

**From:** Glenda Jackson  
**To:** JMg3  
**Date:** 3/28/01 1:11PM  
**Subject:** Comments on Pebble Bed paper

Jennifer,

Please see the attached WP file for revisions to the fee discussions in the subject paper. In addition, I will bring you a copy of the paper annotated with minor editorial comments.

**CC:** dbd; jxt

B/4

The 2<sup>nd</sup> full paragraph on Page 3 of the Paper should read as follows:

Excelon would be assessed fees under 10 CFR Part 170, consistent with the Commission's 1995 fee policy for advanced reactor designs, for NRC's pre-application activities that are specific to the PBMR. Additionally, 10 CFR Part 170 fees would be assessed for the review of any license application for a modular HTGR, such as the PBMR.

The last sentence of the last paragraph on Page 11 of Attachment 2 to the Paper should read as follows:

Excelon would be assessed fees under 10 CFR Part 170, consistent with the Commission's 1995 fee policy for advanced reactor designs, for NRC's pre-application activities that are specific to the PBMR.