

13 A. Yes, those are the time histories that we
14 used.

15 Q. Yeah, but do you know how much it moves?

16 A. I don't know in terms of displacement.

17 Q. That's --

18 A. That's just the ground.

19 Q. Does two feet sound about right?

20 A. Could be. I haven't calculated, but could
21 be.

22 Q. Assuming that the ground moves two feet, are
23 you saying the pad moves -- you're saying when the
24 ground moves two feet, you would have this cask move 31
25 feet?

1 A. Yeah, relative to -- if you look at this
2 way. You could -- if you have -- you walk on ice and
3 you slip, you could continue sliding for --

4 Q. We're not talking about sliding here.
5 You're saying there's no sliding. You're saying it's
6 off the pad, basically --

7 A. Okay.

8 Q. -- is what you're saying. The sliding, I
9 might understand it, but you're not saying that.

10 A. That's exactly what in the model is
11 happening. When it lifts up, at that point when the
12 cask is above the pad, the effect of coefficient of
13 friction is nothing. That part of energy dissipation
14 is zero. When it comes down, attaches to the ground,
15 then .8 becomes effective. So if you have a cask that
16 keeps on jumping higher and higher and the horizontal
17 motion keeps on acting, there is no force to resist it.

18 Q. So you're saying that while the cask is
19 going up, you also have this big horizontal motion
20 pushing the cask at the same time. --

21 A. That's right.

22 Q. -- is what you're saying?

23 A. So you have initial velocities,
24 instantaneous initial velocities --

25 Q. Now, why would the horizontal motion move

1 the cask -- why are you having all this horizontal
2 motion in the cask of 30 feet when the ground itself is
3 only moving two feet?

4 A. The ground is simply applying the force and
5 let the object move whatever it wants to move.

6 Q. What other types of analysis have you done
7 like this, Dr. Khan? You've done none, right?

8 A. I mean, it has nothing to do with any
9 analysis or -- it's just a simple structural analysis.

10 Q. Well, isn't it also true that you're warned
11 by computer programs that you should make sure that the
12 program doesn't blow up when you run it, that it
13 provides a realistic solution?

14 A. What is a realistic solution?

15 Q. First of all, isn't it true that the manuals
16 tell you that?

17 A. Every program says that you should use input
18 values, and we use input values that we -- that in our
19 judgment, in our judgment are consistent and realistic,
20 and we analyzed it.

21 Q. And what judgments do you have to pick
22 out -- how many times have you picked contact stiffness
23 as an input value?

24 A. How many times?

25 Q. Yeah.

1 A. We use stiffness values all the time, every
2 time we analyze the structure. For an anchored cask it
3 could be zero in the upward direction.

4 Q. So how many times have you picked a contact
5 stiffness value for sliding analysis?

6 A. A program --

7 Q. How many times have you picked a contact
8 stiffness value for sliding, for lift-off analysis?

9 A. For this case?

10 Q. No, just in general. How many times have
11 you picked a contact stiffness analysis for purposes of
12 analyzing sliding or tipping?

13 A. This is the case.

14 Q. This is the first case?

15 A. Yes.

16 Q. First time you've done it, correct?

17 A. That's right.

18 Q. Okay. Dr. Khan, you say in paragraph 70, I
19 believe it is, "The Altran analysis did not take into
20 account for the amplification due to soil structural
21 interaction in the 2,000-year earthquake input time
22 histories." Then you go on to say, therefore, the
23 vertical input motions at the base of the cask should
24 be higher. I'm confused what you're saying in that
25 paragraph 70. I think you also have something in your