

ENERGY AND WATER DEVELOPMENT APPROPRIATIONS
BILL, 1998

JULY 21, 1997.—Committed to the Committee of the Whole House on the State of
the Union and ordered to be printed

Mr. MCDADE, from the Committee on Appropriations,
submitted the following

REPORT

[To accompany H.R. 2209]

The Committee on Appropriations submits the following report in
explanation of the accompanying bill making appropriations for en-
ergy and water development for the fiscal year ending September
30, 1998, and for other purposes.

INDEX TO BILL AND REPORT

	Page Number	
	Bill	Report
Introduction		4
I. Department of Defense—Civil		
Corps of Engineers—Civil		
Corps of Engineers civil works mission	2	7
General investigations	2	8
Construction, general	4	32
Flood control, Mississippi River and tributaries, Arkansas, Il- linois, Kentucky, Louisiana, Mississippi, Missouri, and Tennessee	8	48
Operation and maintenance, general	8	51
Regulatory program	9	65
Flood control and coastal emergencies	9	65
Formerly Utilized Sites Remedial Action Program	10	66
General expenses	11	67
Administrative provisions	11
II. Department of the Interior:		
Central Utah Project completion account	12	69
Bureau of Reclamation:		
Water and related resources	13	69
Bureau of Reclamation loan program account	14	81
Central Valley Project restoration fund	15	82
California Bay-Delta ecosystem restoration	16	83
Policy and Administration	16	83

B/1

	Page Number	
	Bill	Report
Administrative provision	17
III. Department of Energy:		
Introduction	17	90
Energy supply ..	17	98
Non-defense environmental management	18	100
Uranium enrichment decontamination and decommissioning fund	18	101
Science	19	106
Nuclear waste disposal fund	19	107
Departmental administration	20	110
Office of inspector general		
Atomic energy defense activities:		
Weapons activities	20	110
Defense environmental restoration and waste management ...	21	114
Other defense activities	21	122
Defense nuclear waste disposal	22	130
Power marketing administrations:		
Alaska Power Administration	22	130
Bonneville Power Administration	22	131
Southeastern Power Administration	23	132
Southwestern Power Administration	23	132
Western Area Power Administration	24	133
Falcon and Amistad operating and maintenance fund	24	134
Federal Energy Regulatory Commission ..	25	134
General Provisions ..	25	134
IV. Independent agencies		
Appalachian Regional Commission	29	147
Defense Nuclear Facilities Safety Board	29	147
Nuclear Regulatory Commission ..	30	148
Office of Inspector General	32	149
Nuclear Waste Technical Review Board	33	149
Tennessee Valley Authority	33	150
V. General Provisions	34	155
House Reporting Requirements		157

SUMMARY OF ESTIMATES AND RECOMMENDATIONS

The Committee has considered budget estimates which are contained in the *Budget of the United States Government, 1998*. The following table summarizes appropriations for fiscal year 1997, the budget estimates and amounts recommended in the bill for fiscal year 1998.

year 2006 and after fiscal year 2006. The Committee expects the Department to provide budget quality data for each project. The Ten Year Plan should address the full life-cycle costs and schedules. The Committee urges the Department to consider risk and mortgage reduction in the prioritization and sequencing of clean-up projects.

The Committee is concerned that the Department is trying to force a scope of work to fit into an unrealistic acceleration plan using efficiency goals or management challenges that are virtually impossible to meet, especially in the out-years. While the Committee applauds the Department's efforts to be more efficient and believes that there are additional savings to be gained by doing the most important work first and by lowering the cost of doing business, relying on basically yet-to-be defined goals of the magnitude presented in discussion document challenges the overall credibility of the plan. Accordingly, the Committee strongly urges the Department to create a Ten Year Plan that completes as much as the cleanup mission as possible by 2006, with aggressive but defensible efficiency goals, using data based on the true state of the individual Environmental Management sites. The Committee discourages the Department from writing a plan and then trying to force-fit data as appears to be the case with the "Focus on 2006" draft.

The Committee also urges the Department to consider more realistic land use planning assumptions than have been used previously. Consideration should be given to existing compliance agreements; however, there should be flexibility in the process to allow for changes where an improved outcome can be demonstrated.

* {

Low-Level Waste Disposal.—The Committee strongly encourages the Department to pursue an open competition for waste disposal services for various categories of low-level radioactive and mixed low-level radioactive waste. This proposal should also allow for an evaluation of an alternative regulatory structure under the provisions of the Atomic Energy Act. The Department should report back to the Committee by November 30, 1997, on the status of this competitive proposal.

Reprogramming Authority.—Last year Congress provided greater flexibility to meet changing funding requirements at former defense sites which are undergoing remedial cleanup activities. In fiscal year 1998, each site manager may continue to transfer up to \$5,000,000 between Defense Environmental Restoration and Waste Management programs and construction projects to reduce health or safety risks or to gain cost savings as long as no program or project is increased or decreased by more than \$5,000,000 once during the fiscal year. This reprogramming authority may not be used to initiate new programs or programs specifically denied, limited, or increased by Congress in the Act or report. The Committees on Appropriations in the House and Senate must be notified within thirty days after the transfer of funds occurs.

Economic development.—The Committee wants to reiterate the prohibition against using environmental management funds for economic development activities. The Committee appropriates funding for the "Worker and Community Transition Program" which is the only program authorized in the Department to provide eco-