Pebble Bed

2/21/01 meeting notes on PBMR

T to A1

Rick: Get:

2/21/01 ① letter from Travers to Exelon

② Chairman's letter from last Summer

2/22/01 - meeting with RES, OGC, OCEO

S. Rubin

"not talking about licensing application

Exelon letter to Travers 11/2000 - interest in licensing

Travers letter back acknowledging

- Committed to send plan to Commission

DOE has a generation 4 reactor program

They view NRC Pebble Bed work will identify our issues

IF Pebble Bed doesn't succeed Gen.4 would fail.

NRC - no ∅ DOE offer to

---

using the DOE labs - Prototypic role

Oak Ridge is working

RES funds other Reactor Technologies

Assess Technology

"Assess a technology →" RES looks at new technologies

RES has

label → "Preapplicant"

Kilduff → Assessing a technology

- Provide expertise

King - one specific design

AFM → some is generic

- At some stage → goes to NRR

ALWR -

Non-ALWRs

C/8

NES - non LWR lead Sta.

Frame out take NES - assessment of technology

Produce SECY paper → letter back to Executive

Lay
"Technology Assessment may be OK"
we would not be able to ~~take~~ take funds for DOE for pre-application

Frame-end
Tech. Assess version Pre-application

AFM Q → DOE initiative in Gen 4 → assessing technology

- Technology issue OK.
- Regulatory Guides

Policy Issue - HL safety issue

Executive wants to understand "regulatory criteria"
Key will define
Kitlee → define a breaking point ex AP600

Coop Key ? → Brian Kitlee → maybe

Brian - "make it clear"

FY2001 - $1M
2002 -

NRC could have free bilateral