

From: "BENNETT,DAVID S (A-LittleFalls,ex1)" <david_bennett@agilent.com>
To: "Michele Burgess" <MLB5@nrc.gov>
Date: 08/29/2001 1:53PM
Subject: RE: request for additional information

Hi Michelle,

Thanks for the communication below. I have begun investigating the issues and will notify you of our progress early next week. I'll contact you on Tuesday the 4th as Monday is Labor Day and we're closed. I appreciate having this window of opportunity for your full attention but, unfortunately, some of the historical information needed won't be available until sometime after next week due to vacations of personnel involved.

Thanks

David

-----Original Message-----

From: Michele Burgess [mailto:MLB5@nrc.gov]
Sent: Tuesday, August 28, 2001 4:23 PM
To: david_bennett@agilent.com
Subject: request for additional information

The following is the list of questions from the Request for Additional Information that was mailed to you today. I have spoken with the second reviewer, and both he and I are open to work on this all this week. If you can provide the requested information to me this week (at the latest by Mon (9/3)) and would be available for possible phone discussion on Tues, we would be able to review this and issue the new certificates by next Tues (9/4)

1. Your March 5 letter informs us that Agilent has not been conducting two tests during fabrication as specified in the registration certificate commitments. Please indicate when this practice started, and report the total number of ECDs involved.
- ✓ 2. Please provide full corporate name and address for all source manufacturers.
- ✓ 3. Verify that the changes, described in item 3 of your letter dated March 5, to the metal label attached to the ECD constitute only an addition to the information currently on the label, and that you are not removing any information from the label that was previously specified.
- ✓ 4. In reviewing the background information for your products, we discovered that we can not locate a copy of Hewlett-Packard's September 9, 1980, letter referenced in registration certificate NR-0348-D-106-B. Please provide a full copy, including any attachments.
5. What is the purpose of the "analysis of a standard pesticide

sample"? We need this information to determine whether this test is necessary.

6. As a certificate holder for a custom source, via the NR-0348-D-106-S and NR-0348-D-109-S certificates, you are responsible for ensuring that all commitments made regarding the design of the source are carried out. You requested that the "measurement of the ionization current" test be transferred to the source manufacturer. It is our understanding that the "measurement of the ionization current" is used to verify the activity of the cells. Please confirm or provide corrected information regarding the purpose of the test. In addition, if the test is used to confirm activity, please provide details regarding how this will be incorporated into your QA program's oversight of the manufacturer in order to ensure that no device exceeds the allowable activity. Regarding the NR-0348-D-111-S certificate, explain how Agilent ensures that all ECDs are distributed with the correct activity if this test is not performed by Agilent.

7. Your March 9 letter requests a change to "A careful visual inspection of new cells per documented sampling scheme." Please specify the scheme, and describe the visual inspection, or provide the test procedure.

8. Your March 9 letter requested a reduction in the visual inspection of the plated surface of the ECDs, from 100% of the units to a sampling plan. Please confirm that the wipe and leak tests will still be performed on every cell.

9. Your March 5 letter informed us that Agilent is now ISO 9001 registered. Please indicate whether this is to the American or British standard. If you are indicating that you have begun manufacturing and distributing under this registration, please provide a copy of the ISO registration, and provide a listing of the sealed source and device registration certificates for which the ISO 9001 registered QA program applies. Please note that this would mean that you must continue to manufacture and distribute under the an ISO 9001 registration, and change to that commitment would require an amendment to all registration certificates listed.

10. During our review, we noted that the QA plan in the file is dated October 3, 1990. Please indicate whether this is still a current version. If there is a more recent version, please provide a copy along with a list of items that have changed.

11. Although your March 5 letter also lists your four inactive certificates, we do not see any requested changes to these certificates. Please verify that there are no changes requested, or identify the changes requested for your inactive certificates so that we may continue our review.

Mail Envelope Properties (3B8D2C13.B34 : 18 : 23348)

Subject: RE: request for additional information
Creation Date: 08/29/2001 1:52PM
From: "BENNETT,DAVID S (A-LittleFalls,ex1)"
<david_bennett@agilent.com>

Created By: david_bennett@agilent.com

Recipients

nrc.gov
twf4_po.TWFN_DO
MLB5 (Michele Burgess)

Post Office

twf4_po.TWFN_DO

Route

nrc.gov

Files	Size	Date & Time
MESSAGE	4814	08/29/2001 1:52:56 PM
Mime.822	5953	

Options

Expiration Date: None
Priority: Standard
Reply Requested: No
Return Notification: None

Concealed Subject: No
Security: Standard